08:58:46 1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF ) CR-05-00215-EJD AMERICA,
6	DECEMBER 8, 2011 PLAINTIFF,
7	) VOLUME 3
8	) PAGES 1 - 218 ERIC AARON LIGHTER, )
9	DEFENDANT. )
10	)
11	
12	THE PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD BEFORE
13	THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
14	JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA
15	APPEARANCES:
16	
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: CHARLES A. O'REILLY
18	KATHERINE WONG P.O.BOX 972
19	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20044
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT: CAREY & CAREY
21	BY: JERRY Y. FONG 706 COWPER STREET
22	PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94301
23	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
24	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
25	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
	1

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page2 of 218

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3	ALSO PRESENT:	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE BY: CHARLES TONNA 450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE
4 5		6TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102
6		DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY BY: WILLIAM LEE
7		KENNETH BONANO 450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE
8		7TH FLOOR, SUITE 7-2506 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
9		94102
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<b>-</b>		
		2

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page3 of 218

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2		
3	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:	
4	SAMUEL FUNG DIRECT EXAM BY MS. WONG P. 5	
5	(RESUMED)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2
		3

#### Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page4 of 218 INDEX OF EXHIBITS IDENT. EVIDENCE GOVERNMENT'S:

1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA DECEMBER 8, 2011
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	
4	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
09:12:15 5	HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
09:12:15 6	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD IN
09:12:18 7	THE MATTER OF UNITED STATES VERSUS LIGHTER. ALL
09:12:20 8	JURORS ARE PRESENT, COUNSEL IS PRESENT, AND THE
09:12:23 9	DEFENDANT IS PRESENT.
09:12:24 10	AND MR. FUNG, IF HE CAN BE CALLED BACK
09:12:27 11	IN.
09:12:27 12	MR. FUNG, GOOD MORNING. IF YOU CAN
09:12:30 13	PLEASE RESUME THE WITNESS STAND. AND I'LL REMIND
09:12:42 14	YOU, SIR, YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE HAVE A
09:12:45 15	SEAT.
09:12:45 16	SAMUEL S. FUNG,
09:12:45 17	BEING RECALLED AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE
09:12:45 18	GOVERNMENT, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, WAS FURTHER
09:12:46 19	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
09:12:46 20	THE COURT: MS. WONG.
09:12:48 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
09:12:50 22	BY MS. WONG:
09:12:50 23	Q GOOD MORNING.
09:12:51 24	A GOOD MORNING.
09:12:52 25	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, I NOTICED WE ENDED YESTERDAY
	5

09:12:55 1	TALKING ABOUT A PHONE CALL YOU MADE TO THE
09:12:57 2	DEFENDANT, BUT BEFORE WE GO INTO THAT PHONE CALL
09:13:00 3	AND WHAT HAPPENED AFTERWARDS, I JUST WANTED TO STEP
09:13:02 4	BACK FOR A MOMENT TO THE LAWSUIT THAT YOU FILED
09:13:04 5	AGAINST AGENT BROWN.
09:13:06 6	A OKAY.
09:13:07 7	Q AND DO YOU REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE THAT YOU
09:13:11 8	FILED THAT PARTICULAR SET OF PAPERS THAT TURNED OUT
09:13:17 9	TO BE A LAWSUIT?
09:13:17 10	A NO, I DO NOT.
09:13:18 11	Q WOULD SEEING THE DOCKET FOR THAT CASE REFRESH
09:13:21 12	YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO THE DATE?
09:13:22 13	A IT SHOULD BE, YES.
09:13:25 14	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT IS
09:13:26 15	SHOWING WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN SHOWN TO DEFENSE
09:13:29 16	COUNSEL AS THE CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE NUMBER
09:13:37 17	3:01=CV-04947, FUNG V. BROWN.
09:13:42 18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
09:13:43 19	BY MS. WONG:
09:13:44 20	Q I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT DOCUMENT,
09:13:46 21	MR. FUNG, AND SEE IF THAT REFRESHES YOUR
09:13:49 22	RECOLLECTION AS TO THE DATE WHEN YOU FILED YOUR
09:13:53 23	LAWSUIT AGAINST MR. BROWN?
09:13:54 24	A YES.
09:13:56 25	Q WHAT WAS THE DATE THAT YOU FILED YOUR LAWSUIT

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page7 of 218

09:14:06 1	AGAINST MR. BROWN OR AGENT BROWN?
09:14:08 2	A DECEMBER 18TH, 2001.
09:14:10 3	Q AND THEN AFTER THAT YOU SAID THAT YOU HAD TO
09:14:14 4	GO TO COURT; IS THAT RIGHT?
09:14:15 5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
09:14:16 6	Q AND DO YOU RECALL THE EXACT DATE THAT YOU WENT
09:14:20 7	TO COURT AND YOU LOST?
09:14:22 8	A NO.
09:14:23 9	Q WOULD SEEING THE DOCKET AGAIN REFRESH YOUR
09:14:26 10	RECOLLECTION AS TO THAT?
09:14:27 11	A YES.
09:14:27 12	Q THERE'S ALSO A SECOND PAGE TO THE DOCUMENT.
09:15:36 13	A YES.
09:15:36 14	Q AND IS YOUR RECOLLECTION REFRESHED?
09:15:38 15	A YES.
09:15:38 16	Q AND WHAT IS THE DATE WHEN YOU WENT TO COURT
09:15:41 17	AND THE JUDGE ENDED THE CASE AND YOU LOST?
09:15:44 18	A APRIL 16TH, 2002.
09:15:47 19	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, ONE THING THAT, YOU KNOW, THE
09:15:51 20	JURY AND WE HAVE NOTICED THAT YOU'RE DOING IS
09:15:53 21	YOU'RE HAVING TO LOOK VERY CLOSELY AT THE DOCUMENTS
09:15:56 22	AND SOMETIMES TAKE OFF YOUR GLASSES.
09:15:58 23	HAS SOMETHING HAPPENED RECENTLY THAT
09:16:01 24	REQUIRES YOU TO LOOK SO CLOSELY AT THE DOCUMENTS?
09:16:04 25	A YES.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page8 of 218

09:16:04 1	Q AND CAN YOU TELL THE JURY WHAT THAT WAS?
09:16:07 2	A AT THE END OF SEPTEMBER MY RIGHT EYE WENT
09:16:10 3	BLIND. I HAVE SOMETHING CALLED RETINA DETACHMENT,
09:16:14 4	AND I WENT TO SURGERY AND IT'S IN THE PROCESS OF
09:16:18 5	RECOVERING STILL.
09:16:18 6	Q NOW, CAN YOU SEE OUT OF THAT EYE?
09:16:20 7	A YES.
09:16:21 8	Q WHAT CAN YOU CAN YOU SEE AS WELL AS YOU DID
09:16:25 9	BEFORE YOUR RETINA DETACHED?
09:16:27 10	A NO, NOT AS GOOD.
09:16:29 11	Q AND WHAT SPECIFICALLY IS STILL THE ISSUE?
09:16:31 12	A IT STILL TAKES TIME TO RECOVER. ALSO I NEED
09:16:39 13	TO CHANGE MY RIGHT EYE LENS. THE EYE DOCTOR TOLD
09:16:42 14	ME I NEED TO WAIT. I THINK THE EYE DOCTOR FEELS
09:16:45 15	THAT IT'S STILL IN THE PROCESS OF RECOVERING.
09:16:48 16	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU CAN'T SEE AS
09:16:51 17	WELL AS YOU COULD BEFORE YOU HAD A DETACHED RETINA?
09:16:54 18	A YES.
09:16:54 19	Q ALL RIGHT. MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE TO NOW TAKE YOU
09:16:58 20	BACK TO THE PHONE CALL THAT YOU HAD WITH THE
09:17:00 21	DEFENDANT.
09:17:01 22	NOW, YOU SAID THAT YESTERDAY THAT YOU HAD
09:17:05 23	GOTTEN THE DEFENDANT'S NAME FROM A PERSON NAMED
09:17:08 24	MIKE IOANE?
09:17:09 25	A YES.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page9 of 218

09:17:09 1	Q AND NOW, WHEN YOU CALLED UP THE WHEN YOU
09:17:15 2	CALLED UP THE DEFENDANT, HOW SOON AFTER YOU GOT THE
09:17:17 3	NAME FROM MR. IOANE DID YOU MAKE THAT PHONE CALL?
09:17:21 4	WAS IT ONE DAY? A MONTH? A YEAR?
09:17:24 5	A I THINK I CALLED QUICKLY.
09:17:27 6	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "QUICKLY," WAS IT A MONTH
09:17:31 7	LATER? A FEW DAYS LATER? YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE
09:17:34 8	EXACT.
09:17:34 9	A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY, BUT I KNOW THAT I DID
09:17:38 10	MAKE CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT.
09:17:39 11	Q AND HOW WERE YOU FEELING AT THE TIME WHEN YOU
09:17:42 12	MADE CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT?
09:17:44 13	A I FEEL THAT IF HE WANTED TO HELP ME, THAT I
09:17:55 14	SHOULD LOOK INTO TALKING TO THE DEFENDANTS.
09:17:57 15	Q AND HOW WERE YOU FEELING ABOUT THE I.R.S. AT
09:17:59 16	THAT TIME?
09:18:00 17	A I FEEL THAT THEY WANT TO GO AFTER ME.
09:18:06 18	Q AND WERE YOU FEELING HAPPY? SCARED?
09:18:09 19	A UM, I FEEL THAT I NEED TO DO SOMETHING.
09:18:17 20	Q NOW, WHEN YOU WHERE DID YOU IF YOU KNOW,
09:18:21 21	DID YOU KNOW WHERE THE DEFENDANT LIVED AT THE TIME
09:18:24 22	THAT YOU CALLED HIM?
09:18:25 23	A YES.
09:18:25 24	Q AND WHERE DID HE LIVE?
09:18:27 25	A IN HAWAII.

NOW, WHEN YOU CALLED THE DEFENDANT, WHAT WERE 09:18:30 1 Q YOU HOPING THAT THE DEFENDANT COULD DO FOR YOU? 09:18:33 2 A ASSIST ME IN ALL OF THE COMMOTIONS. 09:18:35 3 09:18:41 4 AND WHEN YOU SAY, "COMMOTIONS," WHAT COMMOTIONS ARE YOU SPECIFICALLY REFERRING TO? 09:18:43 5 GOING TO COURT AND HAVING A LAWSUIT AND AGENT 09:18:45 6 DENNIS BROWN AND OTHER THINGS. 09:18:58 7 O SO WHEN YOU SAY "OTHER THINGS," WERE THOSE 09:18:59 8 09:19:02 9 THINGS RELATED TO YOUR TAXES OR SOMETHING ELSE? A RELATED TO MY TAXES. 09:19:04 10 09:19:07 11 Q AND HOW ABOUT N.T.S., WERE YOU CALLING THE 09:19:10 12 DEFENDANT ABOUT N.T.S. OR WAS IT JUST OF YOUR TAXES? 09:19:14 13 A PROBABLY N.T.S. BECAUSE OF MY ASSOCIATION WITH 09:19:14 14 09:19:17 15 THEM. Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WERE YOU WORRIED ABOUT 09:19:17 16 09:19:20 17 BECAUSE OF YOUR ASSOCIATION WITH N.T.S.? THAT I WAS GOING TO OREGON TO HELP ROY FRITTS 09:19:22 18 09:19:34 19 AND THINGS LIKE THAT. 09:19:35 20 O BUT WHAT WERE YOU WORRIED, IF ANYTHING, THAT 09:19:39 21 MIGHT HAPPEN AS A RESULT OF REPRESENTING ROY 09:19:42 22 FRITTS? THAT I WOULD RESOLVE THE I.R.S. ISSUES. 09:19:43 23 A Q NOW, WHEN YOU CALLED THE DEFENDANT, DID YOU 09:19:48 24 DISCUSS TAXES WITH HIM? 09:19:50 25

A I EXPLAINED TO HIM ABOUT THE SUBPOENA AND 09:19:54 1 THINGS LIKE THAT. 09:20:01 2 Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THINGS LIKE THAT," COULD YOU 09:20:01 3 09:20:07 4 TELL THE JURY WHAT YOU TALKED ABOUT WITH REGARDS TO YOUR TAXES OR TAXES GENERALLY? 09:20:09 5 A I PROBABLY TALK ABOUT THE TAX RETURN AS BEING 09:20:11 6 TARGETED FOR AUDITS AND I NEED TO DEAL WITH IT. 09:20:16 7 Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "PROBABLY," DID YOU ACTUALLY 09:20:20 8 09:20:23 9 TALK ABOUT THAT? A I DID. 09:20:23 10 Q YOU DID. AND IN ADDITION TO TALKING ABOUT THE 09:20:24 11 TRUST RETURNS, DID YOU TALK ANYTHING ABOUT OTHER 09:20:28 12 PEOPLE INVOLVED WITH N.T.S.? 09:20:32 13 09:20:34 14 A YES. AND WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT? 09:20:40 15 0 A ROY FRITTS AND RICK PRESCOTT. 09:20:42 16 AND NOW, WHEN YOU CALLED THE DEFENDANT, WHAT, 09:20:50 17 Q 09:20:52 18 IF ANYTHING, DID HE TELL YOU ABOUT NATIONAL TRUST 09:20:57 19 SERVICES? A HE TOLD ME THAT HE KNEW ABOUT NATIONAL TRUST 09:20:57 20 09:21:02 21 SERVICES OF HAWAII. HAWAII, THERE'S A BRANCH OF 09:21:08 22 NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES AND I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY THE NAME BUT PROBABLY NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES OF 09:21:11 23 09:21:15 24 HAWAII. O AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY ABOUT THAT 09:21:16 25

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page12 of 218

09:21:17 1	BRANCH OF NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
09:21:19 2	A THAT THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T LIKE IT AND WAS,
09:21:22 3	YOU KNOW, TRYING TO, YOU KNOW, DEAL WITH IT.
09:21:28 4	Q AND DID HE MENTION ANY PARTICULAR PEOPLE
09:21:31 5	INVOLVED WITH NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES IN HAWAII?
09:21:38 6	A YES.
09:21:38 7	Q AND WHO DID HE MENTION?
09:21:39 8	A OLGA ORTIZ.
09:21:41 9	Q AND WHEN THE DEFENDANT MENTIONED THAT NAME,
09:21:44 10	DID YOU RECOGNIZE HIM?
09:21:45 11	A YES.
09:21:45 12	Q AND WHO WAS OLGA ORTIZ?
09:21:47 13	A OLGA ORTIZ IS THE IN-CHARGE PERSON OF NATIONAL
09:21:52 14	TRUST OF HAWAII.
09:21:54 15	Q AND WAS MS. ORTIZ INVOLVED IN PREPARING TAX
09:21:57 16	RETURNS FOR MEMBERS IN HAWAII?
09:21:59 17	A YES.
09:21:59 18	Q AND WHAT DID SHE DO FOR THEM?
09:22:01 19	A MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT SHE ALSO HAS A TAX
09:22:08 20	PREPARATION BUSINESS THAT SHE HAD BEEN DOING A LOT
09:22:12 21	OF RETURNS FOR THE MEMBERS.
09:22:15 22	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO TALKING ABOUT NATIONAL
09:22:18 23	TRUST SERVICES, DID YOU AND THE DEFENDANT DISCUSS
09:22:20 24	GRAND JURIES?
09:22:21 25	A YES.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page13 of 218

09:22:21 1	Q NOW, HAD DID YOU KNOW WHAT A GRAND JURY WAS
09:22:27 2	BEFORE YOU TALKED TO THE DEFENDANT?
09:22:28 3	A I HEARD ABOUT IT, BUT I DID NOT EXACTLY KNOW
09:22:36 4	WHAT IT IS, I MEAN, THE DETAILS.
09:22:38 5	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU, IF
09:22:40 6	ANYTHING, ABOUT GRAND JURIES?
09:22:42 7	A THAT I HAD DONE SOME WORK RELATED TO THE GRAND
09:22:50 8	JURY OR SOMETHING.
09:22:50 9	Q DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT HIS
09:22:55 10	OTHER WORK THAT HE HAD DONE OR OF HIS OTHER
09:22:58 11	EXPERIENCE THAT MIGHT MAKE HIM QUALIFIED TO HELP
09:23:01 12	YOU?
09:23:01 13	A YES.
09:23:01 14	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU?
09:23:04 15	A THAT HE'S BEEN HIS NAME HAD BEEN WRITTEN UP
09:23:07 16	INTO SOME TYPE OF FEDERAL REGISTRY, THAT HE
09:23:13 17	THERE'S AN ARTICLE ABOUT HIM SAVING A PERSON TO DO
09:23:21 18	WITH TAXES.
09:23:21 19	Q NOW, DURING THIS CONVERSATION, DID YOU CALL
09:23:23 20	THE DEFENDANT BECAUSE YOU WANTED TO DO A REAL
09:23:25 21	ESTATE DEAL OR ANY REAL ESTATE DEALS IN HAWAII?
09:23:29 22	A NO, NOT AT ALL.
09:23:30 23	Q DID YOU TALK ABOUT DOING REAL ESTATE DEALS IN
09:23:32 24	THAT PHONE CALL?
09:23:33 25	A NO.
	1

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page14 of 218

09:23:33 1	Q NOW, AFTER THE DEFENDANT TOLD YOU THESE THINGS
09:23:36 2	ABOUT GRAND JURIES AND NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES IN
09:23:38 3	HAWAII, HOW DID YOU FEEL?
09:23:41 4	A I FEEL THAT HE HAS KNOWLEDGE OF ALL OF THIS
09:23:49 5	STUFF.
09:23:50 6	Q AND DID YOU MAKE A DECISION ABOUT WHETHER OR
09:23:52 7	NOT TO HIRE THE DEFENDANT AFTER TALKING TO HIM?
09:23:54 8	A YES.
09:23:54 9	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DECIDE TO DO?
09:23:56 10	A I DECIDED TO HIRE HIM TO HELP ME.
09:23:59 11	Q AND WHEN DID YOU MAKE THAT DECISION? WAS IT
09:24:01 12	DURING THAT PHONE CALL?
09:24:02 13	A EITHER DURING THE PHONE CALL OR SHORTLY AFTER.
09:24:11 14	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "SHORTLY AFTER," WAS IT
09:24:13 15	WITHIN A WEEK OR TWO? OR THE NEXT MONTH? OR YEAR?
09:24:18 16	A YES.
09:24:18 17	Q AND WHICH ONE?
09:24:19 18	A I DON'T RECALL, BUT VERY SHORTLY.
09:24:25 19	Q AND NOW, WHEN YOU HAD TO HIRE THE DEFENDANT,
09:24:28 20	DID IT COST ANY MONEY TO HIRE THE DEFENDANT?
09:24:30 21	A YES.
09:24:30 22	Q AND HOW MUCH?
09:24:39 23	A UH, \$10,000, IF I REMEMBER. ABOUT \$10,000.
09:24:42 24	Q AND NOW, MR. FUNG, DID YOU HAVE THAT TYPE OF
09:24:44 25	MONEY, \$10,000, TO PAY THE DEFENDANT?

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page15 of 218

09:24:46 1	A NO.
09:24:47 2	Q AND SO HOW DID YOU GET THE MONEY?
09:24:49 3	A I BORROWED FROM A FRIEND.
09:24:51 4	Q AND DID YOU PAY THAT FRIEND BACK EVENTUALLY?
09:24:53 5	A YES.
09:24:53 6	Q AND NOW, DO YOU RECALL HOW YOU SENT THE MONEY
09:24:57 7	TO THE DEFENDANT?
09:24:57 8	A I WIRED THE MONEY TO THE DEFENDANT'S BANK
09:25:02 9	ACCOUNT.
09:25:03 10	Q AND BEFORE YOU WIRED THAT MONEY, HOW DID YOU
09:25:05 11	GET THE INFORMATION TO KNOW WHAT BANK ACCOUNT TO
09:25:08 12	SEND IT TO?
09:25:08 13	A THE DEFENDANT PROVIDED ME A SLIP, LIKE A BANK
09:25:15 14	ACCOUNT DEPOSIT SLIP OR SOMETHING WITH THE ACCOUNT
09:25:20 15	WIRING WITH INFORMATION ON IT.
09:25:22 16	Q AND HOW DID THE DEFENDANT PROVIDE THAT TO YOU?
09:25:24 17	A I DON'T EXACTLY RECALL. EITHER HE E-MAILED TO
09:25:29 18	ME OR FAXED IT TO ME.
09:25:30 19	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU NOW WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED
09:25:33 20	FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S
09:25:36 21	EXHIBIT 56, 57, AND 58 AND ASK IF YOU RECOGNIZE
09:25:39 22	LET'S START WITH 56.
09:25:48 23	THE COURT: MR. FONG, YOU HAVE SEEN THIS?
09:25:50 24	MR. FONG: YES, I DO YES, I HAVE, YOUR
09:25:53 25	HONOR.

09:26:09 1	BY MS. WONG:
09:26:09 2	Q NOW, LET'S START WITH THE FIRST EXHIBIT I GAVE
09:26:12 3	YOU, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 56. DO YOU RECOGNIZE
09:26:14 4	THAT DOCUMENT, MR. FUNG?
09:26:15 5	A YES.
09:26:16 6	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
09:26:17 7	A THAT'S FOR THE DEFENDANT PROVIDED ME S
09:26:22 8	DEPOSIT SLIP WITH THE BANK ACCOUNT TITLED HONOLULU
09:26:30 9	INN.
09:26:31 10	Q AND IS THIS A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF WHAT
09:26:33 11	THE DEFENDANT PROVIDED TO YOU?
09:26:34 12	A YES.
09:26:37 13	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
09:26:38 14	OFFERS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 56 INTO EVIDENCE.
09:26:41 15	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
09:26:42 16	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.
09:26:44 17	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 56,
09:26:44 18	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
09:26:44 19	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
09:26:44 20	EVIDENCE.)
09:26:44 21	MS. WONG: MAY WE PLEASE PUBLISH IT TO
09:26:46 22	THE JURY?
09:26:47 23	THE COURT: YES. ALL RIGHT.
09:26:47 24	BY MS. WONG:
09:27:40 25	Q IF WE CAN ZOOM IN FIRST ON THE TOP PART THAT

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page17 of 218

09:27:43 1	SHOWS THE WHAT APPEARS TO BE A DATE.
09:27:47 2	NOW, MR. FUNG, IF YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT
09:27:49 3	THIS SCREEN, CAN YOU SEE THE EXHIBIT?
09:27:51 4	A YES.
09:27:51 5	Q AND THAT DATE UP THERE, WHAT DATE IS SHOWN AT
09:27:57 6	THE TOP?
09:27:57 7	A IT'S APRIL 14, 2002.
09:28:00 8	Q IS THAT THE DATE THAT YOU RECEIVED THIS COPY
09:28:03 9	OF THE SLIP FROM THE DEFENDANT?
09:28:05 10	A YES.
09:28:05 11	Q AND HONOLULU INN, WHAT IS HONOLULU INN?
09:28:17 12	A IT'S FROM THE NAME I KNOW IT'S SOME TYPE OF
09:28:28 13	LODGING OR HOTEL OR HOSPITALITY.
09:28:32 14	Q DID IT BELONG TO THE DEFENDANT?
09:28:33 15	A I'M ASSUMING IT MUST BE.
09:28:34 16	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT SAY THAT THIS IS THE
09:28:36 17	ACCOUNT THAT YOU SHOULD SEND THE MONEY TO?
09:28:38 18	A YES.
09:28:39 19	Q NOW, AFTER YOU RECEIVED THIS FAX FROM THE
09:28:41 20	DEFENDANT, DID YOU THEN GO I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE
09:28:47 21	A LOOK NOW AT GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 57.
09:28:49 22	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
09:28:50 23	A YES.
09:28:51 24	Q WHAT IS IT?
09:28:53 25	A IT'S WIRE TRANSFER INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE BANK

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page18 of 218

09:28:59 1	TO WIRE MONEY TO DEFENDANT'S BANK ACCOUNT.
09:29:03 2	Q AND WAS THAT ONE OF THE WIRES THAT YOU SENT TO
09:29:06 3	THE DEFENDANT?
09:29:07 4	A YES.
09:29:07 5	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THAT WIRE TRANSFER
09:29:11 6	FORM WAS FILLED OUT?
09:29:12 7	A YES.
09:29:13 8	Q AND IS YOUR SIGNATURE ON THAT FORM?
09:29:16 9	A YES.
09:29:21 10	Q AND IS THIS FORM A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF
09:29:23 11	THE FORM YOU FILLED OUT WHEN YOU WIRED THE MONEY TO
09:29:25 12	THE DEFENDANT?
09:29:26 13	A YES.
09:29:27 14	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
09:29:29 15	OFFERS EXHIBIT NUMBER 57 INTO EVIDENCE.
09:29:31 16	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
09:29:32 17	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED.
09:29:32 18	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 75,
09:29:32 19	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
09:29:32 20	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
09:29:41 21	EVIDENCE.)
09:29:41 22	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION AS TO 56 OR 57,
09:29:43 23	YOUR HONOR.
09:29:43 24	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
09:29:52 25	BY MS. WONG:

U.S. COURT REPORTERS

09:29:53 1	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT
09:29:55 2	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 57 WHICH IS ON YOUR SCREEN
09:29:57 3	NOW.
09:29:57 4	AGAIN, WHO DID YOU SEND IN THE CENTER
09:30:00 5	OF THE PAGE, COULD YOU FOCUS ON THAT AND TELL US
09:30:02 6	WHO YOU SENT THE WIRE TRANSFER TO?
09:30:06 7	A HONOLULU INN.
09:30:08 8	Q AND ON WHAT DATE DID YOU SEND THAT? NOT THE
09:30:11 9	ONE THE ONE THAT IS ON THE SCREEN FIRST,
09:30:14 10	MR. FUNG. WHAT DATE DID YOU SEND IT?
09:30:16 11	A APRIL 16TH, 2002.
09:30:21 12	Q NOW, YOU SAY THAT'S YOUR SIGNATURE DOWN
09:30:23 13	UNDERNEATH CUSTOMER SIGNATURE?
09:30:25 14	A YES.
09:30:25 15	Q AND NOW, THERE APPEARS TO BE SOMETHING THAT IS
09:30:28 16	ON TOP OF THIS, THIS WIRE TRANSFER REQUEST.
09:30:30 17	WHAT IS THAT NOTE THAT BEGINS WITH "ERIC"
09:30:33 18	A THE 5,000 IS ONLY A PORTION OF THE 10,000.
09:30:43 19	Q AND SO WHAT DOES THE NOTE SAY ON TOP THERE?
09:30:46 20	A I PUT A YELLOW POST-IT NOTEPAD ON IT AND
09:30:50 21	LETTING HIM KNOW THAT THE REST OF THE BALANCE WILL
09:30:53 22	BE COMING.
09:30:59 23	Q AND DID YOU EVENTUALLY SEND THE REST OF THAT
09:31:02 24	BALANCE?
09:31:02 25	A YES.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page20 of 218

09:31:02 1	Q AND NOW, LET'S GO TO THE SECOND PAGE FOR JUST
09:31:05 2	A MOMENT AND FOCUS ON WHAT IS AT THE TOP OF THAT
09:31:07 3	PAGE.
09:31:18 4	DO YOU SEE WHAT IS WRITTEN UNDER
09:31:21 5	ORIGINATOR, THE NAME THERE?
09:31:22 6	A YES.
09:31:22 7	Q AND COULD YOU JUST READ THE NAME TO THE JURY?
09:31:25 8	A CORTLAND PROPERTIES AND TRUST COMPANY.
09:31:27 9	Q AND DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT NAME?
09:31:28 10	A YES.
09:31:29 11	Q AND WHAT IS CORTLAND PROPERTIES AND TRUST
09:31:31 12	COMPANY?
09:31:31 13	A IT'S MY REAL ESTATE COMPANY.
09:31:39 14	Q AND IT SAYS TRUST IN THERE. DID THAT HAVE ANY
09:31:41 15	RELATIONSHIP TO THE NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES OR THE
09:31:45 16	PACKAGES THAT THEY TOLD?
09:31:46 17	A YES.
09:31:46 18	Q AND WHAT WAS THE RELATIONSHIP?
09:31:48 19	A I HAVE THE COMPANY SET UP AS A BUSINESS TRUST.
09:31:53 20	Q AND WAS THAT ONE OF THE TRUSTS THAT YOU BOUGHT
09:31:55 21	PREVIOUSLY FROM NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
09:31:58 22	A YES.
09:31:58 23	Q I'D NOW LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT
09:32:01 24	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 58, WHICH IS IN YOUR HAND.
09:32:03 25	A SURE.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page21 of 218

09:32:04 1	Q AND THAT'S BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.
09:32:06 2	AND DO YOU RECOGNIZE GOVERNMENT'S
09:32:09 3	EXHIBIT 58?
09:32:09 4	A YES.
09:32:09 5	Q WHAT IS IT?
09:32:17 6	A IT'S A DOMESTIC WIRE TRANSFER OUTGOING FORM
09:32:21 7	WIRE INSTRUCTIONS.
09:32:21 8	Q AND WAS THIS WHAT YOU SENT TO THE DEFENDANT?
09:32:23 9	A YES.
09:32:24 10	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THIS FORM WAS FILLED
09:32:26 11	OUT?
09:32:26 12	A YES.
09:32:26 13	Q AND IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE FORM
09:32:29 14	THAT WAS FILLED OUT?
09:32:30 15	A YES.
09:32:30 16	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
09:32:31 17	OFFERS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 58 INTO EVIDENCE.
09:32:33 18	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
09:32:34 19	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
09:32:35 20	OBJECTION.
09:32:36 21	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 58,
09:32:36 22	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
09:32:36 23	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
09:32:40 24	EVIDENCE.)
09:32:40 25	BY MS. WONG:

09:32:41 1	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT
09:32:43 2	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 58, WHICH IS UP THERE. AND IF
09:32:46 3	WE CAN FOCUS ON THE TOP PART OF IT SPECIFICALLY
09:32:50 4	WHERE THE DATE APPEARS.
09:32:51 5	COULD YOU READ THE DATE THAT THIS FORM
09:32:53 6	WAS FILLED OUT TO THE JURY?
09:32:55 7	A APRIL 19TH, 2002.
09:32:57 8	Q AND IS THAT THE DATE APRIL 19TH THAT YOU SENT
09:33:01 9	THE REST OF THE MONEY TO THE DEFENDANT?
09:33:03 10	A YES.
09:33:03 11	Q AND HOW MUCH WAS THIS WIRE TO THE DEFENDANT?
09:33:05 12	A IT WAS 5500.
09:33:06 13	Q AND NOW, YOU SAID WHAT DID YOU SAY YOU HAD
09:33:12 14	TO PAY THE DEFENDANT BEFORE FOR HIM TO HELP YOU?
09:33:14 15	A TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, BUT I ALSO HAD TO BUY
09:33:18 16	HIS TICKETS.
09:33:19 17	Q SO WHAT IS THAT SO IT'S 500 FOR THE TICKET?
09:33:22 18	A YES.
09:33:22 19	Q AND WHAT TICKET ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
09:33:23 20	A THE AIRFARE FOR THE DEFENDANT FLYING FROM
09:33:28 21	HAWAII TO THE MAINLAND.
09:33:30 22	Q AND ONCE AGAIN, IF YOU CAN JUST GO DOWN, WHO
09:33:36 23	IS THE ENTITY THAT YOU JUST SENT THE MONEY TO?
09:33:38 24	A HONOLULU INN.
09:33:41 25	Q AND THE CUSTOMER INFORMATION, IT SAYS HERE

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page23 of 218

09:33:44 1	CORTLAND TRUST. WHAT IS CORTLAND TRUST?
09:33:46 2	A IT'S THE NAME FROM THE SAME ENTITY EARLIER.
09:33:55 3	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE SAME NAME," ARE YOU
09:33:59 4	REFERRING TO YOUR BUSINESS TRUST?
09:34:00 5	A YES.
09:34:00 6	Q AND IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE THAT APPEARS HERE?
09:34:02 7	A YES.
09:34:02 8	Q NOW, AFTER YOU SENT THESE WIRES, DID YOU BET
09:34:06 9	BACK INTO CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT?
09:34:09 10	A YES.
09:34:10 11	Q AND HOW DID YOU GET IN CONTACT WITH HIM?
09:34:12 12	A I INFORMED HIM THAT THE MONEY HAS BEEN WIRE
09:34:20 13	TRANSFERRED TO HIS BANK ACCOUNT.
09:34:21 14	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID THE DEFENDANT SAY
09:34:24 15	WHEN YOU TOLD HIM THAT?
09:34:25 16	A THAT HE WOULD COME OVER TO THE MAINLAND, TO
09:34:29 17	THE STATES.
09:34:29 18	Q AND ABOUT AND DID THE DEFENDANT, IN FACT,
09:34:33 19	COME OVER TO THE STATES?
09:34:34 20	A YES.
09:34:35 21	Q AND ABOUT HOW LONG AFTER YOU SENT THOSE WIRES
09:34:39 22	DID THE DEFENDANT COME?
09:34:40 23	A VERY QUICKLY. YOU KNOW, I THINK A WEEK OR
09:34:45 24	TWO.
09:34:45 25	Q AND IF YOU KNOW, WHERE DID THE DEFENDANT FLY

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page24 of 218

09:34:50 1	INTO?
09:34:50 2	A SAN FRANCISCO.
09:34:51 3	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THE DEFENDANT
09:34:55 4	ARRIVED IN SAN FRANCISCO?
09:34:56 5	A YES.
09:34:56 6	Q AND HOW DID YOU GET THERE?
09:34:58 7	A I WENT THERE I DROVE FROM MEDFORD, OREGON
09:35:02 8	TO THE AIRPORT TO PICK HIM UP.
09:35:04 9	Q AND AFTER YOU PICKED UP THE DEFENDANT AT THE
09:35:06 10	AIRPORT, WHERE DID YOU TWO GO?
09:35:08 11	A WE DROVE ALL OF THE WAY BACK TO MEDFORD,
09:35:15 12	OREGON.
09:35:19 13	Q NOW, WHEN YOU DROVE THE DEFENDANT BACK TO
09:35:21 14	MEDFORD IS THAT WHERE YOU WERE LIVING AT THE
09:35:24 15	TIME?
09:35:24 16	A YES.
09:35:24 17	Q AND WHEN YOU DROVE HIM BACK TO MEDFORD,
09:35:28 18	OREGON, WHY IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE
09:35:30 19	DEFENDANT HAD COME?
09:35:31 20	A TO LOOK AT ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS AND HELP ME TO
09:35:37 21	AMEND THE RETURNS THAT I HAD.
09:35:39 22	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "RETURNS" WHAT RETURNS ARE
09:35:42 23	YOU REFERRING TO?
09:35:42 24	A MY PERSONAL TAX RETURNS.
09:35:44 25	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT WHAT

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page25 of 218

09:35:47 1	HAPPENED IN MEDFORD, OREGON, YOU MENTIONED JUST A
09:35:50 2	MOMENT AGO THAT YOU HAD SOME BUSINESS TRUSTS
09:35:53 3	THROUGH N.T.S., RIGHT?
09:35:58 4	A YES.
09:35:58 5	Q AND DID YOU FILE ANY BUSINESS TRUST RETURNS
09:35:59 6	FOR YOUR BUSINESS TRUST WITH THE I.R.S.?
09:36:02 7	A NO.
09:36:14 8	Q WHY DIDN'T YOU FILE ANY BUSINESS TRUST RETURNS
09:36:17 9	FOR YOUR BUSINESS TRUST WITH THE I.R.S.?
09:36:18 10	A I DID NOT AT THAT TIME.
09:36:20 11	Q SO BY THE TIME THE DEFENDANT HAD COME, YOU
09:36:22 12	STILL HADN'T FILED YOUR BUSINESS TRUST RETURNS?
09:36:24 13	A NO.
09:36:25 14	Q BUT YOU HELPED YOUR OTHER CLIENTS FILE THEIR
09:36:34 15	TRUST RETURNS EVERY YEAR?
09:36:35 16	A YES.
09:36:35 17	Q AND SO YOU KNEW YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO FILE YOUR
09:36:38 18	TRUST RETURNS?
09:36:39 19	A YES.
09:36:39 20	Q AND SO NOW YOU DID SAY YOU DID FILE DID YOU
09:36:42 21	FILE PERSONAL INCOME TAX RETURNS?
09:36:45 22	A YES.
09:36:45 23	Q AND WHO PREPARED THOSE RETURNS BEFORE THE
09:36:47 24	DEFENDANT ARRIVED TO HELP YOU?
09:36:48 25	A I DID.

09:36:49 1	Q I'D LIKE TO SHOW PUBLISH TO THE JURY WHAT
09:36:56 2	IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 76.
09:37:03 3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
09:37:04 4	BY MS. WONG:
09:37:05 5	Q NOW, IF WE CAN FOCUS ON THE TOP OF THIS
09:37:07 6	RETURN.
09:37:08 7	MR. FUNG, IS THIS ONE OF THE DO YOU
09:37:10 8	RECOGNIZE THIS RETURN?
09:37:11 9	A YES.
09:37:11 10	Q AND IS THIS A 1998 RETURN THAT YOU PREPARED
09:37:14 11	FOR YOU AND YOUR WIFE?
09:37:16 12	A YES.
09:37:16 13	Q NOW, IF WE CAN GO DOWN NOW TO THE LOWER PART
09:37:19 14	OF THE PAGE AND LOOK AND BASICALLY INCLUDE THE
09:37:22 15	INCOME AND ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME SO HE CAN SEE THE
09:37:25 16	STAMP.
09:37:34 17	SO ACCORDING TO THE STAMP, MR. FUNG,
09:37:37 18	ABOUT WHAT TIME DID THE I.R.S. RECEIVE YOUR 1040
09:37:41 19	FOR THE 1998 TAX YEAR?
09:37:43 20	A NOVEMBER 1ST, 1999.
09:37:44 21	Q AND NOW, IF WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE INCOME FOR A
09:37:47 22	MOMENT ON YOUR INDIVIDUAL TAX RETURN, DOES THAT
09:37:50 23	NUMBER 36,787, DOES THAT INCLUDE ANY INCOME THAT
09:37:56 24	YOU WHAT INCOME DOES THAT INCLUDE?
09:37:58 25	A MY WIFE'S SALARY.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page27 of 218

09:37:59 1	Q AND NOW, IN 1988, WERE YOU ALSO STILL
09:38:03 2	PREPARING TRUST RETURNS?
09:38:04 3	A YES.
09:38:05 4	Q AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THIS NUMBER DOES
09:38:10 5	NOT INCLUDE THAT INCOME?
09:38:11 6	A CORRECT.
09:38:12 7	Q AND YOU KNEW THAT WHEN YOU PREPARED THIS
09:38:13 8	RETURN?
09:38:14 9	A YES.
09:38:15 10	Q AND WAS THIS ONE OF THE RETURNS THAT THE
09:38:19 11	DEFENDANT WAS GOING TO HELP YOU AMEND?
09:38:20 12	A YES.
09:38:21 13	Q I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH AT THIS TIME GOVERNMENT'S
09:38:26 14	EXHIBIT 77 TO THE JURY AND MR. FUNG.
09:38:34 15	FOCUSSING ON THE TOP PART. MR. FUNG, IS
09:38:37 16	THIS A 1999 INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX RETURN THAT YOU
09:38:40 17	PREPARED FOR YOU AND YOUR WIFE?
09:38:42 18	A YES.
09:38:42 19	Q AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THE AREA THAT INCLUDES
09:38:46 20	THE STAMP AND ALSO THE INCOME, ACCORDING TO THE
09:38:53 21	STAMP, WHEN ACCORDING TO THE STAMP, WHEN DID THE
09:39:11 22	I.R.S. RECEIVE THIS RETURN FROM YOU?
09:39:13 23	A NOVEMBER 6TH, 2000.
09:39:17 24	Q AND IF WE TAKE A LOOK AT LINE 7 THERE, HOW
09:39:21 25	MUCH INCOME DID YOU REPORT FOR THE 1999 TAX YEAR?

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page28 of 218

09:39:24 1	A ON LINE 7 IT'S ZERO.
09:39:31 2	Q NOW, AT THAT TIME WERE YOU STILL PREPARING
09:39:34 3	RETURNS FOR NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES MEMBERS?
09:39:37 4	A YES.
09:39:38 5	Q AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT LINE 7, THE ZERO
09:39:42 6	INCOME DOESN'T INCLUDE THE MONEY THAT YOU MADE FROM
09:39:45 7	THAT?
09:39:45 8	A NO.
09:39:48 9	Q BUT YOU
09:39:53 10	THE COURT: NO, IT'S NOT FAIR TO SAY IT.
09:39:55 11	THE WITNESS: NO, IT'S NOT FAIR TO SAY IF
09:39:59 12	YOU LOOK AT LINE 12.
09:40:00 13	BY MS. WONG:
09:40:01 14	Q SO ON LINE 12 YOU REPORTED \$10,260. DOES THAT
09:40:05 15	INCLUDE ALL OF THE INCOME THAT YOU MADE FROM YOUR
09:40:07 16	TAX PREPARATION BUSINESS?
09:40:08 17	A I DO NOT RECALL.
09:40:21 18	Q LET'S GO TO EXHIBIT 78. AND BEFORE WE TALK
09:40:24 19	ABOUT 78, JUST TO BE CLEAR, MR. FUNG, YOU DID NOT
09:40:27 20	FILE A TAX RETURN FOR YOUR BUSINESS TRUST FOR THE
09:40:29 21	1999 TAX YEAR, DID YOU?
09:40:31 22	A CORRECT.
09:40:35 23	Q AND YOU HADN'T FILED ONE FOR THE 1999 TAX
09:40:42 24	YEAR, RIGHT?
09:40:45 25	A CORRECT.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page29 of 218

09:40:45 1	Q NOW, THIS EXHIBIT 78, IS THIS YOUR INDIVIDUAL
09:40:50 2	INCOME TAX RETURN FOR THE YEAR 2000, YOUR PERSONAL
09:40:53 3	TAX RETURN?
09:40:54 4	A YES.
09:40:54 5	Q AND IF WE TAKE A LOOK AT THIS RETURN AND LOOK
09:40:57 6	AT LINE 7, IT'S ZERO?
09:41:07 7	A CORRECT.
09:41:07 8	Q AND FOR THIS YEAR YOU DIDN'T ALSO FILE A
09:41:12 9	RETURN FOR YOUR BUSINESS TRUST?
09:41:14 10	A NO.
09:41:14 11	Q BUT YOU WERE STILL PREPARING RETURNS FOR
09:41:18 12	MEMBERS OF N.T.S., RIGHT?
09:41:20 13	A YES.
09:41:20 14	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT ALL OF THE INCOME
09:41:26 15	FROM YOUR INCOME TAX RETURN WAS NOT REPORTED ON
09:41:28 16	THIS RETURN?
09:41:29 17	A YES.
09:41:29 18	Q NOW, LET'S GO BACK TO WHEN YOU TOOK THE
09:41:36 19	DEFENDANT UP TO MEDFORD, OREGON. DID YOU DISCUSS
09:41:38 20	ANYTHING ON THE DRIVE BACK TO MEDFORD, OREGON WITH
09:41:45 21	THE DEFENDANT?
09:41:45 22	A YES.
09:41:45 23	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DISCUSS?
09:41:47 24	A I DON'T RECALL. THIS WAS A LONG TIME AGO.
09:41:53 25	Q DID YOU TALK ABOUT TAXES?

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page30 of 218

09:41:54 1	A YES, I'M SURE WE TALKED ABOUT TAXES.
09:41:56 2	Q NOW, WHEN YOU GOT TO YOUR HOME IN MEDFORD,
09:42:01 3	OREGON, WHAT, IF ANY, DOCUMENTS DID YOU SHOW TO THE
09:42:05 4	DEFENDANT?
09:42:05 5	A MY TAX RETURNS AND MY RECEIPTS FOR ALL OF THE
09:42:09 6	EXPENSES AND ALL OF MY FINANCIAL RECORDS.
09:42:13 7	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY RECEIPTS FOR EXPENSES, WHAT
09:42:16 8	EXPENSES ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
09:42:17 9	A FOR MY BUSINESS EXPENSES.
09:42:18 10	Q AND IS THAT FOR YOUR TAX PREPARATION BUSINESS?
09:42:21 11	A MY COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE.
09:42:27 12	Q AND HOW ABOUT FOR YOUR TAX PREPARATION
09:42:29 13	BUSINESS?
09:42:29 14	A THAT, TOO, THAT CONSTITUTED A SMALL PART OF
09:42:32 15	IT.
09:42:36 16	Q NOW, YOU SHOWED HIM ALL OF THIS. DID THE
09:42:38 17	DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO TO HELP
09:42:40 18	YOU WITH YOUR TAX PROBLEM?
09:42:41 19	A YES.
09:42:42 20	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
09:42:43 21	A HE CAN AMEND ALL OF THE TAX RETURNS FOR ME.
09:42:45 22	Q AND BEFORE HE AMENDED THEM, DID HE SAY
09:42:48 23	ANYTHING ABOUT CREATING ANY OTHER ENTITIES, LIKE
09:42:50 24	CORPORATIONS?
09:42:51 25	A YES.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page31 of 218

09:42:51 1	Q WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY ABOUT THAT?
09:42:56 2	A HE SAID WE'RE GOING TO FORM A CORPORATE ENTITY
09:43:05 3	AND REPORT UNDER THE CORPORATE ENTITIES.
09:43:10 4	Q AND DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE CORPORATE
09:43:13 5	ENTITY THAT THE DEFENDANT SUGGESTED THAT YOU FORM?
09:43:16 6	A YES.
09:43:16 7	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
09:43:18 8	A CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION.
09:43:20 9	Q NOW, HAD YOU EVER HAD ANY CORPORATIONS BEFORE
09:43:24 10	YOU MET THE DEFENDANT?
09:43:25 11	A NO.
09:43:26 12	Q AND WHAT WAS GOING TO BE THE STRUCTURE OR
09:43:31 13	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT
09:43:33 14	CORPORATION AND YOUR NATIONAL AND YOUR TRUST
09:43:37 15	FROM N.T.S., LIKE CORTLAND TRUST?
09:43:42 16	A ALL OF THE BUSINESS INCOME FROM THE TRUST
09:43:46 17	REPORT UNDER THE CORPORATION.
09:43:47 18	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT, HE MENTIONED HE WAS
09:43:55 19	GOING TO PREPARE RETURNS FOR YOU.
09:43:57 20	DID HE, DID HE, IN FACT, GO AHEAD AND
09:44:00 21	PREPARE RETURNS?
09:44:00 22	A YES.
09:44:01 23	Q NOW, DID HE PREPARE RETURNS FOR THIS
09:44:06 24	CORPORATION?
09:44:06 25	A YES.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page32 of 218

09:44:07 1	Q NOW, WHEN YOU ARE PREPARING RETURNS FOR THIS
09:44:09 2	CORPORATION, WHEN WERE THESE BEING PREPARED?
09:44:15 3	A AT MY HOUSE.
09:44:16 4	Q AND SO THIS WOULD BE IN 2002?
09:44:18 5	A YES.
09:44:19 6	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT TALK TO YOU ABOUT WHEN
09:44:23 7	YOU WERE GOING TO SAY THAT CORPORATION, CYPRESS
09:44:26 8	DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, WAS FORMED?
09:44:27 9	A I'M SORRY?
09:44:28 10	Q DID THE DEFENDANT TALK TO YOU ABOUT WHEN IT
09:44:31 11	WOULD BE REPRESENTED THAT THAT CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT
09:44:36 12	CORPORATION WAS FORMED?
09:44:37 13	A YES.
09:44:37 14	Q AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
09:44:38 15	A HE USED A DE FACTO CORPORATION TO GO BACK AND
09:44:45 16	EVEN THOUGH HE DIDN'T HAVE THE PAPERWORK HE SAID
09:44:52 17	THE CORPORATION EXISTS YEARS AGO.
09:44:54 18	Q AND DID YOU KNOW WHAT THAT WORD "DE FACTO"
09:44:57 19	MEANT?
09:44:57 20	A AT THE TIME HE EXPLAINED IT TO ME.
09:45:00 21	Q BUT BEFORE THAT TIME HAD YOU EVER USED THE
09:45:04 22	WORD "DE FACTO CORPORATION"?
09:45:06 23	A NO, NOT AT ALL.
09:45:07 24	Q I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU, MR. FUNG, WHAT HAS
09:45:10 25	ALREADY BEEN INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE AS

09:45:12 1	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 79. AND IF WE CAN GO TO THE
09:45:14 2	FIRST STARTING AT THE TOP HERE.
09:45:20 3	IS THIS ONE OF THE RETURNS THAT THE
09:45:22 4	DEFENDANT PREPARED ON YOUR BEHALF?
09:45:24 5	A YES.
09:45:24 6	Q AND IF WE GO AND WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE CENTER
09:45:33 7	OF THE PAGE THAT INCLUDES THE STAMP, I ALSO WANT TO
09:45:36 8	GET ALL OF THE COMPARISONS OF THE INCOME HERE.
09:45:39 9	WHEN WAS THIS RECEIVED BY THE I.R.S.?
09:45:45 10	A MAY 9TH, 2002.
09:45:47 11	Q NOW, IN THE CENTER YOU CAN SEE THE CHANGES
09:45:51 12	BETWEEN THE AMENDED RETURN THAT I FILED.
09:45:54 13	WHAT WAS THE ULTIMATE WAS THE AMOUNT
09:45:58 14	OF INCOME THAT YOU ULTIMATELY REPORTED ON THE
09:46:00 15	RETURN PREPARED FOR YOU BY THE DEFENDANT HIGHER OR
09:46:03 16	LOWER THAN THE ORIGINAL AMOUNT ON YOUR ORIGINAL
09:46:07 17	RETURN THAT YOU PREPARED?
09:46:16 18	LINE 1. COULD YOU REPEAT THE ANSWER?
09:46:19 19	A LOWER.
09:46:19 20	Q NOW, GOING TO THE BOTTOM HERE. IF WE CAN
09:46:23 21	FOCUS ON THE PREPARER'S SIGNATURE.
09:46:31 22	WHO SIGNED WHO SIGNED THE RETURN AS A
09:46:36 23	PREPARER?
09:46:36 24	A THE DEFENDANTS.
09:46:37 25	Q AND IS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE THERE?

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page34 of 218

09:46:41 1	A YES.
09:46:42 2	Q I'D LIKE TO GO TO PAGE 3 OF GOVERNMENT'S
09:46:46 3	EXHIBIT 79 AND FOCUS ON WHAT IS WRITTEN, YOU
09:46:52 4	INCLUDE IN PART 2, AND JUST GET THE OBSTRUCTIONS
09:46:56 5	FOR PART 2 AS WELL.
09:47:10 6	NOW, MR. FUNG, IF YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT
09:47:13 7	WHAT IS WRITTEN?
09:47:14 8	A YES.
09:47:14 9	Q THE "ATTACHED HERE TO BY REFERENCES," WHO
09:47:19 10	WROTE THE PART THAT IS IN PART 2?
09:47:23 11	A THE DEFENDANT.
09:47:23 12	Q NOW, IT STATES HERE "PLUS SUBMISSION TO THE
09:47:27 13	GRAND JURIES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
09:47:29 14	MASSACHUSETTS, AND CALIFORNIA."
09:47:34 15	WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE PURPOSE OF
09:47:36 16	SUBMITTING ITEMS TO THE GRAND JURY WAS?
09:47:37 17	A NOT EXACTLY.
09:47:39 18	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT SAY WHAT GRAND JURIES
09:47:45 19	WOULD DO FOR YOU?
09:47:46 20	A YES.
09:47:46 21	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY?
09:47:48 22	A THE DEFENDANT SAID THAT, NO, WITH THIS AMENDED
09:47:56 23	RETURN, EITHER ATTACH A WHOLE BUNCH OF DOCUMENTS
09:48:00 24	BEHIND THESE RETURNS.
09:48:02 25	AND THE RETURN IS THEN SENT TO THE I.R.S.

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page35 of 218

CID IN WASHINGTON, D.C. OR SOMETHING.
Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT DID THE
DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHY YOU HAD TO SEND IT TO I.R.S.
CID?
A YES.
Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
A HE SAID THAT THE DOCUMENT BEHIND ALL OF THE
AMENDED TAX RETURN IS SOMETHING LIKE SOME OF THE
TAX CRIME OR SOME TYPE OF CRIME THAT HAD NOT BEEN
DEALT WITH OR SOMETHING.
Q NOW, THOSE OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT YOU WERE
SUPPOSED TO ATTACH TO YOUR RETURN, DID THEY HAVE TO
DO WITH YOUR TAX CRIMES?
A NO.
Q AND SO WHAT WERE THEY SUPPOSED TO HOW WERE
THEY SUPPOSED TO HELP YOUR TAX PROBLEM? OR WHAT
WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING?
A THE DEFENDANT SAID THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE
PROSECUTED OR THE PEOPLE BEHIND THE ATTACHMENT
WOULD ALSO NEED TO BE PROSECUTED.
Q AND SO WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND SENDING ALL OF
THESE DOCUMENTS TO THE I.R.S. CID, HOW WOULD IT
SOLVE YOUR PROBLEMS?
A I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE GONE.
Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN HOW IT WOULD BE

1	COME 2
09:49:25 1	GONE?
09:49:25 2	A YES.
09:49:25 3	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
09:49:26 4	A THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT PROSECUTE ALL OF
09:49:28 5	THESE ATTACHMENT PERSONS NAME AND EVERYTHING.
09:49:31 6	Q AND SO HOW WOULD THAT HELP YOU OR WHAT DID YOU
09:49:37 7	UNDERSTAND?
09:49:38 8	A SOMETHING ABOUT GRAND JURY.
09:49:41 9	Q NOW, THESE EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 34 THAT ARE
09:49:46 10	REFERRED TO ON GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 79, DID YOU, IN
09:49:49 11	FACT, ATTACH EXHIBITS TO YOUR RETURNS?
09:49:51 12	A YES.
09:49:51 13	Q AND WHO PREPARED THESE EXHIBITS?
09:49:54 14	A THE DEFENDANTS.
09:49:55 15	Q THE DEFENDANTS OR THE DEFENDANT?
09:49:58 16	A THE DEFENDANT.
09:49:59 17	Q I'D LIKE TO GO NOW TO WHAT IS ALREADY IN
09:50:04 18	EVIDENCE AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 80. AND WE'LL
09:50:20 19	START AT THE TOP SO IF YOU CAN GET THE LANGUAGE
09:50:22 20	RIGHT ABOVE U.S. CORPORATION INCOME TAX RETURN.
09:50:25 21	IS THIS THE 1988 CORPORATE TAX RETURN
09:50:28 22	THAT THE DEFENDANT PREPARED FOR YOU?
09:50:29 23	A YES.
09:50:30 24	Q AND NOW, THIS LANGUAGE THAT APPEARED BEHALF
09:50:33 25	"ATTACHED HERE TO BY REFERENCES."

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page37 of 218

09:50:37 1	WHO PUT THAT LANGUAGE ABOVE THE U.S.
09:50:40 2	CORPORATION INCOME TAX RETURN LINE?
09:50:42 3	A THE DEFENDANT FORMULATED THAT WORDING.
09:50:45 4	Q AND DID YOU HAVE ANY ROLE IN PUTTING THE
09:50:47 5	LANGUAGE UP THERE?
09:50:48 6	A I TYPED IN AND THEN BASICALLY I FOLLOWED THE
09:50:58 7	DEFENDANT'S INSTRUCTIONS.
09:50:59 8	Q NOW, THE RETURN HERE SAYS "CONSOLIDATED."
09:51:04 9	DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY IT
09:51:06 10	WAS A CONSOLIDATED CORPORATE INCOME TAX RETURN?
09:51:10 11	A YES.
09:51:10 12	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
09:51:11 13	A IT'S ALTOGETHER COMBINED.
09:51:16 14	Q NOW, YOU HAD PREPARED TAX RETURNS FOR A WHILE
09:51:20 15	BEFORE THE DEFENDANT HELPED YOU WITH THESE TAX
09:51:21 16	RETURNS; RIGHT?
09:51:23 17	A YES.
09:51:23 18	Q HAD YOU EVER PREPARED A CONSOLIDATED TAX
09:51:27 19	RETURN?
09:51:28 20	A NEVER.
09:51:28 21	Q AND HAD YOU EVER RECEIVED TRAINING ON
09:51:30 22	PREPARING CONSOLIDATED TAX RETURNS?
09:51:33 23	A NO.
09:51:33 24	Q AND WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHETHER YOU
09:51:35 25	COULD FILE FOR MULTIPLE TAX YEARS AT ONCE?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page38 of 218

_	
09:51:38 1	A NOTHING.
09:51:38 2	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "NOTHING," COULD YOU EXPLAIN
09:51:44 3	WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT?
09:51:45 4	A I NEVER KNOW THAT PRIOR TO THAT THAT I CAN DO
09:51:52 5	AN AMENDED RETURN LIKE THIS AND ALTOGETHER REPORT
09:51:58 6	THE INCOME.
09:51:58 7	Q AND SO WHO SAID THAT YOU CAN DO A CONSOLIDATED
09:52:03 8	INCOME LIKE THIS?
09:52:04 9	A THE DEFENDANTS.
09:52:04 10	Q AND SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK NOW HERE AT THE NAME
09:52:08 11	OF THE CORPORATION, THE CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT
09:52:09 12	CORPORATION.
09:52:10 13	IS THAT THE CORPORATION THAT THE
09:52:11 14	DEFENDANT HELPED YOU FORM?
09:52:16 15	A YES.
09:52:16 16	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO WRITING IT HERE ON THIS
09:52:18 17	TAX RETURN, DID YOU FILE ANY PAPERWORK TO ACTUALLY
09:52:21 18	FORM A CORPORATION?
09:52:22 19	A YES.
09:52:22 20	Q AND WHERE DID YOU FILE THAT PAPERWORK?
09:52:24 21	A THE STATE OF OREGON, THE SECRETARY OF STATE.
09:52:28 22	Q AND WHO ACTUALLY FILED THE PAPERWORK?
09:52:31 23	A HE DID AND I DID ON THE COMPUTER.
09:52:40 24	Q NOW, LET'S GO LOOK AT BOX C THERE, DATE
09:52:44 25	INCORPORATED. IT SAYS BEGIN 1997.

09:52:52 1	WAS CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
09:52:55 2	ACTUALLY IN EXISTENCE IN 1997?
09:52:57 3	A THE CONCEPT OF PAYING A DEVELOPMENT COMPANY IN
09:53:07 4	MY MIND I HAD BEEN DOING DEVELOPMENT WORK BUT NEVER
09:53:11 5	FORMED A CORPORATION.
09:53:11 6	Q AND WHEN DID YOU ACTUALLY FORM THAT
09:53:14 7	CORPORATION?
09:53:14 8	A WHEN THE DEFENDANT CAME TO MY HOUSE.
09:53:16 9	Q NOW, DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN TO YOU WHOSE
09:53:22 10	IDEA IT WAS TO WRITE BEGIN 1997 ON THE RETURN?
09:53:26 11	A THE DEFENDANT'S.
09:53:28 12	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY YOU
09:53:30 13	COULD WRITE THAT?
09:53:30 14	A YES.
09:53:33 15	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
09:53:34 16	A HE SAID THAT'S THE CORRECT WAY TO DO IT.
09:53:40 17	Q NOW, IF WE TAKE A LOOK HERE AT LINE 1A.
09:53:50 18	THERE'S 94,168 REPORTED HERE?
09:53:54 19	A YES.
09:53:54 20	Q AND WHERE ARE THOSE GROSS RECEIPTS OR SALES
09:53:58 21	COMING FROM?
09:53:59 22	A THEY COME FROM COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE
09:54:08 23	ACTIVITIES AND FROM NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES AND
09:54:13 24	ALSO THE TAX PREPARATION FEES.
09:54:14 25	Q NOW, DO YOU RECALL JUST LOOKING AT YOUR 19

YOUR INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX RETURN FROM 1998 THAT 09:54:20 1 YOU PREPARED JUST A LITTLE WHILE AGO? WE LOOKED AT 09:54:24 2 09:54:27 3 THAT. 09:54:27 4 A YES. O AND WAS THIS NUMBER OR THIS INCOME REPORTED ON 09:54:27 5 09:54:30 6 THERE? A NO. I'M JUST TRYING TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND 09:54:30 7 THE OUESTION. 09:54:36 8 09:54:36 9 O SO REMEMBER LOOKING AT YOUR FORM 1040 FOR 1998. IT'S NOT ON THE SCREEN RIGHT NOW, MR. FUNG? 09:54:40 10 A YEAH. 09:54:43 11 Q AND YOU DIDN'T REPORT THE INCOME THAT IS SHOWN 09:54:43 12 ON THE RETURN IN FRONT OF YOU RIGHT NOW ON THAT 09:54:44 13 09:54:47 14 RETURN? A NO -- YEAH. 09:54:47 15 O AND NOW, I'D LIKE TO GO TO THE LAST PAGE OF 09:54:49 16 THIS EXHIBIT. 09:54:52 17 AND IF WE CAN JUST HIGHLIGHT THE GROSS 09:55:14 18 09:55:17 19 RECEIPTS AND SALES AND HIGHLIGHT IT UNDERNEATH. MR. FUNG, COULD YOU TELL THE JURY WHAT IS 09:55:19 20 09:55:22 21 SHOWN ON THIS PAGE. 09:55:29 22 A THIS PAGE SHOWS THE DIFFERENT ENTITIES THAT I HAVE WITH THE FEDERAL I.D. NUMBER. 09:55:31 23 Q AND WHEN YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THESE 09:55:37 24 DIFFERENT ENTITIES, THIS IS THE CORTLAND TRUST? 09:55:41 25

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page41 of 218

09:55:43 1 Α YES. AND WHAT IS THE OTHER ENTITY SHOWN THERE? 09:55:44 2 Q A PEGASUS TRUST. 09:55:46 AND WHAT WERE THEIR RELATIONSHIPS TO N.T.S.? 09:55:57 4 Q A THE PEGASUS TRUST IS WHAT I PURCHASED FROM 09:55:59 5 N.T.S. 09:56:04 6 09:56:04 7 Q AND SO THOSE WERE BOTH TRUSTS THAT YOU 09:56:06 8 PURCHASED FROM N.T.S.? 09:56:07 9 A YES. O AND IS THAT WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT SHOWN ON PAGE 09:56:07 10 09:56:11 11 1 OF THIS RETURN? 09:56:12 12 A YES. AND SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THIS CORPORATION 09:56:12 13 WAS REPORTING THE INCOME FROM YOUR NATIONAL N.T.S. 09:56:14 14 09:56:18 15 TRUST? 09:56:18 16 A YES. O ALL RIGHT. I'D LIKE TO LOOK NOW AT 09:56:18 17 09:56:20 18 GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 81. 09:56:33 19 AND IF WE CAN JUST FOCUS ON THE TOP OF 09:56:36 20 THIS RETURN. IS THIS THE AMENDED TAX RETURN THAT 09:56:38 21 THE DEFENDANT PREPARED FOR YOU FOR THE 1999 TAX 09:56:41 22 YEAR? A YES. 09:56:41 23 Q AND NOW, IF WE CAN, IF WE CAN GO TO THE CENTER 09:56:41 24 OF THIS RETURN, INCLUDING THE STAMP. 09:56:47 25

09:56:51 1	WHEN WAS THIS RETURN RECEIVED?
09:56:53 2	A MAY 9TH, 2002.
09:56:57 3	Q AND ACCORDING TO THIS CHART, HOW MUCH MORE
09:57:03 4	MONEY WAS REPORTED ON THE RETURN THAT THE DEFENDANT
09:57:05 5	PREPARED FOR YOU COMPARED TO THE RETURN THAT YOU
09:57:09 6	PREPARED FOR YOU AND YOUR WIFE? WHAT WAS THE
09:57:16 7	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO RETURNS?
09:57:18 8	A INCREASE.
09:57:18 9	Q AND HOW MUCH WAS THE INCREASE?
09:57:20 10	A 1,412.
09:57:23 11	Q AND IF WE JUST GO NOW TO THE BOTTOM BRIEFLY.
09:57:29 12	IS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE AT THE BOTTOM?
09:57:31 13	A YES.
09:57:31 14	Q AND DID HE SIGN THAT RETURN WHILE HE WAS IN
09:57:34 15	OREGON WITH YOU?
09:57:35 16	A YES.
09:57:35 17	Q AND I'D LIKE TO GO TO PAGE 3 OF THIS RETURN
09:57:42 18	AND FOCUS ON PART 2, IF YOU CAN GET ALL OF THAT.
09:57:56 19	NOW, WHO WROTE THE EXPLANATION THAT
09:57:58 20	APPEARS IN PART 2?
09:57:59 21	A I PROBABLY TYPED IT IN WITH THE HELP OF THE
09:58:10 22	DEFENDANTS.
09:58:10 23	Q AND NOW, WAS THIS PREPARED AT THE SAME TIME
09:58:13 24	THAT THE 1998 AMENDED RETURNS THAT WE WERE JUST
09:58:17 25	LOOKING AT WERE PREPARED?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page43 of 218

09:58:18 1	A YES.
09:58:18 2	Q LET'S GO TO EXHIBIT 82. IS THIS ANOTHER ONE
09:58:33 3	OF THE RETURNS THAT THE DEFENDANT PREPARED FOR YOU
09:58:35 4	WHILE HE WAS IN OREGON?
09:58:36 5	A YES.
09:58:36 6	Q AND THIS IS THE 1999 CORPORATE RETURN FOR
09:58:39 7	CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION?
09:58:41 8	A THAT'S CORRECT.
09:58:42 9	Q BUT JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOU HADN'T ACTUALLY
09:58:44 10	INCORPORATED THIS CORPORATION DURING THE 1999 TAX
09:58:48 11	YEAR; IS THAT RIGHT?
09:58:49 12	A NO.
09:58:51 13	Q AND WHERE WAS THE INCOME THAT WAS REPORTED ON
09:58:57 14	THIS FIRST CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION COMING
09:59:00 15	FROM?
09:59:00 16	A ALL OF THE ALL OF MY INCOME GENERATING
09:59:05 17	ACTIVITIES.
09:59:06 18	Q AND WAS IS IT AND THOSE INCOME
09:59:09 19	GENERATING ACTIVITIES WERE IN YOUR N.T.S. TRUST?
09:59:12 20	A YES.
09:59:12 21	Q IF YOU CAN SHOW, MR. FUNG, GOVERNMENT'S
09:59:17 22	EXHIBIT 83.
09:59:28 23	IS THIS THE AMENDED RETURN THAT THE
09:59:30 24	DEFENDANT PREPARED FOR YOU FOR THE 2000 TAX YEAR?
09:59:34 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page44 of 218

09:59:34 1	Q AND IF WE CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THE CENTER HERE,
09:59:42 2	THE INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS SECTION.
09:59:52 3	MR. FUNG, WAS THE INCOME REPORTED ON THE
09:59:54 4	RETURN THAT THE DEFENDANT PREPARED FOR YOU HIGHER
09:59:57 5	OR LOWER THAN THE RETURN THAT YOU PREPARED?
09:59:59 6	A LOWER.
09:59:59 7	Q NOW, IF WE CAN GO TO PAGE 2 OF THIS EXHIBIT,
10:00:09 8	AND ONCE AGAIN, LOOK AT THE EXPLANATION.
10:00:11 9	WHO CAME UP WITH THE LANGUAGE THAT WAS
10:00:23 10	PUT IN PART 2?
10:00:23 11	A THE DEFENDANTS.
10:00:25 12	Q AND IT SAYS HERE THAT "THE FORM 1040 ATTACHED
10:00:29 13	HERETO IS PROVIDED FOR DISCLOSURE PURPOSES."
10:00:32 14	DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN WHY YOU HAD TO
10:00:34 15	ATTACH THE FORM 1040?
10:00:41 16	A YES.
10:00:41 17	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
10:00:42 18	A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY.
10:00:43 19	Q DO YOU RECALL THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT HE
10:00:45 20	TOLD YOU?
10:00:45 21	A NO.
10:00:53 22	Q AND WAS THIS FORM ALSO SENT WAS THIS ALSO A
10:00:58 23	FORM THAT THE DEFENDANT INSTRUCTED YOU TO SEND TO
10:01:02 24	I.R.S. CID?
10:01:03 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page45 of 218

10:01:03 1	Q AND LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S
10:01:05 2	EXHIBIT 84. AND GOING UP TO THE TOP. IS THIS
10:01:17 3	ANOTHER ONE OF THE RETURNS THAT THE DEFENDANT
10:01:19 4	PREPARED FOR YOU WHILE HE WAS UP IN OREGON?
10:01:21 5	A YES.
10:01:21 6	Q AND AGAIN, WAS CYPRESS DEVELOPMENT
10:01:25 7	CORPORATION, HAD IT ACTUALLY BEEN INCORPORATED BY
10:01:28 8	THE 2000 TAX YEAR?
10:01:29 9	A NO.
10:01:30 10	Q AND WHO PREPARED THIS RETURN?
10:01:32 11	A I PROBABLY INPUT THE NUMBERS ON THE COMPUTER
10:01:41 12	WITH THE DEFENDANT INSTRUCTING HOW TO DO IT.
10:01:43 13	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "INSTRUCTING HOW TO DO IT,"
10:01:47 14	WHO CAME UP WITH THE NUMBERS ON THIS RETURN?
10:01:49 15	A FROM THE RECEIPTS AND RECORDS THAT I PUT BACK,
10:01:56 16	YOU KNOW, TOGETHER.
10:01:57 17	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT DID YOU OR THE
10:01:59 18	DEFENDANT COME UP WITH THE NUMBERS BASED ON THOSE
10:02:01 19	RECEIPTS OR DID YOU DO IT TOGETHER?
10:02:03 20	A I, I ADD ALL OF THE REVENUE AND EXPENSES
10:02:11 21	TOGETHER, YEAH.
10:02:11 22	Q AND HOW, IF AT ALL, DID THE DEFENDANTS
10:02:14 23	INSTRUCT YOU IN PREPARING THIS RETURN?
10:02:16 24	A TELL ME EXACTLY WHAT TO DO.
10:02:24 25	Q NOW, AFTER YOU HAD PREPARED THESE RETURNS, DID

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page46 of 218

THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHERE YOU HAD TO SEND THEM? 10:02:32 1 10:02:35 2 Α YES. AND WHERE WAS THAT? 10:02:36 3 Q THE I.R.S. CID IN WASHINGTON, D.C. Α 10:02:37 4 AND HAD YOU EVER SENT ANYTHING TO THE I.R.S. 10:02:44 5 0 CID IN WASHINGTON, D.C. BEFORE? 10:02:47 6 NO. 10:02:50 7 Α 10:02:50 8 Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT CID STANDS FOR? 10:02:53 9 Α YES. AND WHAT IS IT? 10:02:53 10 Q 10:02:54 11 Α CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION. 10:02:57 12 NOW, DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT Q WHAT THE EFFECT OF SENDING THOSE RETURNS WOULD HAVE 10:03:04 13 ON ANY JURISDICTION OF AGENT BROWN OVER YOUR AUDIT? 10:03:07 14 10:03:10 15 YES. Α Q AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU? 10:03:10 16 10:03:11 17 A NO JURISDICTION. 10:03:12 18 AND DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN WHY AGENT BROWN 10:03:15 19 WOULD LOSE JURISDICTION IF YOU SENT IT TO I.R.S. 10:03:19 20 CID? 10:03:19 21 A YES. 10:03:21 22 AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU? Q HE SAID THAT THE CRIMINAL DIVISION IS SEPARATE 10:03:22 23 Α 10:03:33 24 FROM THE EXAMINATION DIVISION. O AND WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF -- DID YOU 10:03:35 25

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page47 of 218

10:03:37 1	HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHETHER OR NOT THE
10:03:40 2	CRIMINAL I.R.S. CID DID AUDITS OF PEOPLE?
10:03:43 3	A NO, I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND AT THAT TIME.
10:03:48 4	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT
10:03:50 5	WHETHER I.R.S. CID DID AUDITS?
10:03:53 6	A NO.
10:03:54 7	Q NOW, DID YOU FOLLOW THE DEFENDANT'S
10:03:57 8	INSTRUCTIONS?
10:03:57 9	A YES.
10:03:58 10	Q AND, NOW, WITH THESE RETURNS, DID YOU SEND ANY
10:04:01 11	OTHER DOCUMENTS?
10:04:05 12	A YES.
10:04:05 13	Q WHAT SORT OF DOCUMENTS DID YOU SEND?
10:04:08 14	A ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT THE DEFENDANT
10:04:13 15	BOXES OF STUFF.
10:04:14 16	Q AND WHERE DID THE BOXES OF STUFF COME FROM?
10:04:16 17	A THE DEFENDANT BROUGHT IT OVER FROM HAWAII AND
10:04:19 18	ALSO SOME CD'S.
10:04:20 19	Q AND WHAT WERE THOSE CD'S, IF ANYTHING, USED
10:04:23 20	FOR WHILE HE WAS IN MEDFORD, OREGON?
10:04:26 21	A OPEN MAIL AND PRINTED MAIL.
10:04:31 22	Q AND WERE THOSE AND WHAT WAS PRINTED OUT,
10:04:32 23	WAS THAT IN THE BOXES?
10:04:35 24	A YES.
10:04:35 25	Q DID THE DEFENDANT PREPARE ANYTHING DID HE

## Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page48 of 218

WRITE OUT ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT WENT WITH YOUR 10:04:41 1 RETURNS TO WASHINGTON, D.C.? 10:04:43 2 10:04:45 3 Α YES. Q AND WHAT DID HE WRITE OUT? 10:04:45 4 A FEW PAGES OF DOCUMENTS. 10:04:47 5 Α AND DID YOU SEND THAT TO I.R.S. CID, TOO? 10:04:57 6 Q YES. 10:05:00 7 Α 10:05:00 8 0 AND WHO ACTUALLY MAILED ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS 10:05:02 9 TO I.R.S. CID? I DID. 10:05:04 10 Α 10:05:04 11 Q AND NOW, WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND WOULD HAPPEN 10:05:10 12 AFTER YOU MAILED IN ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS? THAT MY PROBLEM WOULD BE RESOLVED. 10:05:12 13 Α 10:05:22 14 NOW, WHEN YOU MAILED IN THE DOCUMENTS, DID YOU Q SEND ANY MONEY TO THE I.R.S.? 10:05:28 15 10:05:29 16 A YES. 10:05:29 17 Q AND HOW MUCH MONEY DID YOU SEND TO THE I.R.S.? 10:05:34 18 A THE TAXES I OWE ON THOSE AMENDED RETURNS. 10:05:37 19 NOW, AROUND THE TIME THAT YOU WERE HIRING THE DEFENDANT, WERE YOU STILL PREPARING TAXES AS WELL 10:05:52 20 10:05:54 21 FOR MEMBERS OF N.T.S.? 10:05:55 22 YES. Α 10:05:55 23 NOW, WHEN YOU WERE -- AT THIS TIME YOU WERE --0 10:05:58 24 HAD BEEN INTERACTING WITH AGENT BROWN, RIGHT, ABOUT THE LAWSUIT? 10:06:01 25

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page49 of 218

10:06:02 1	A YES, YES.
10:06:03 2	Q AND DID YOU CONTINUE TO GET NOTICES OR
10:06:07 3	MAILINGS FROM THE I.R.S. ABOUT THEIR INVESTIGATION
10:06:09 4	AFTER YOU SENT IN THE BOXES?
10:06:11 5	A YES.
10:06:11 6	Q AND HOW DID YOU FEEL WHEN YOU GOT THOSE
10:06:16 7	NOTICES?
10:06:16 8	A THAT I NEEDED TO DEAL WITH IT.
10:06:24 9	Q WERE YOU FEELING HAPPY? OR SCARED? HOW DID
10:06:27 10	YOU FEEL IN TERMS OF YOUR EMOTIONS WHEN YOU SAW
10:06:33 11	THOSE NOTICES?
10:06:34 12	A NOT HAPPY.
10:06:39 13	Q AND HOW DID YOU FEEL THEN?
10:06:41 14	A THAT IT WAS A BIG HEADACHE.
10:06:43 15	Q NOW, THESE RETURNS THAT YOU WERE STILL
10:06:45 16	PREPARING FOR MEMBERS OF NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES,
10:06:48 17	I'D LIKE TO TAKE A LOOK NOW AT GOVERNMENT'S
10:06:52 18	EXHIBIT 127.
10:07:09 19	AND I SPECIFICALLY JUST WANT TO IS
10:07:12 20	THIS THE 2001 1041 RETURN FOR MG TRUST.
10:07:17 21	DID YOU PREPARE THIS RETURN, MR. FUNG?
10:07:20 22	A YES.
10:07:20 23	Q AND I WANT TO FOCUS ON THE BOTTOM, THE
10:07:22 24	SIGNATURE BLOCK HERE.
10:07:23 25	DID YOU SIGN THIS RETURN?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page50 of 218

10:07:25 1	A YES.
10:07:29 2	Q AND HERE WHERE YOUR FIRM'S NAME IS LISTED,
10:07:33 3	WHAT DID YOU WRITE? COULD YOU JUST READ IT TO THE
10:07:35 4	JURY?
10:07:36 5	A CT MANAGEMENT.
10:07:37 6	Q AND WHAT WAS THAT AN ABBREVIATION FOR?
10:07:41 7	A CORTLAND TRUST MANAGEMENT.
10:07:43 8	Q NOW, ON PRIOR RETURNS, HAD YOU ACTUALLY USED A
10:07:46 9	DIFFERENT HAD YOU WRITTEN OR PUT DOWN YOUR
10:07:50 10	FIRM'S NAME DIFFERENTLY?
10:07:51 11	A YES.
10:07:54 12	Q AND HOW HAD YOU DONE IT BEFORE?
10:07:56 13	A A STAMP.
10:07:59 14	Q BUT THIS RETURN AND WHAT WAS THE DATE THAT
10:08:01 15	YOU SIGNED THIS RETURN AND PREPARED IT ON?
10:08:03 16	A APRIL 20TH, 2002.
10:08:06 17	Q AND IS THAT AROUND THE TIME THAT YOU STARTED
10:08:20 18	WORKING WITH THE DEFENDANT?
10:08:21 19	A YES.
10:08:21 20	Q NOW, I'D LIKE TO GO TO GOVERNMENT'S
10:08:25 21	EXHIBIT 123. OKAY. HIGHLIGHT THE FIRST THE TOP
10:08:31 22	AREA SO THE JURORS CAN SEE THE NAME.
10:08:36 23	IS THIS THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RETURN
10:08:38 24	THAT YOU PREPARED FOR MUDRACHI, INC.?
10:09:04 25	133. ACTUALLY GO TO 132.
l l	

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page51 of 218

10:09:13 1	NOW, IS THIS THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX
10:09:16 2	RETURN THAT YOU PREPARED FOR MG, INC.?
10:09:19 3	A YES.
10:09:21 4	THE COURT: WHICH EXHIBIT IS THIS?
10:09:23 5	MS. WONG: 132. 132, IT'S ALREADY IN
10:09:26 6	EVIDENCE.
10:09:26 7	THE COURT: 132.
10:09:28 8	MS. WONG: YEAH.
10:09:29 9	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
10:09:30 10	BY MS. WONG:
10:09:31 11	Q NOW, IF WE CAN PULL UP THE EXHIBIT THAT WE
10:09:33 12	WERE JUST TAKING A LOOK AT. THAT'S EXHIBIT 127.
10:10:00 13	GO TO THE FIRST PAGE OF THOSE. AND JUST
10:10:08 14	ZOOM IN ON THE TOP PART THERE SO THE JURORS CAN SEE
10:10:11 15	THE NAMES OF BOTH ENTITIES.
10:10:13 16	NOW, FOR THE 2001 TAX YEAR, THE NAME
10:10:19 17	WHAT IS THE NAME THAT IS WRITTEN ON THE TRUST
10:10:22 18	RETURN, MR. FUNG?
10:10:23 19	A MG TRUST.
10:10:25 20	Q AND FOR THE 2002 RETURN, WHAT IS THE NAME OF
10:10:30 21	THE ENTITY WRITTEN ON THE CORPORATE TAX RETURN?
10:11:04 22	WHAT IS THE NAME WRITTEN ON GOVERNMENT'S
10:11:06 23	EXHIBIT 132 AS THE NAME OF THE ENTITY?
10:11:10 24	A MG, INC.
10:11:14 25	Q NOW, IN 2001 IT WAS MG TRUST, RIGHT?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page52 of 218

10:11:18 1	A YES.
10:11:18 2	Q NOW, HOW DID WHAT ROLE DID YOU HAVE IN THE
10:11:22 3	NAME ON THE RETURN GOING FROM MG TRUST TO MG, INC.?
10:11:25 4	A I PREPARED IT.
10:11:32 5	Q AND WHAT, IF ANY, ADVICE DID YOU GIVE TO THE
10:11:35 6	TAXPAYER ABOUT FILING A CORPORATE RETURN, RATHER
10:11:40 7	THAN A TRUST RETURN?
10:11:41 8	A THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT THIS NEEDED TO BE
10:11:45 9	DONE.
10:11:45 10	Q AND SO DID YOU DID YOU HAVE A ROLE IN
10:11:48 11	PASSING ON THAT INFORMATION TO ANYONE BESIDES
10:11:50 12	YOURSELF?
10:11:51 13	A YES.
10:11:51 14	Q AND SO WHO DID YOU GIVE THAT INFORMATION TO?
10:11:55 15	A JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER.
10:12:01 16	Q AND HE WAS ONE OF YOUR TAX RETURN CLIENTS?
10:12:04 17	A YES.
10:12:04 18	Q AND ALSO A MEMBER OF N.T.S.?
10:12:07 19	A YES.
10:12:07 20	Q AND WHAT WAS THE REASON THAT YOU TOLD
10:12:12 21	MR. BOURDIER THAT IT WAS BETTER TO FILE A CORPORATE
10:12:15 22	RETURN THAN A TRUST RETURN?
10:12:16 23	A THAT THE I.R.S. HAS PUT ALL OF THE TRUST
10:12:28 24	RETURN THROUGH AUDIT; THAT ALL OF THE REVENUE
10:12:33 25	INCOME NEEDS TO BE REPORTED UNDER THE CHANGE OF

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page53 of 218

10:12:36 1	ENTITY OR CORPORATION.
10:12:37 2	Q NOW, IF WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF
10:12:41 3	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 132. YOU SAID YOU PREPARED
10:12:52 4	THIS RETURN. DID YOU SIGN IT?
10:12:53 5	A NO.
10:12:53 6	Q NOW, WHY DIDN'T YOU SIGN THIS RETURN?
10:12:56 7	A I DON'T WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.
10:13:01 8	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY YOU DON'T WANT TO BE
10:13:04 9	RESPONSIBLE, WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WERE YOU CONCERNED
10:13:06 10	ABOUT THE I.R.S. DISCOVERING?
10:13:08 11	A THE AUDIT.
10:13:13 12	Q AND IN ADDITION TO DEALING WITH THAT AUDIT,
10:13:16 13	DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN THE I.R.S. TRIED TO STOP
10:13:19 14	YOU FROM PREPARING RETURNS?
10:13:24 15	A YES.
10:13:24 16	Q AND HOW DID YOU LEARN THAT THE I.R.S. WAS
10:13:26 17	TRYING TO STOP YOU FROM PREPARING RETURNS?
10:13:28 18	A ON CHRISTMAS DAY A CLIENT CALLED ME SAYING
10:13:31 19	THAT MY NAME IS IN THE NEWSPAPER.
10:13:33 20	Q AND DID YOU EVENTUALLY GO AND LOOK AT THAT
10:13:36 21	NEWSPAPER?
10:13:36 22	A YES.
10:13:37 23	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DETERMINE THE I.R.S. WAS
10:13:40 24	TRYING TO STOP YOU FROM DOING?
10:13:41 25	A FROM FILING SOMETHING CALLED A PERMANENT

10:13:45 1	INJUNCTION AGAINST ME.
10:13:46 2	Q AND WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND THIS PERMANENT
10:13:48 3	INJUNCTION WAS SUPPOSED TO DO?
10:13:50 4	A DO NOT DO ANY TAX RETURNS.
10:13:56 5	Q SO YOU LEARNED THAT ON CHRISTMAS DAY. AND
10:14:00 6	JUST TO GO BACK TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 132 TO THE
10:14:06 7	STAMP. WHEN WAS THAT EXHIBIT 132 FILED ACCORDING
10:14:10 8	TO THE STAMP, MR. FUNG?
10:14:11 9	A JUNE 1ST, 2003.
10:14:18 10	Q NOW, WITH REGARDS TO WAS THERE EVENTUALLY
10:14:24 11	PAPERWORK OR A LAWSUIT FILED TO GET THAT PERMANENT
10:14:26 12	INJUNCTION AGAINST YOU BY THE GOVERNMENT? DID THE
10:14:31 13	GOVERNMENT FILE A LAWSUIT TO GET A PERMANENT
10:14:33 14	INJUNCTION AGAINST YOU?
10:14:34 15	A YES.
10:14:34 16	Q AND DID YOU RECEIVE THAT PAPERWORK?
10:14:36 17	A NO.
10:14:36 18	Q DID YOU END UP HAVING TO GO TO COURT IN THE
10:14:40 19	PERMANENT INJUNCTION CASE?
10:14:41 20	A YES.
10:14:41 21	Q AND DID YOU AT THAT TIME SEE SOME OF THE
10:14:44 22	PAPERWORK OR THE COMPLAINT THAT HAD BEEN FILED TO
10:14:47 23	GET THE PERMANENT INJUNCTION?
10:14:48 24	A YES.
10:14:48 25	Q DO YOU RECALL THE DATE THAT THE PERMANENT

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page55 of 218

A NOT EXACTLY, NO.
Q WOULD THE SEEING THE DOCKET SHEET FROM THAT
CASE REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?
A YES.
Q IS YOUR RECOLLECTION REFRESHED?
A YES.
Q AND WHAT WAS THE DATE THAT THE PERMANENT
INJUNCTION WAS FILED AGAINST YOU?
A DECEMBER 23RD, 2003.
Q AND WHAT WAS THE RESULT OF THAT LAWSUIT TO GET
THE PERMANENT INJUNCTION? DID YOU WIN OR LOSE?
A I BELIEVE THAT WAS RESOLVED. I DON'T DO
ANYTHING.
Q AND WHEN YOU SAY YOU DON'T DO ANYTHING, WHAT,
AFTER IT WAS RESOLVED, WERE YOU OR WERE YOU NOT
ALLOWED TO DO WITH TAX RETURNS?
A NOT ALLOWED.
Q AND WAS THAT RESOLUTION, WAS THAT ON
FEBRUARY 11TH OF 2004?
A YES.
Q NOW, AFTER THE PERMANENT INJUNCTION WAS
AFTER THE UNITED STATES FILED FOR A PERMANENT
INJUNCTION AGAINST YOU, BUT BEFORE YOU RESOLVED IT
ON FEBRUARY IN FEBRUARY OF 2004, WERE YOU

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page56 of 218

10:16:31 1	VISITED BY SPECIAL AGENT BONANO FROM THE I.R.S.?
10:16:35 2	A I DON'T RECALL THE TIME, BUT I DID GET A VISIT
10:16:41 3	FROM SPECIAL AGENT KENNETH BONANO.
10:16:44 4	Q AND AFTER YOU RECEIVED THAT VISIT, DID YOU
10:16:47 5	SEND ANY LETTER TO MR. BONANO?
10:16:50 6	A I THINK I DID.
10:16:57 7	Q WOULD SEEING THAT LETTER PERHAPS REFRESH YOUR
10:16:59 8	RECOLLECTION AS TO THE DATE?
10:17:01 9	A YES.
10:17:01 10	Q I'M SHOWING MR. FUNG WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
10:17:07 11	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 101 FOR IDENTIFICATION
10:17:10 12	PURPOSES.
10:17:12 13	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE THIS, MR. FONG?
10:17:15 14	MR. FONG: YES.
10:17:17 15	BY MS. WONG:
10:17:18 16	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 101,
10:17:20 17	MR. FUNG?
10:17:21 18	A YES.
10:17:22 19	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
10:17:28 20	A IT'S A LETTER THAT I SENT TO SPECIAL AGENT
10:17:31 21	KENNETH BONANO.
10:17:31 22	Q AND IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE AT THE BOTTOM?
10:17:33 23	A YES.
10:17:33 24	Q AND IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE
10:17:36 25	LETTER THAT YOU SENT HIM?

10:17:37 1	A YES.
10:17:40 2	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
10:17:41 3	OFFERS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 101 INTO EVIDENCE.
10:17:44 4	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
10:17:44 5	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED AT THIS TIME.
10:17:46 6	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
10:17:46 7	101, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
10:17:46 8	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
10:17:47 9	EVIDENCE.)
10:17:47 10	BY MS. WONG:
10:17:47 11	Q NOW, ACCORDING TO THIS LETTER, MR. FUNG, WHEN
10:17:50 12	DID SPECIAL AGENT BONANO VISIT YOU?
10:17:55 13	A IN THE MONTH OF MARCH 2003.
10:17:58 14	Q NOW, WHO PREPARED THIS LETTER?
10:18:10 15	A THE DEFENDANT HELPED ME WITH THE VERBIAGE.
10:18:12 16	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THE DEFENDANT HELPED YOU,
10:18:24 17	AFTER SPECIAL AGENT BONANO VISITED YOU, DID YOU
10:18:26 18	CONTACT THE DEFENDANT?
10:18:27 19	A YES.
10:18:27 20	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?
10:18:29 21	A I TOLD HIM WHAT HAPPENED.
10:18:35 22	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY WHEN YOU TOLD
10:18:37 23	HIM?
10:18:37 24	A THAT I NEED TO WRITE THE AGENT A LETTER.
10:18:43 25	Q AND IF WE CAN FOCUS ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH,

10:18:53 1	PARAGRAPH THEREIN.
10:18:58 2	WHAT ARE THE FELONY CONFESSIONS OVER THE
10:19:04 3	GRAND JURIES THAT IS MENTIONED IN THIS LETTER?
10:19:13 4	WHAT IS THAT REFERRING TO?
10:19:15 5	A NOW I REMEMBER ON THE RETURN THAT I SENT TO
10:19:21 6	THE I.R.S. CID THAT I MADE SOME TYPE OF CONFESSION
10:19:27 7	INSTRUCTED BY THE DEFENDANT.
10:19:28 8	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "SOME TYPE OF CONFESSIONS" DO
10:19:32 9	YOU RECALL WHAT THOSE CONFESSIONS RELATED TO?
10:19:35 10	A I DON'T RECALL.
10:19:39 11	Q DID THEY HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH TAXES?
10:19:41 12	A YES.
10:19:41 13	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT
10:19:43 14	WHY YOU SHOULD MAKE FELONY CONVICTIONS TO THE
10:19:45 15	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION OF THE I.R.S.?
10:19:47 16	A I THINK THE DEFENDANT SAID THAT IF I MAKE THE
10:19:58 17	CONFESSION, THERE'S NO DOCUMENT, NO DISPUTE.
10:20:01 18	Q AND WHAT EFFECT WOULD THAT HAVING NO DISPUTE
10:20:04 19	HAVE ON YOUR TAX PROBLEMS?
10:20:05 20	A IT WOULD BE RESOLVED.
10:20:10 21	Q NOW, GOING TO ANOTHER PART OF GOVERNMENT'S
10:20:13 22	EXHIBIT 101, IT ALSO THIS LETTER ALSO SAYS THAT
10:20:17 23	THE CONFESSIONS ARE THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF THE
10:20:19 24	U.S. GRAND JURIES AND ARE PER SE CONDITIONAL BUT
10:20:26 25	EXPRESSLY OUTSIDE OF YOUR JURISDICTION.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page59 of 218

10:20:29 1	NOW, WHEN THE LETTER SAYS THAT IT'S
10:20:31 2	OUTSIDE SPECIAL AGENT BONANO'S JURISDICTION, WHAT
10:20:34 3	DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS LETTER WAS GOING TO
10:20:37 4	ACCOMPLISH?
10:20:37 5	A THAT THEY WOULD, YOU KNOW, NOT DEAL WITH IT.
10:20:49 6	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THEY WON'T DEAL WITH IT,"
10:20:53 7	WHO WON'T DEAL WITH IT?
10:20:54 8	A THE AGENT KENNETH BONANO.
10:20:57 9	Q BUT AT THAT TIME DID YOU ALSO KNOW THAT AGENT
10:21:00 10	BONANO WAS FROM I.R.S. CID?
10:21:02 11	A YES.
10:21:03 12	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO SENDING THAT LETTER TO
10:21:09 13	AGENT BONANO, DID YOU ALSO SUBMIT A DID YOU FILE
10:21:14 14	ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS WITH REGARDS TO A DEMAND FOR A
10:21:20 15	GRAND JURY?
10:21:20 16	A YES, I DID.
10:21:26 17	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR
10:21:29 18	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 42,
10:21:38 19	AND I'LL ASK IF YOU RECOGNIZE THAT.
10:21:39 20	THE COURT: CAN YOU SEE THOSE, MR. FONG?
10:21:41 21	MR. FONG: YES.
10:21:49 22	THE WITNESS: YES, I RECOGNIZE THAT.
10:21:50 23	BY MS. WONG:
10:21:51 24	Q AND WHAT IS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 42?
10:21:53 25	A IT'S TO THE I.R.S. CID.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page60 of 218

10:22:07 1	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHO PREPARED THAT DOCUMENT?
10:22:09 2	A YES.
10:22:09 3	Q AND HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?
10:22:12 4	A IT'S BEING PREPARED BY THE DEFENDANTS.
10:22:16 5	Q AND DID YOU SIGN THAT DOCUMENT?
10:22:17 6	A YES.
10:22:20 7	Q IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE DOCUMENT
10:22:23 8	THAT THE DEFENDANT PREPARED AND YOU SIGNED?
10:22:26 9	A YES.
10:22:27 10	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
10:22:28 11	WOULD SEEK TO ADMIT GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 42.
10:22:34 12	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
10:22:35 13	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
10:22:36 14	OBJECTION.
10:22:36 15	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 42,
10:22:36 16	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
10:22:36 17	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
10:22:37 18	EVIDENCE.)
10:22:37 19	BY MS. WONG:
10:22:47 20	Q LET ME PUBLISH IT TO THE JURY. NOW, MR. FUNG,
10:22:50 21	COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO THE JURY WHAT YOU THOUGHT
10:22:52 22	EXHIBIT 42, THIS DECLARATION PLUS SUBMISSION FOR
10:22:55 23	DEMAND TO THE UNITED STATES GRAND JURIES, WOULD
10:22:59 24	ACCOMPLISH?
10:23:00 25	A THAT THE PROBLEM WOULD DISAPPEAR.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page61 of 218

10:23:17 1	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE PROBLEM," WHAT PROBLEM?
10:23:20 2	A THE TAX PROBLEMS THAT I WAS TOLD THAT I WAS
10:23:23 3	BEING TARGETED.
10:23:24 4	Q NOW, IF WE FOCUS ON THE LAST PARAGRAPH HERE ON
10:23:27 5	PAGE 1, IT BEGINS, "THIS DECLARATION IS FILED BY
10:23:34 6	SAMUEL S. FUNG."
10:23:37 7	DID YOU INTEND TO REVOKE AND CONFIRM
10:23:40 8	REVOCATION OF YOUR STATE CITIZENSHIP AS STATED IN
10:23:43 9	THIS PARAGRAPH?
10:23:44 10	A NO.
10:23:45 11	Q DID YOU UNDERSTAND WHEN YOU SIGNED THIS
10:23:47 12	DOCUMENT WHAT THIS PARAGRAPH MEANT?
10:23:49 13	A NOT EXACTLY.
10:23:50 14	Q WHO CAME UP WITH THE LANGUAGE IN THIS
10:23:54 15	PARAGRAPH AND THE OTHER PARAGRAPHS?
10:23:56 16	A THE DEFENDANTS.
10:23:57 17	Q NOW, IF WE GO TO THE LAST PAGE OF THIS, OF
10:24:08 18	THIS DOCUMENT AND IF WE FOCUS STARTING ON THE FIRST
10:24:26 19	FULL PARAGRAPH ON HERE.
10:24:33 20	AND THIS FIRST PARAGRAPH MENTIONS THE
10:24:36 21	COMMITTING TO FILE CORPORATE TAX RETURNS.
10:24:40 22	WHOSE IDEA WAS IT TO HELP NATIONAL TRUST
10:24:44 23	SERVICES FILE CORPORATE TAX RETURNS?
10:24:45 24	A THE DEFENDANT'S.
10:24:46 25	Q AND WAS THIS DOCUMENT PREPARED ON OR ABOUT

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page62 of 218

10:24:48 1	AUGUST 27TH OF 2003 AS SHOWN AT THE BOTTOM THERE?
10:24:52 2	A YES.
10:24:52 3	Q AND WHERE DID YOU SEND THIS DOCUMENT AFTER YOU
10:24:55 4	SIGNED IT?
10:24:55 5	A I.R.S. CID.
10:25:05 6	Q AND NOW, IN A WERE YOU WAS EVERYTHING IN
10:25:09 7	THAT DECLARATION THAT WE HAVE JUST LOOKED AT,
10:25:11 8	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 42, WAS ALL OF THAT COMPLETELY
10:25:13 9	TRUTHFUL AND ACCURATE?
10:25:14 10	A YES.
10:25:15 11	Q AND SO YOU INTENDED TO REVOKE YOUR CITIZENSHIP
10:25:23 12	AS WE LOOKED AT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH THERE?
10:25:25 13	A AT THAT TIME I WAS NOT THINKING STRAIGHT.
10:25:29 14	Q SO YOU WEREN'T THINKING STRAIGHT. BUT NOW
10:25:32 15	LOOKING AT THAT DOCUMENT, DID YOU THINK THAT
10:25:35 16	EVERYTHING IN THAT DOCUMENT, THAT YOU WERE BEING
10:25:37 17	FORTHCOMING IN THAT DOCUMENT?
10:25:38 18	A YES.
10:25:39 19	Q AND SO DO YOU STILL INTEND TO REVOKE YOUR
10:25:41 20	CITIZENSHIP?
10:25:42 21	A NO.
10:25:42 22	Q AND SO WAS THAT PARAGRAPH TRUE OR FALSE?
10:25:45 23	A FALSE.
10:25:46 24	Q AND WERE THERE OTHER PARAGRAPHS IN THAT
10:25:48 25	DOCUMENT THAT WERE ALSO FALSE?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page63 of 218

10:25:49 1	A I'VE NOT READ THE DOCUMENT YET SO.
10:25:58 2	Q WOULD YOU WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AGAIN AT THE
10:26:01 3	DOCUMENT?
10:26:02 4	A SURE.
10:27:30 5	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
10:27:32 6	THE WITNESS: SOME ARE FALSE AND SOME ARE
10:27:34 7	TRUE.
10:27:34 8	BY MS. WONG:
10:27:35 9	Q SO IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT SOME OF THE PARTS OF
10:27:37 10	THE DOCUMENT ARE FALSE?
10:27:38 11	A YES.
10:27:38 12	Q NOW, I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT
10:27:40 13	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 100 AND SEE IF YOU RECOGNIZE
10:27:48 14	THAT DOCUMENT.
10:27:49 15	A YES.
10:27:51 16	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME, COUNSEL.
10:27:52 17	MR. FONG, I'M ASSUMING THAT YOU HAVE SEEN
10:27:56 18	ALL OF THESE EXHIBITS.
10:27:57 19	MR. FONG: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:27:57 20	THE COURT: IF YOU HAVEN'T, PLEASE SING
10:27:59 21	OUT AND LET ME KNOW SO THAT COUNSEL CAN SHOW THEM
10:28:02 22	TO YOU.
10:28:03 23	MR. FONG: I CERTAINLY WILL, YOUR HONOR.
10:28:05 24	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
10:28:06 25	BY MS. WONG:

10:28:07 1	Q WHAT IS THE GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 100 THAT I
10:28:09 2	JUST SHOWED YOU, MR. FUNG?
10:28:11 3	A THIS IS THE LETTER THAT I WROTE TO I.R.S.
10:28:14 4	AGENT MR. DENNIS BROWN.
10:28:16 5	Q AND IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE
10:28:19 6	LETTER THAT YOU WROTE?
10:28:20 7	A YES.
10:28:21 8	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
10:28:23 9	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 100 INTO EVIDENCE.
10:28:25 10	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
10:28:26 11	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
10:28:29 12	OBJECTION. EXCUSE ME.
10:28:39 13	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
10:28:39 14	100, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
10:28:39 15	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
10:28:40 16	EVIDENCE.)
10:28:40 17	MS. WONG:
10:28:41 18	Q AT THIS TIME COULD WE PUBLISH EXHIBIT 100.
10:28:43 19	WHO CAME UP WITH THE LANGUAGE IN THIS LETTER,
10:28:46 20	MR. FUNG?
10:28:46 21	A THE DEFENDANT.
10:28:46 22	Q AND IN GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 100, WHICH YOU CAN
10:28:50 23	SEE ON THE SCREEN, IT MENTIONS HERE THAT THE
10:28:53 24	THAT CID HAS BEEN PROVIDED INFORMATION AND
10:28:59 25	TERMINATES OR PURPORTS TO TERMINATE AGENT BROWN'S

10:29:02 1	JURISDICTION.
10:29:04 2	WHO TOLD YOU THAT YOU COULD TERMINATE THE
10:29:06 3	JURISDICTION OF AGENT BROWN?
10:29:07 4	A THE DEFENDANT.
10:29:08 5	Q AND WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND WHEN YOU SENT THIS
10:29:11 6	LETTER THAT IT WOULD DO?
10:29:12 7	A THAT THE I.R.S. AND DENNIS BROWN WOULD LEAVE
10:29:23 8	ME ALONE.
10:29:23 9	Q AND WAS AGENT BROWN THE ONE WHO WAS DOING YOUR
10:29:26 10	I.R.S. AUDIT?
10:29:27 11	A I DID GET AN AUDIT LETTER, YES. I THINK IT'S
10:29:34 12	DENNIS BROWN.
10:29:35 13	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO THE BEFORE WE LOOKED AT
10:29:38 14	THE LETTER, WE LOOKED AT THAT DECLARATION THAT YOU
10:29:41 15	FILED AND REQUEST FOR GRAND JURIES.
10:29:43 16	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
10:29:44 17	DID YOU ALSO PREPARE OTHER DECLARATIONS
10:29:48 18	WITH THE HELP OF THE DEFENDANT?
10:29:49 19	A YES.
10:29:50 20	Q AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT SOME OF THE
10:29:53 21	STATEMENTS IN THOSE DECLARATIONS ARE TRUE AND SOME
10:29:55 22	OF THE STATEMENTS IN THOSE DECLARATIONS ARE FALSE?
10:29:57 23	A YES.
10:29:58 24	Q NOW I'D LIKE TO MOVE ON TO AFTER THE TIME WHEN
10:30:08 25	YOU HIRED THE DEFENDANT, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page66 of 218

10:30:11 1	YOU PROVIDED THE DEFENDANT'S NAME TO SOME OF YOUR
10:30:14 2	CLIENTS WHO HAD HIRED YOU TO PREPARE TAX RETURNS?
10:30:16 3	A YES.
10:30:16 4	Q AND WERE THESE CLIENTS ALSO MEMBERS OF
10:30:21 5	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
10:30:21 6	A YES.
10:30:21 7	Q NOW, THESE SAME CLIENTS, DID THEY INCLUDE
10:30:30 8	MR. JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER, DR. MORRIS BROCK?
10:30:34 9	A YES.
10:30:34 10	Q AND HOW ABOUT DR. CATHERINE HANEY?
10:30:36 11	A YES.
10:30:37 12	Q AND DR. IRWIN AND SUSAN GOOTNICK?
10:30:39 13	A YES.
10:30:40 14	Q NOW, LET'S START AND TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
10:30:47 15	DR. MORRIS BROCK AND DR. CATHERINE HANEY.
10:30:50 16	HAD YOU SPOKEN TO THEM ABOUT THE I.R.S.
10:30:56 17	INVESTIGATION OF NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
10:30:58 18	A YES.
10:30:58 19	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, HAD YOU TOLD THEM ABOUT
10:31:01 20	THAT INVESTIGATION?
10:31:02 21	A THAT THE I.R.S. WOULD AUDIT THEIR TRUST TAX
10:31:11 22	RETURNS.
10:31:11 23	Q AND WHAT DID YOU SAY WOULD HAPPEN IF THE
10:31:16 24	I.R.S. AUDITED THEIR TRUST TAX RETURNS?
10:31:18 25	A THAT THEY WOULD AUDIT THEIR TRUST.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page67 of 218

10:31:24 1	Q AND SO AFTER YOU TOLD DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY
10:31:27 2	THAT THE I.R.S. WOULD AUDIT THEIR TRUST TAX
10:31:29 3	RETURNS, WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU TELL THEM ABOUT
10:31:36 4	THE DEFENDANT?
10:31:37 5	A THAT I HIRED THE DEFENDANT TO HELP ME OUT;
10:31:44 6	THAT IF THEY WANT TO HIRE THE DEFENDANT, THEY'RE
10:31:48 7	WELCOME TO.
10:31:48 8	Q AND WHEN YOU TOLD THEM THAT THEY WERE WELCOME
10:31:52 9	TO HIRE THE DEFENDANT, DID YOU HELP BY PROVIDING
10:31:56 10	ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THE DEFENDANT TO DR. BROCK
10:31:58 11	AND DR. HANEY?
10:31:59 12	A YES.
10:31:59 13	Q AND WHAT SORT OF INFORMATION DID YOU GIVE
10:32:01 14	THEM?
10:32:01 15	A THE NAME AND PHONE NUMBER.
10:32:03 16	Q AND WHAT DID YOU AND WHEN YOU TOLD
10:32:07 17	DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD
10:32:09 18	HELPED YOU WITH YOUR TAX PROBLEMS, DID YOU EXPLAIN
10:32:12 19	WHAT HE HAD DONE?
10:32:12 20	A YES.
10:32:13 21	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL THEM?
10:32:15 22	A THAT THE DEFENDANT AMENDED MY TAX RETURNS AND
10:32:23 23	SUPPLIED A WHOLE BUNCH OF DOCUMENTS.
10:32:25 24	Q AND DID YOU TELL DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY WHAT
10:32:28 25	EFFECT THE DEFENDANT'S PLAN HAD HAD ON YOUR TAX

10:32:30 1	SITUATION?
10:32:30 2	A THAT IT WAS A WAY TO RESOLVE ALL OF THIS TRUST
10:32:43 3	RETURNS AND WHATNOT.
10:32:44 4	Q SO YOU TOLD THE DEFENDANTS IT WAS A WAY TO
10:32:47 5	SOLVE THEIR TRUST RETURNS?
10:32:51 6	A YES.
10:32:52 7	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEARNED
10:32:54 8	WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT HAD ACTUALLY BEEN
10:32:57 9	HIRED BY DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY?
10:32:59 10	A YES.
10:32:59 11	Q AND HOW DID YOU LEARN THAT?
10:33:11 12	A THAT I RECEIVED A CALL FROM THE DEFENDANT TO
10:33:13 13	MAKE SURE I HAVE A CHECK OR SOME MONEY THAT I
10:33:15 14	RECEIVED BEFORE DR. BROCK AND THESE PEOPLE.
10:33:24 15	Q NOW, BEFORE YOU RECEIVED THAT PHONE CALL FROM
10:33:26 16	THE DEFENDANT, HAD YOU ALSO BEEN TALKING WITH THE
10:33:30 17	DEFENDANT OVER THE PHONE BEFORE THAT?
10:33:31 18	A YES.
10:33:32 19	Q AND YOU TALKED ABOUT SOME OF YOUR NATIONAL
10:33:37 20	YOUR CLIENT'S FROM NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES WITH
10:33:40 21	THEM?
10:33:41 22	A YES.
10:33:41 23	Q AND DID THAT INCLUDE DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY?
10:33:44 24	A YES.
10:33:44 25	Q NOW, THIS CHECK THAT THE DEFENDANT REFERRED

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page69 of 218

10:33:48 1	TO, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU GOT THAT CHECK?
10:33:51 2	A YES.
10:33:51 3	Q AND HOW MUCH WAS THAT CHECK FOR?
10:33:52 4	A FIFTY THOUSAND.
10:33:55 5	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THAT CHECK?
10:33:57 6	A I REMEMBER I HELD ON TO IT, AND I TOLD THE
10:34:04 7	DEFENDANT THE MONEY IS AVAILABLE.
10:34:07 8	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN THE DEFENDANT
10:34:09 9	ARRIVED IN SAN FRANCISCO AGAIN?
10:34:13 10	A YES.
10:34:14 11	Q AND WHERE, IF ANYWHERE, DID YOU TAKE HIM AFTER
10:34:18 12	HE ARRIVED?
10:34:19 13	A TO DR. BROCK'S RESIDENCE IN SOUTHERN
10:34:27 14	CALIFORNIA.
10:34:28 15	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT IS
10:34:30 16	AT A GOOD STOPPING POINT.
10:34:32 17	THE COURT: LET'S TAKE OUR MORNING BREAK,
10:34:35 18	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE'LL TAKE ABOUT 12 MINUTES.
10:34:37 19	I REMIND YOU OF THE ADMONITION.
10:46:18 20	(WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
10:46:19 21	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD AND
10:49:46 22	THE PARTIES PREVIOUSLY PRESENT ARE PRESENT AND THE
10:49:48 23	DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND THE OUR JURORS ARE PRESENT
10:49:50 24	AND INCLUDING THE ALTERNATES.
10:49:53 25	MR. O'REILLY: YOUR HONOR, WE APPEAR TO

10:49:54 1	BE MISSING ONE.
10:49:55 2	THE COURT: WE'RE MISSING JUROR NUMBER 3,
10:49:58 3	PARDON ME, MS. ZOU. SO WE'LL WAIT JUST A MOMENT.
10:50:37 4	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
10:50:38 5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ALL OF OUR JURORS
10:50:40 6	ARE NOW PRESENT. AND MR. FUNG IS ON THE STAND.
10:50:45 7	YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH.
10:50:48 8	MS. WONG, WOULD YOU LIKE TO CONTINUE?
10:50:51 9	MS. WONG: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:50:53 10	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT WHAT
10:50:55 11	HAPPENED WHEN YOU TOOK THE DEFENDANT TO THE BROCKS'
10:51:00 12	HOME IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, I JUST WANT TO HAVE
10:51:03 13	YOU TAKE A LOOK FIRST AT GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 212,
10:51:07 14	WHICH IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.
10:51:13 15	JUST GO TO THE FIRST PAGE OF THAT RETURN.
10:51:25 16	NOW, MR. FUNG, IS THIS ONE OF THE TAX
10:51:27 17	RETURNS THAT YOU PREPARED FOR THE BROCKS?
10:51:30 18	A YES.
10:51:30 19	Q AND DID YOU ALSO PREPARE THEIR N.T.S. TRUST
10:51:34 20	RETURNS?
10:51:34 21	A YES.
10:51:34 22	Q AND DID YOU RECEIVE ANY MONEY FOR PREPARING
10:51:41 23	THEIR RETURNS?
10:51:42 24	A YES.
10:51:42 25	Q AND SO YOU PREPARED THEIR 1999 TAX RETURNS.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page71 of 218

10:51:45 1	I'D LIKE TO NOW TURN YOUR ATTENTION TO
10:51:47 2	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 216, WHICH IS ALSO ALREADY IN
10:51:51 3	EVIDENCE.
10:51:58 4	MR. FONG: I'M SORRY, 216?
10:52:04 5	MS. WONG: 216.
10:52:06 6	Q IS THIS ALSO ONE OF THE RETURNS THAT YOU
10:52:08 7	PREPARED FOR THE BROCKS?
10:52:09 8	A YES.
10:52:09 9	Q AND DID YOU ALSO RECEIVE MONEY FOR PREPARING
10:52:12 10	THIS RETURN?
10:52:12 11	A YES.
10:52:12 12	Q LET'S GO TO 217 AND GO TO THE FIFTH PAGE OF
10:52:20 13	THAT RETURN.
10:52:21 14	AND JUST BLOW UP THE TOP PART SO THAT THE
10:52:25 15	JURY CAN SEE THE NAME.
10:52:26 16	NOW, WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE TRUST THAT
10:52:31 17	IS ON THIS TAX RETURN?
10:52:33 18	A B & B TRUST.
10:52:36 19	Q AND IS THAT ONE OF THE TRUSTS THAT BELONG TO
10:52:38 20	THE BROCKS?
10:52:40 21	A YES.
10:52:40 22	Q OKAY. LET'S GO TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 218
10:52:53 23	AND IT'S GOING TO BE PAGE 5.
10:52:55 24	AND JUST GO TO THE TOP SO THE JURY CAN
10:52:57 25	SEE THE NAME.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page72 of 218

10:52:58 1	NOW, WHAT IS THE NAME ON THIS RETURN?
10:53:06 2	A INSIGHT COMPANY TRUST?
10:53:08 3	Q AND IS THAT ANOTHER TRUST THAT BELONGED TO THE
10:53:12 4	BROCKS?
10:53:13 5	A YES.
10:53:13 6	Q AND NOW LET'S GO TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 219.
10:53:46 7	AND IS THIS ALSO A RETURN THAT YOU
10:53:48 8	PREPARED FOR THE BROCKS?
10:53:49 9	A YES.
10:53:49 10	Q NOW, WHEN YOU PREPARED THESE RETURNS, DID YOU
10:53:54 11	PREPARE THEM IN ACCORD WITH THE TEACHINGS OF
10:53:57 12	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
10:53:59 13	A YES.
10:53:59 14	Q AND WHAT WAS THE EFFECT THAT THAT HAD HAD ON
10:54:02 15	THE BROCKS' TAXES THAT THEY OWED AND THEIR TAXABLE
10:54:06 16	INCOME THAT THEY REPORTED?
10:54:08 17	A THEY WERE LOWER OR IT WAS NOT THERE.
10:54:11 18	Q AND SO WHEN YOU TOOK THE DEFENDANT TO THE
10:54:14 19	BROCKS' HOME AND INTRODUCED HIM TO THEM, DID YOU
10:54:19 20	KNOW THAT YOU HAD YOU TOLD THE DEFENDANT ABOUT
10:54:22 21	THE BROCKS' TAX RETURNS?
10:54:26 22	A YES.
10:54:26 23	Q AND HAD YOU TOLD THEM HAD YOU TOLD THEM
10:54:29 24	SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE TAXES THAT WERE REPORTED ON
10:54:31 25	THERE?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page73 of 218

10:54:31 1	A YES.
10:54:35 2	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL THE DEFENDANT?
10:54:38 3	A THAT THEY ALSO ARE ANOTHER N.T.S. CLIENTS AND
10:54:47 4	THEY ARE USING THEIR TRUSTS AND THEY PROBABLY WOULD
10:54:51 5	DO THE SAME THING THAT THEY HELPED ME TO DO.
10:54:54 6	Q AND WHEN YOU WERE TAKING THE DEFENDANT OVER TO
10:54:56 7	THE BROCKS' HOME, WHAT HAD WHAT DID YOU
10:55:00 8	UNDERSTAND THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS GOING TO DO FOR
10:55:02 9	THE BROCKS?
10:55:04 10	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, SPECULATION.
10:55:06 11	BY MS. WONG:
10:55:07 12	Q IF YOU KNOW?
10:55:08 13	THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW?
10:55:09 14	THE WITNESS: NO.
10:55:10 15	BY MS. WONG:
10:55:10 16	Q HAD YOU TALKED ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN
10:55:13 17	WHEN YOU AND THE DEFENDANT GOT TO THE BROCKS'
10:55:16 18	HOUSE?
10:55:16 19	A WOULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION.
10:55:17 20	Q HAD YOU AND THE DEFENDANT TALKED ABOUT WHAT
10:55:20 21	WAS GOING TO HAPPEN OR WHAT YOU TWO WOULD DO WHEN
10:55:23 22	YOU GOT TO THE BROCKS' HOUSE?
10:55:26 23	A NO. I THINK WE DROVE SEPARATE CARS.
10:55:33 24	Q WHEN YOU GOT TO THE BROCKS' HOUSE, DID THE
10:55:36 25	DEFENDANT SPEAK WITH THE BROCKS?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page74 of 218

10:55:40 1	A YES.
10:55:41 2	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THEY WERE SPEAKING
10:55:43 3	TOGETHER?
10:55:43 4	A YES.
10:55:43 5	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY THAT HE WAS
10:55:45 6	GOING TO DO, IF ANYTHING?
10:55:46 7	A THE DEFENDANT CAME UP WITH SOME TYPE OF A PLAN
10:55:59 8	SCHEME TO DEAL WITH ALL OF THE ASSETS.
10:56:01 9	Q AND IN ADDITION TO DEALING WITH ALL OF THE
10:56:03 10	ASSETS, WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID THE DEFENDANT COME
10:56:05 11	UP WITH, WITH REGARDS TO THE BROCKS' TAXES?
10:56:11 12	A I DON'T RECALL, BUT SOME KIND OF A PLAN TO
10:56:22 13	HELP THEM DO SOMETHING.
10:56:23 14	Q I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU NOW, MR. FUNG, WHAT HAS
10:56:28 15	BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AND SHOWN
10:56:31 16	TO DEFENSE COUNSEL AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 206 AND
10:56:38 17	ASK IF YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
10:56:39 18	A YES.
10:56:39 19	Q AND WHAT IS THAT DOCUMENT?
10:56:40 20	A IT IS A SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM TRYING TO UNDERSTAND
10:56:50 21	WHAT THE DEFENDANT CAME UP WITH A SCHEME.
10:56:53 22	Q OKAY. AND DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT HANDWRITING?
10:56:56 23	A YES.
10:56:57 24	Q AND WHOSE HANDWRITING IS IT?
10:56:59 25	A IT'S MINE.

10:57:00 1	Q AND WAS THAT DIAGRAM CREATED OR THAT SCHEMATIC
10:57:04 2	CREATED WHEN YOU AND THE DEFENDANT WERE AT THE
10:57:06 3	BROCKS' HOUSE?
10:57:07 4	A YES.
10:57:08 5	Q IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE DOES IT FAIRLY
10:57:14 6	AND ACCURATELY SHOW THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU CREATED
10:57:15 7	THERE?
10:57:16 8	A YES.
10:57:18 9	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
10:57:19 10	WOULD MOVE EXHIBIT 196 IS THAT THE NUMBER?
10:57:24 11	206, MY APOLOGIES, 206, IN EVIDENCE.
10:57:28 12	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
10:57:29 13	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.
10:57:30 14	(WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 206,
10:57:30 15	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
10:57:30 16	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
10:57:31 17	EVIDENCE.)
10:57:31 18	BY MS. WONG:
10:57:31 19	Q AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT WOULD ALSO ASK TO
10:57:33 20	PUBLISH EXHIBIT 206 TO THE JURY.
10:57:45 21	NOW, MR. FUNG, YOU JUST SAID THAT THIS
10:57:47 22	DIAGRAM SHOWS WHAT THE DEFENDANT PLANNED TO DO.
10:57:49 23	COULD YOU EXPLAIN THIS DIAGRAM TO THE
10:57:51 24	JURY, BEGINNING WHEREVER YOU WOULD LIKE ON THE
10:57:54 25	DIAGRAM? ACTUALLY MAYBE BEGINNING WITH HCHC.

10:57:59 1	WHAT IS THAT?
10:58:00 2	A HCHC IS HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION.
10:58:11 3	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHO THAT CORPORATION BELONGED
10:58:13 4	TO?
10:58:13 5	A THE DEFENDANTS.
10:58:14 6	Q AND WHAT IS UNDERNEATH HCHC? THERE'S
10:58:18 7	CORPORATE HQ AND B & B TRUST.
10:58:20 8	WHAT IS THIS DIAGRAM SHOWING THERE?
10:58:23 9	A THE DIAGRAM SHOWS THE CORPORATION IS
10:58:33 10	HEADQUARTERED, HQ IS THE CORPORATE HEADQUARTER, AND
10:58:37 11	THE B & B TRUST, WHICH IS THE BOX UNDER THE BOX OF
10:58:43 12	TRUST WILL BE UNDER THE HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL
10:58:59 13	CORPORATION, C-O-L-O-N-Y.
10:59:03 14	Q SO WHEN YOU SAY THAT THE B & B TRUST IS GOING
10:59:05 15	TO BE UNDER THE HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION,
10:59:09 16	WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
10:59:10 17	A THIS IS WHAT I LISTENED TO THE DEFENDANT AND
10:59:13 18	HOW HE EXPLAINED IT AND I TRIED TO PUT IN A PICTURE
10:59:17 19	FORM.
10:59:17 20	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT HCHC WAS GOING TO
10:59:20 21	CONTROL B & B TRUST?
10:59:22 22	A YES.
10:59:22 23	Q AND IT DOWN BELOW THAT THERE IS THREE
10:59:26 24	DIFFERENT LINES AND NEXT TO IT SAM AND ERIC.
10:59:29 25	WHAT IS THAT SHOWING?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page77 of 218

10:59:30 1	A THAT THE SIGNATORS ARE THE PERSONS IN CHARGE
10:59:46 2	OF THE TRUST.
10:59:46 3	Q SO WAS ONE OF THE PEOPLE WHO WAS GOING TO BE
10:59:49 4	IN CHARGE YOU?
10:59:49 5	A YES.
10:59:49 6	Q AND WHO WAS ANOTHER PERSON WHO WAS GOING TO BE
10:59:51 7	IN CHARGE?
10:59:52 8	A THE DEFENDANTS.
10:59:53 9	Q AND WHAT WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO DO BEING IN
10:59:56 10	CHARGE?
10:59:56 11	A WHATEVER NEEDED TO BE DONE.
11:00:06 12	Q AND THAT WAS WITH RESPECT TO B & B TRUST?
11:00:09 13	A YES.
11:00:09 14	Q THAT BELONGED TO THE BROCKS?
11:00:10 15	A YES.
11:00:11 16	Q NOW, WHAT IS SHOWN ON THE OTHER SIDE, THE
11:00:13 17	OTHER SIDE OF THIS DOTTED LINE?
11:00:15 18	THERE'S IN THIS BOX A CORPORATION, WCCD
11:00:18 19	CORPORATION.
11:00:18 20	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
11:00:19 21	A YES.
11:00:20 22	Q AND WHAT DOES THAT STAND FOR?
11:00:24 23	A WEST COAST CREDIT DEVELOPMENT OR SOMETHING.
11:00:46 24	Q AND WHAT WAS THAT AND WHO WAS THAT
11:00:48 25	CORPORATION GOING TO BELONG TO?

11:00:50 1	A THE BROCKS.
11:00:51 2	Q AND HAD THAT CORPORATION WAS THAT
11:00:54 3	CORPORATION ALREADY IN EXISTENCE BEFORE YOU AND THE
11:00:58 4	DEFENDANT ARRIVED AT THE DEFENDANT'S HOUSE?
11:01:00 5	A NO.
11:01:00 6	Q AND HOW WAS IT GOING TO COME INTO EXISTENCE?
11:01:02 7	A IT WAS GOING TO BE FORMED.
11:01:03 8	Q AND WHO WAS RECOMMENDING THAT IT BE FORMED?
11:01:06 9	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:01:08 10	THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY THE DEFENDANTS?
11:01:12 11	THE WITNESS: THE DEFENDANT.
11:01:12 12	THE COURT: DO YOU MEAN PLURAL
11:01:16 13	DEFENDANTS?
11:01:16 14	THE WITNESS: SINGULAR. SINGULAR.
11:01:18 15	THE COURT: OKAY. I SEE.
11:01:20 16	BY MS. WONG:
11:01:20 17	Q NOW, THERE ARE LITTLE LINES BELOW THAT WITH
11:01:23 18	MORRIS BROCK AND CAT HANEY. WHO ARE THEY?
11:01:30 19	A IT'S THE DR. MORRIS BROCK AND HIS WIFE
11:01:33 20	CATHERINE HANEY.
11:01:34 21	Q AND WHAT ARE THOSE LINES SHOWING BETWEEN THE
11:01:39 22	CORPORATION WCCD AND DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY?
11:01:43 23	A IT'S DR. MORRIS BROCK WILL BE THE PRESIDENT OF
11:01:47 24	THE CORPORATION AND HIS WIFE CATHERINE HANEY WILL
11:01:51 25	BE THE SECRETARY OF THE CORPORATION.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page79 of 218

11:01:52 1	Q AND WHAT ARE THE LINES DRAWING BETWEEN
11:01:54 2	ACROSS THAT DOTTED LINE FROM HCHC TO WCCD
11:01:59 3	CORPORATION SHOWING?
11:02:08 4	A SHOWING THAT THERE IS SOME TYPE OF
11:02:10 5	TRANSACTION.
11:02:10 6	Q AND DID THAT TRANSACTION INVOLVE OWNS A
11:02:15 7	2,285,000 NOTE?
11:02:16 8	A YES.
11:02:16 9	Q AND COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THAT NOTE AND WHAT
11:02:21 10	THAT TRANSACTION INVOLVED?
11:02:23 11	A THAT TRANSACTION THE NOTE IS SECURED BY ALL
11:02:29 12	OF THE ASSETS OWNED BY B & B TRUST.
11:02:32 13	Q AND WOULD THOSE BE THE ASSETS THAT BELONG TO
11:02:34 14	DR. BROCK AND DR. HANEY?
11:02:37 15	A YES.
11:02:37 16	Q AND WHAT SORT OF ASSETS, IF YOU KNOW, DID THAT
11:02:39 17	INCLUDE?
11:02:40 18	A MONEY. A LOT OF, YOU KNOW, NOTES,
11:02:49 19	INVESTMENTS, THINGS LIKE THAT.
11:02:52 20	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY IT INCLUDED A LOT OF
11:02:57 21	INVESTMENTS, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT STOCKS? OR
11:02:59 22	PROPERTY? REAL ESTATE? IF YOU KNOW.
11:03:04 23	A I DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT THE PERSONAL
11:03:07 24	ASSETS, BUT I ONLY UNDERSTAND THAT HE'S A
11:03:15 25	SUCCESSFUL DOCTOR.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page80 of 218

11:03:16 1	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU'VE NEVER BEEN
11:03:21 2	GIVEN FINANCIAL ADVICE ABOUT DR. BROCK'S ASSETS
11:03:25 3	BEFORE THIS?
11:03:25 4	A NO.
11:03:26 5	Q AND NOW, THIS NOTE, WAS IT EQUAL TO THE VALUE
11:03:28 6	OF THE ASSETS OWNED BY B & B TRUST?
11:03:32 7	A YES.
11:03:32 8	Q AND WHO WAS TO GET THE MILLION OR THE
11:03:35 9	\$2.285 MILLION NOTE?
11:03:38 10	A THE WCCD CORPORATION CONTROLLED BY MORRIS AND
11:03:42 11	CATHERINE BROCK.
11:03:43 12	Q AND WHO WAS GOING TO CONTROL ALL OF THE ASSETS
11:03:47 13	THAT SECURED THAT NOTE?
11:03:48 14	A THE HAWAIIN THE HCHC, THE HAWAIIN COLONY
11:03:58 15	HOTEL CORPORATION.
11:03:59 16	Q THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION?
11:04:00 17	A YES.
11:04:00 18	Q NOW, DURING THAT MEETING, WERE ANY DOCUMENTS
11:04:05 19	PREPARED THAT DOCUMENTED THE TRANSACTION SHOWN ON
11:04:12 20	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 206?
11:04:15 21	A YES.
11:04:15 22	Q AND WHEN YOU DREW THIS DOCUMENT, MR. FUNG, WHO
11:04:18 23	WAS WHO, IF ANYONE, WAS EXPLAINING EVERYTHING
11:04:21 24	THAT YOU JUST EXPLAINED TO THE JURY?
11:04:22 25	A THE DEFENDANT.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page81 of 218

11:04:30 1	Q NOW, IT MAY NOT BE AS OBVIOUS AS IT IS IN
11:04:32 2	FRONT OF THE JURY, BUT AS YOU CAN SEE THIS DOCUMENT
11:04:34 3	HAS BEEN TORN UP INTO FOUR DIFFERENT PIECES.
11:04:37 4	CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO THE JURY WHY IT WAS
11:04:39 5	TORN UP INTO FOUR DIFFERENT PIECES?
11:04:41 6	A I THINK AT THAT TIME THE BROCKS DIDN'T
11:04:50 7	UNDERSTAND IT AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
11:04:53 8	PLAINTIFF IS EXPLAINING TO THEM IN A PICTURE FORM.
11:04:56 9	Q SO HOW DID IT TEARING IT UP INTO FOUR
11:04:59 10	DIFFERENT PIECES HELP DO THAT? OR WHAT WERE YOU
11:05:03 11	TRYING TO DO WHEN YOU TORE IT UP INTO FOUR
11:05:06 12	DIFFERENT PIECES?
11:05:06 13	A I DON'T RECALL. I THINK AFTER I FINISHED THE
11:05:12 14	EXPLANATION I JUST THROW IT AWAY.
11:05:14 15	Q NOW, LET'S TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS
11:05:19 16	THAT WERE PREPARED TO, TO BASICALLY DOCUMENT THE
11:05:23 17	TRANSACTION SHOWN ON 206.
11:05:25 18	WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN SOME OF THE
11:05:28 19	DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED?
11:05:29 20	A YES.
11:05:35 21	Q AND DO YOU RECALL WHO PREPARED THEM?
11:05:36 22	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:05:37 23	Q AND DO YOU RECALL WHAT HE USED TO PREPARE
11:05:39 24	THOSE DOCUMENTS?
11:05:39 25	A THE COMPUTER.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page82 of 218

11:05:43 1	Q AND IF YOU KNOW, DID THE DEFENDANT BRING
11:05:45 2	ANYTHING WITH HIM TO THE BROCKS' HOUSE TO HELP HIM
11:05:50 3	PREPARE THESE DOCUMENTS?
11:05:51 4	A I DON'T RECALL.
11:05:52 5	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR
11:05:56 6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S
11:06:01 7	EXHIBIT 192, 193, 194, AND 194.
11:06:09 8	SO 192 THROUGH 194. I'LL ASK YOU IF YOU
11:06:33 9	RECOGNIZE THOSE.
11:06:50 10	A YES.
11:06:50 11	Q SO LET'S BEGIN WITH GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 192.
11:06:56 12	WHAT IS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 192?
11:06:57 13	A IT'S A BILL OF SALE.
11:07:02 14	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THAT DOCUMENT WAS
11:07:06 15	PREPARED?
11:07:07 16	A YES.
11:07:07 17	Q AND DID YOU ALSO SIGN THAT DOCUMENT?
11:07:09 18	A YES.
11:07:09 19	Q AND IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THAT
11:07:13 20	DOCUMENT?
11:07:14 21	A YES.
11:07:19 22	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:07:20 23	WOULD OFFER 192 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:07:22 24	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11:07:23 25	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT

11:07:24 1	OBJECTION.
11:07:25 2	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11:07:25 3	192, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:07:25 4	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:07:33 5	EVIDENCE.)
11:07:33 6	BY MS. WONG:
11:07:33 7	Q NOW, LET'S FOCUS ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH,
11:07:36 8	MR. FUNG, OF EXHIBIT 192. IT'S ON YOUR SCREEN.
11:07:39 9	NOW, IT SHOWS A DATE HERE OF MARCH 28TH,
11:07:42 10	2003. IS THAT THE DATE WHEN YOU, THE DEFENDANT AND
11:07:44 11	THE BROCKS, ALL MET TOGETHER IN THEIR HOME?
11:07:46 12	A YES.
11:07:46 13	Q AND WHAT DOES THIS BILL OF SALE INVOLVE
11:07:53 14	ACCORDING TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH HERE?
11:07:56 15	A THE \$10 AND OTHER VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS.
11:08:26 16	Q AND WHO WAS THAT BEING GIVEN BY?
11:08:28 17	A GIVEN BY THE BROCK INTO THE HCHC, WHICH IS THE
11:08:39 18	HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION.
11:08:41 19	Q AND THAT WAS THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION?
11:08:43 20	A YES.
11:08:43 21	Q AND THE SUPER TEACHING CORPORATION, WHICH ALSO
11:08:46 22	APPEARS IN THAT FIRST PARAGRAPH, IF YOU KNOW, WHO
11:08:48 23	DID THAT CORPORATION BELONG TO?
11:08:49 24	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:08:58 25	Q AND IN EXCHANGE FOR THAT \$10 AND OTHER

VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, WHAT WAS GIVEN ACCORDING TO 11:09:00 1 THE SECOND PARAGRAPH? 11:09:02 2 A ALL OF THE ASSETS FOR THE B & B TRUST, INSIGHT 11:09:03 3 11:09:22 4 COMPANY TRUST, CAR TRUST. O AND THESE WERE TRUSTS -- WHO DID THESE TRUSTS 11:09:24 5 BELONG TO BEFORE THE BILL OF SALE? 11:09:28 6 A THE BROCKS. 11:09:29 7 O AND IF WE GO NOW TO THE BOTTOM OF NUMBER 7 --11:09:30 8 11:09:43 9 I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN DISPLAY BOTH PAGES, THE NEXT PAGE, TOO. 11:09:45 10 11:09:56 11 ACTUALLY, JUST GO TO PAGE 2. THAT'S 11:09:59 12 PROBABLY THE EASIEST. AND JUST GO TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF 11:10:00 13 11:10:02 14 THAT OR YOU CAN BLOW EVERYTHING UP IF YOU CAN. NOW, ACCORDING TO THIS BILL OF SALE, WHO 11:10:14 15 11:10:16 16 WAS GUARANTEEING THIS TRANSACTION, MR. FUNG? 11:10:19 17 A THE DEFENDANT AND MYSELF. 11:10:21 18 O SO WHAT DID YOU -- DID THE DEFENDANT SAY 11:10:28 19 ANYTHING TO THE BROCKS ABOUT WHAT THIS BILL OF SALE AND THE DIAGRAM THAT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT FOR A 11:10:32 20 11:10:35 21 WHILE WOULD DO FOR THE BROCKS? 11:10:44 22 A THAT THEY WILL HAVE ALL OF THE ASSETS THAT 11:10:46 23 THEY OWN AWAY FROM THEM. O AND DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN WHY THE BROCKS 11:10:47 24 NEEDED TO MOVE THEIR ASSETS AWAY FROM THEM? 11:10:55 25

### Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page85 of 218

TO AVOID THE TAKING BY THE I.R.S. 11:10:58 1 Α Q. SO THE TAKING OF WHAT BY THE I.R.S.? 11:11:01 2 ALL OF THE ASSETS. 11:11:03 3 Α AND SO WHAT WAS GIVING ALL OF THESE ASSETS TO 11:11:05 4 THE CONTROL OF HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION 11:11:08 5 SUPPOSED TO DO FOR THE BROCKS? 11:11:11 6 THAT THEY DON'T LOSE ALL OF THE ASSETS. 11:11:12 7 A NOW, DID THE DEFENDANT MAKE ANY PROMISES ABOUT 11:11:21 8 11:11:23 9 WHETHER THE BROCKS WOULD GET THEIR PROPERTY BACK AT SOME POINT, IF YOU RECALL? 11:11:24 10 11:11:27 11 Α I DON'T RECALL. 11:11:28 12 O NOW, DID HE SAY ANYTHING ABOUT WHETHER THE BROCKS WOULD RETAIN CONTROL AFTER THIS BILL OF SALE 11:11:30 13 11:11:34 14 WAS COMPLETED? THE DEFENDANT EXPLAINED THAT THE NOTE IS 11:11:35 15 TURNED AROUND AND CONTROL THE ASSETS. 11:11:51 16 11:11:53 17 SO LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS NOTE. SO YOU'RE SHOWING HERE ON THIS SECOND PAGE OF THIS 11:11:56 18 11:11:58 19 BILL OF SALE IS GUARANTEEING PERFORMANCE OF THE SALE OF THE BROCKS' ASSETS; RIGHT? 11:12:01 20 11:12:07 21 A THAT'S RIGHT. 11:12:08 22 AND, NOW, DID YOU AT THAT TIME HAVE Q \$2.285 MILLION OF ASSETS TO GUARANTEE THIS SALE? 11:12:12 23 11:12:15 24 A NO. DO YOU KNOW IF THE DEFENDANT HAD THAT MUCH IN 11:12:15 25 0

11:12:18 1	ASSETS?
11:12:18 2	A I DON'T KNOW. THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT HE
11:12:25 3	HAD A WHOLE BUNCH OF ASSETS.
11:12:27 4	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT VERY BRIEFLY DESCRIBE
11:12:30 5	WHAT YOU REMEMBER THE DEFENDANT TELLING YOU ABOUT
11:12:32 6	HIS ASSETS?
11:12:33 7	A SOME TYPE OF AIR RIGHTS FOR DEVELOPMENT IN
11:12:37 8	HAWAII WHICH IS SUPPOSED TO BE VERY VALUABLE.
11:12:39 9	Q AND BUT DO YOU RECALL IF THE DEFENDANT
11:12:41 10	BROUGHT ANY SORT OF BALANCE SHEETS OR FINANCIAL
11:12:45 11	STATEMENTS TO SHOW TO THE BROCKS ABOUT HIS
11:12:47 12	FINANCIAL CONDITION OR COMPANIES?
11:12:48 13	A NO.
11:12:48 14	Q BUT DID HE TALK ABOUT THAT WITH THE BROCKS?
11:12:56 15	A NO.
11:12:56 16	Q I'D LIKE TO NOW TURN YOUR ATTENTION, MR. FUNG,
11:12:59 17	TO WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES
11:13:01 18	AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 193 OR NO YES, 193.
11:13:09 19	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
11:13:10 20	A YES.
11:13:10 21	Q AND WAS THAT DOCUMENT ALSO PREPARED DURING THE
11:13:13 22	MEETING WITH THE BROCKS?
11:13:14 23	A YES.
11:13:15 24	Q AND IS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE AT THE
11:13:17 25	BOTTOM?

11:13:17 1	A YES.
11:13:23 2	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:13:25 3	WOULD OFFER EXHIBIT 193 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:13:27 4	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
11:13:27 5	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
11:13:29 6	OBJECTION.
11:13:29 7	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11:13:29 8	193, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:13:29 9	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:13:39 10	EVIDENCE.)
11:13:39 11	BY MS. WONG:
11:13:40 12	Q NOW, THIS, WHICH IS TITLED A PROMISSORY NOTE,
11:13:45 13	WHO IS IT BETWEEN, MR. FUNG?
11:13:47 14	A IT'S BETWEEN THE HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL
11:13:54 15	CORPORATION AND THE WEST COAST CREDIT AND
11:14:00 16	DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION.
11:14:01 17	Q NOW, THE MONEY THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE PAID,
11:14:04 18	IT SAYS \$15,000. COULD YOU TELL THE JURY, IF YOU
11:14:09 19	KNOW, WHAT THIS PROMISSORY NOTE IS SUPPOSED TO BE
11:14:14 20	DOING?
11:14:20 21	A THE PROMISSORY NOTE IS FOR HAWAIIN COLONY
11:14:27 22	HOTEL CORPORATION WHICH WAS OWNED BY THE DEFENDANT
11:14:29 23	TO GIVE THE BROCKS' CORPORATION THE SECURITY AS A
11:14:42 24	PROMISSORY NOTE.
11:14:42 25	Q AND WAS THIS A SECURITY RELATED TO THE ASSETS

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page88 of 218

11:14:45 1	THAT HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION WAS NOW GOING
11:14:47 2	TO BE HOLDING?
11:14:48 3	A YES.
11:14:48 4	Q AND THOSE WERE THE BROCKS' ASSETS?
11:14:51 5	A YES.
11:14:51 6	Q I'D LIKE TO TURN YOUR ATTENTION TO GOVERNMENT
11:14:54 7	EXHIBIT 194 THAT'S IN FRONT OF YOU, MR. FUNG.
11:15:04 8	DO YOU ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT EXHIBIT?
11:15:06 9	A YES.
11:15:06 10	Q AND WAS THAT EXHIBIT ALSO PREPARED DURING THAT
11:15:09 11	MEETING WITH THE BROCKS?
11:15:10 12	A YES.
11:15:10 13	Q AND IS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE ON THE
11:15:13 14	EXHIBIT?
11:15:14 15	A YES.
11:15:16 16	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:15:17 17	OFFERS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 194 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:15:20 18	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11:15:22 19	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
11:15:25 20	OBJECTION.
11:15:25 21	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11:15:25 22	194, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:15:25 23	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:15:25 24	EVIDENCE.)
11:15:26 25	BY MS. WONG:

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page89 of 218

11:15:26 1	Q NOW, BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT 194, I WANT TO GO
11:15:30 2	BACK TO 193. DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN WHAT THIS
11:15:34 3	PROMISSORY NOTE 193 WAS GOING TO DO FOR THE BROCKS?
11:15:38 4	A I THINK HE MADE IT COMFORTABLE THAT THE
11:15:50 5	TRANSACTION WAS HAPPENING AND THAT THE NOTE IS
11:15:59 6	SECURED BY ASSETS THAT THE BROCKS HAD OWNED.
11:16:02 7	Q AND WAS THIS PART OF THE TRANSACTION THAT WAS
11:16:04 8	SUPPOSED TO PROTECT THE BROCKS'S ASSETS?
11:16:07 9	A YES.
11:16:07 10	Q FROM THE I.R.S.?
11:16:08 11	A YES.
11:16:08 12	Q LET'S GO TO EXHIBIT 194 NOW.
11:16:25 13	NOW, THIS ASSIGNMENT THAT IS SHOWING UP
11:16:28 14	HERE. LET'S FOCUS ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH. THIS
11:16:31 15	WAS ALSO PREPARED DURING THAT MEETING?
11:16:32 16	A YES.
11:16:32 17	Q AND IS IT ALSO RELATED TO THE TRANSACTION THAT
11:16:35 18	WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT?
11:16:36 19	A YES.
11:16:37 20	Q NOW, WHAT ARE THESE WHEN YOU DECIDED TO
11:16:44 21	TAKE THE DEFENDANT OR WHEN YOU TOOK THE
11:16:45 22	DEFENDANT TO THE BROCKS' HOUSE, WHAT WAS YOUR
11:16:50 23	UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WAS GOING TO BE GOING ON WHEN
11:16:53 24	YOU WENT TO THE BROCKS' HOUSE?
11:16:55 25	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, AMBIGUOUS,

11:16:58 1	FOUNDATION.
11:16:58 2	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO REPHRASE THAT?
11:17:01 3	BREAK IT DOWN IF YOU COULD.
11:17:03 4	BY MS. WONG:
11:17:04 5	Q WHEN YOU WENT TO THE BROCKS' HOUSE, WHAT DID
11:17:08 6	YOU THINK WOULD BE THE TOPIC OF DISCUSSION AT THE
11:17:10 7	BROCKS' HOUSE?
11:17:12 8	A HOW TO HOW THE DEFENDANT HELPED THE BROCKS
11:17:19 9	TO RESOLVE ALL OF THE TRUSTS OR THE AUDITS, THINGS
11:17:23 10	LIKE THAT.
11:17:23 11	Q SO WHEN YOU SAY THE TRUST, THE N.T.S. TRUST?
11:17:27 12	A YES.
11:17:27 13	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THE AUDITS, ARE THOSE THE
11:17:30 14	I.R.S. AUDITS?
11:17:31 15	A YES.
11:17:32 16	Q NOW, DID YOU BELIEVE WERE YOU TAKING THE
11:17:36 17	DEFENDANT TO THE BROCKS SO THAT THEY COULD TALK
11:17:39 18	ABOUT REAL ESTATE?
11:17:40 19	A NO.
11:17:40 20	Q AND WHEN YOU HAD TALKED WITH DR. BROCK AND
11:17:46 21	GIVEN HIM THE DEFENDANT'S NAME, HAD YOU TALKED
11:17:48 22	ABOUT ANY SORT OF REAL ESTATE DEALS?
11:17:50 23	A NO.
11:17:50 24	Q NOW, ON THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS ASSIGNMENT, DO
11:17:59 25	YOU RECOGNIZE THE DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page91 of 218

11:18:00 1	A YES.
11:18:01 2	Q AND WHAT WAS THIS ASSIGNMENT DOING WITH
11:18:06 3	RESPECT TO THE TRANSACTION THAT WE HAVE BEEN
11:18:09 4	TALKING ABOUT?
11:18:12 5	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, FOUNDATION.
11:18:14 6	THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU CAN ANSWER
11:18:17 7	THAT QUESTION.
11:18:18 8	THE WITNESS: THE ASSIGNMENT IS ASSIGNED
11:18:20 9	THE PROMISSORY NOTES TO HANDLE THE ENTITY.
11:18:25 10	BY MS. WONG:
11:18:26 11	Q AND WHAT ENTITY WAS IT ASSIGNING IT TO?
11:18:33 12	A I DON'T RECALL THE FIRST PAGE OF THE DOCUMENT.
11:18:34 13	Q COULD WE GO BACK TO THE FIRST PAGE?
11:19:33 14	A I'M TRYING TO FIND THE ASSIGNEE. I THINK IT'S
11:19:42 15	THE HCHC ASSIGNED IT TO THE SUPER TEACHING
11:19:47 16	CORPORATION.
11:19:47 17	Q AND WAS THIS AND WHO PREPARED THIS
11:19:50 18	DOCUMENT?
11:19:50 19	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:19:50 20	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU NOW WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED
11:19:57 21	FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S
11:19:59 22	EXHIBIT 3 AND ASK IF YOU RECOGNIZE THAT.
11:20:09 23	MR. FONG: IF I MAY JUST HAVE ONE MOMENT?
11:20:11 24	THANK YOU.
11:20:15 25	THANK YOU.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page92 of 218

11:20:22 1	BY MS. WONG:
11:20:23 2	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT, MR. FUNG?
11:20:24 3	A YES.
11:20:24 4	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
11:20:26 5	A IT'S THE FILING DOCUMENT TO THE STATE OF
11:20:34 6	OREGON SECRETARY OF STATE.
11:20:36 7	Q AND ARE THOSE A FAIR AND AND HOW DO YOU
11:20:42 8	RECOGNIZE THOSE DOCUMENTS?
11:20:43 9	A FROM THE HEADINGS.
11:20:45 10	Q AND ALSO DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE FAX NUMBER THAT
11:20:48 11	IS AT THE TOP OF THOSE DOCUMENTS?
11:20:49 12	A YES.
11:20:50 13	Q AND WHAT IS THE NUMBER THE FAX NUMBER?
11:20:52 14	A THE DEFENDANT'S FAX NUMBER.
11:20:57 15	Q AT THIS TIME
11:20:59 16	A I'M SORRY. I TAKE IT BACK. IT'S MY FAX
11:21:02 17	NUMBER.
11:21:02 18	Q WERE THOSE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU RECEIVED?
11:21:04 19	A I DON'T RECALL.
11:21:17 20	Q BUT YOUR FAX NUMBER IS AT THE TOP?
11:21:19 21	A YES.
11:21:19 22	Q AND DO YOU KNOW IF THOSE DOCUMENTS WERE
11:21:22 23	ULTIMATELY FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF
11:21:24 24	OREGON?
11:21:25 25	A YES.

11:21:25 1	Q AND ARE THEY TRUE AND ACCURATE COPIES OF THE
11:21:29 2	ONES THAT WERE FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE?
11:21:34 3	A YES.
11:21:35 4	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:21:37 5	WOULD OFFER EXHIBIT 3 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:21:39 6	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11:21:40 7	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
11:21:42 8	OBJECTION.
11:21:42 9	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 3,
11:21:42 10	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:21:42 11	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:21:55 12	EVIDENCE.)
11:21:55 13	BY MS. WONG:
11:21:55 14	Q NOW, IF WE GO TO THE TOP OF THIS DOCUMENT, I
11:21:57 15	DO WANT TO BE ABLE TO GET THE DATE ON HERE. SO IF
11:22:01 16	WE FOCUS ON PAGE 1 AND GET THE DATE, AND THEN IF WE
11:22:04 17	CAN GET IT THROUGH THE REGISTERED AGENT AREA HERE.
11:22:12 18	SO WHAT IS THE DATE SHOWN ON THIS
11:22:14 19	DOCUMENT WHEN IT WAS FAXED, MR. FUNG?
11:22:16 20	A APRIL 28TH, 2003.
11:22:19 21	Q ARE YOU SURE IT'S APRIL 28TH?
11:22:21 22	A WAIT A SECOND. NO, I'M SORRY. IT'S
11:22:29 23	APRIL 8TH, 2003.
11:22:29 24	Q AND WAS THIS BEFORE OR AFTER YOU HAD THE
11:22:31 25	MEETING WITH THE BROCKS AND THE DEFENDANTS AT THEIR

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page94 of 218

11:22:37 1	HOUSE?
11:22:37 2	A AFTER.
11:22:38 3	Q AND WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT DOING?
11:22:42 4	A REGISTERED WITH CREATING THE WEST COAST CREDIT
11:22:50 5	AND DEVELOPMENT 1, INC., CORPORATION, IN THE STATE
11:22:55 6	OF OREGON.
11:22:55 7	Q AND WHO WAS THE AND WHO WAS THAT
11:22:58 8	CORPORATION GOING TO BELONG TO?
11:23:00 9	A THE BROCKS.
11:23:01 10	Q AND IS THAT THE CORPORATION THAT WAS
11:23:04 11	ABBREVIATED WCCD ON THE DIAGRAM THAT WE HAVE BEEN
11:23:08 12	LOOKING AT BEFORE?
11:23:09 13	A YES.
11:23:09 14	Q NOW, HAD THIS CORPORATION EXISTED BEFORE YOU
11:23:13 15	AND THE DEFENDANT MET WITH THE BROCKS?
11:23:15 16	A NO.
11:23:15 17	Q AND WITH RESPECT TO THIS NEW CORPORATION, DID
11:23:22 18	YOU OR THE DEFENDANT DISCUSS WITH THE BROCKS
11:23:24 19	ANYTHING THAT SHOULD BE DONE WITH TAX RETURNS FOR
11:23:34 20	THE NEW CORPORATION?
11:23:35 21	A I DON'T RECALL.
11:23:36 22	Q WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION OF FILING TAX RETURNS
11:23:39 23	FOR THIS FOR THE BROCKS'S NEW CORPORATION DURING
11:23:45 24	THAT MEETING?
11:23:47 25	A YES, THERE MUST BE.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page95 of 218

11:23:47 1	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THERE MUST BE, DO YOU RECALL
11:23:50 2	WHAT THAT CONVERSATION WAS ABOUT FILING TAX RETURNS
11:23:52 3	FOR WCCD?
11:23:54 4	A I DON'T RECALL. IT'S A NEW CORPORATION SO
11:24:00 5	THEY MAY NOT NEED TO FILE TAX RETURNS.
11:24:04 6	Q AND WAS ANYTHING GOING TO BE DONE WITH RESPECT
11:24:06 7	TO THE BROCKS'S OLD N.T.S. TAX RETURNS?
11:24:11 8	A YES.
11:24:12 9	Q AND WHAT WAS GOING TO BE DONE?
11:24:14 10	A FILE IT WITH THAT CORPORATION.
11:24:16 11	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY FILE IT WITH THAT
11:24:18 12	CORPORATION, YOU MEAN FILE A TAX RETURN?
11:24:20 13	A MY UNDERSTANDING IS DOING A SIMILAR THING THAT
11:24:24 14	THE DEFENDANT HELPED ME TO DO.
11:24:26 15	Q AND WHAT EXACTLY WAS THAT?
11:24:27 16	A IT'S TO AMEND ALL OF THE TRUST TAX RETURNS
11:24:40 17	UNDER THE CORPORATE FILING.
11:24:42 18	Q AND SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT IT WOULD
11:24:44 19	REPORT EVERYTHING FOR THE BROCK TRUST UNDER THE NEW
11:24:50 20	CORPORATION?
11:24:51 21	A YES.
11:24:51 22	Q NOW, DURING THAT MEETING WITH THE BROCKS, DID
11:24:58 23	THE DEFENDANT DISCUSS GRAND JURIES?
11:24:59 24	A I DON'T RECALL.
11:25:00 25	Q NOW, AT THE END OF THE MEETING, I'M GOING TO

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page96 of 218

11:25:07 1	SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
11:25:10 2	PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 208.
11:25:26 3	THE COURT: THAT'S BEING SHOWN TO DEFENSE
11:25:28 4	COUNSEL NOW.
11:25:28 5	MR. FONG: THAT'S 208.
11:25:30 6	MS. WONG: THAT'S 208.
11:25:31 7	MR. FONG: THANK YOU.
11:25:32 8	MS. WONG: AND I'M SPECIFICALLY ONLY
11:25:34 9	GIVING HIM THE FIRST PAGE OF THAT EXHIBIT.
11:25:38 10	Q MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT HAS BEEN
11:25:42 11	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT 208?
11:25:45 12	A YES.
11:25:46 13	Q AND WHAT IS THAT EXHIBIT?
11:25:46 14	A IT'S A HANDWRITTEN NOTES BY ME.
11:25:49 15	Q AND WHO DID YOU GIVE THAT TO?
11:25:51 16	A THE BROCKS.
11:25:52 17	Q AND WHEN DID YOU GIVE THEM THAT NOTE?
11:25:54 18	A WHEN THEY WERE BEING CONTACTED BY THE I.R.S.
11:26:02 19	Q AND IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OR IS IT
11:26:08 20	IS THAT THE NOTE THAT YOU GAVE THEM?
11:26:09 21	A YES.
11:26:09 22	Q AND IS IT IN THE SAME OR SIMILAR CONDITION AS
11:26:13 23	WHEN YOU GAVE THEM THE NOTE, OTHER THAN THE
11:26:15 24	GOVERNMENT STICKER?
11:26:16 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page97 of 218

11:26:18 1	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:26:19 2	WOULD OFFER THE FIRST PAGE OF GOVERNMENT'S
11:26:22 3	EXHIBIT 208 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:26:23 4	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11:26:24 5	THE COURT: ARE THEY MARKED PAGES?
11:26:26 6	MS. WONG: NOT ON THE ORIGINALS BUT THERE
11:26:28 7	WAS AN ATTACHMENT TO THE EXHIBIT THAT WE'RE NOT
11:26:31 8	OFFERED INTO EVIDENCE.
11:26:32 9	MR. O'REILLY: MAY I APPROACH FOR A
11:26:34 10	SECOND?
11:26:34 11	THE COURT: YES.
11:26:36 12	(DISCUSSION AMONGST COUNSEL OFF THE
11:26:38 13	RECORD.)
11:26:47 14	MR. FONG: MAY I TAKE A QUICK LOOK AT THE
11:26:50 15	ATTACHMENT?
11:26:52 16	THAT'S FINE.
11:26:53 17	MS. WONG: GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 208 WILL
11:26:56 18	BE WHAT MR. FUNG HAS.
11:26:58 19	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO 208 COMING
11:27:01 20	IN?
11:27:01 21	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
11:27:05 22	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
11:27:07 23	OBJECTION.
11:27:08 24	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11:27:08 25	208, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR

11:27:08 1	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:27:08 2	EVIDENCE.)
11:27:08 3	BY MS. WONG:
11:27:09 4	Q AND IF WE CAN BLOW UP EXHIBIT 208. "NOW, I
11:27:38 5	WOULD LOVE TO COOPERATE WITH YOU. PLEASE PUT YOUR
11:27:41 6	QUESTIONS OR INQUIRIES IN WRITING."
11:27:43 7	MR. FUNG, WHERE DID YOU GET THAT
11:27:45 8	PARTICULAR LANGUAGE FROM?
11:27:46 9	A IT'S TAUGHT BY RICK PRESCOTT OR ROY FRITTS OF
11:27:53 10	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES.
11:27:54 11	Q AND "I STAND ON THE RECORD. THE DOCUMENT
11:27:58 12	SPEAKS FOR ITSELF."
11:28:00 13	WHERE DID THAT PARTICULAR LANGUAGE COME
11:28:02 14	FROM?
11:28:02 15	A NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES.
11:28:03 16	Q AND WHY DID YOU GIVE THIS PARTICULAR NOTE CARD
11:28:06 17	TO THE BROCKS?
11:28:07 18	A SO DR. BROCK WILL REMEMBER WHAT THEY LEARNED
11:28:14 19	THROUGH THE N.T.S., YOU KNOW, TEACHINGS.
11:28:17 20	Q AND WAS THIS AND WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS
11:28:21 21	AND WHAT WAS THIS DESIGNED TO DO WITH RESPECT TO
11:28:24 22	THE BROCKS' TAX PROBLEMS?
11:28:32 23	MR. FONG: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. A
11:28:35 24	BELATED OBJECTION UNLESS THE QUESTION IS DIRECTED
11:28:37 25	TO MR. FUNG'S INTENT.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page99 of 218

11:28:39 1	MS. WONG: YES. WHAT DID YOU INTEND
11:28:41 2	THE COURT: YOU'LL WITHDRAW.
11:28:42 3	MS. WONG: YEAH, I'LL WITHDRAW.
11:28:45 4	Q MR. FUNG, WHAT DID YOU INTEND THIS DOCUMENT TO
11:28:47 5	DO FOR THE BROCKS WITH RESPECT TO THEIR I.R.S. TAX
11:28:51 6	PROBLEMS.
11:28:59 7	A THAT THE BROCKS NOT GOING TO SPEAK TO THE
11:29:06 8	I.R.S. VERBALLY AND FREELY.
11:29:08 9	Q MR. FUNG, I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN
11:29:31 10	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S
11:29:35 11	EXHIBIT 197 AND 200.
11:29:36 12	LET'S BEGIN WITH 197.
11:29:40 13	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE SIGNATURE ON THAT
11:29:43 14	DOCUMENT?
11:29:44 15	A YES.
11:29:45 16	Q AND WHOSE SIGNATURE IS IT?
11:29:49 17	A THE DEFENDANT'S.
11:29:52 18	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:29:53 19	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 197 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:29:55 20	MR. FONG: YOUR HONOR, MAY I JUST HAVE A
11:29:57 21	MOMENT?
11:29:57 22	THE COURT: SURE.
11:30:11 23	MR. FONG: I'M SORRY, THAT'S 1?
11:30:13 24	MS. WONG: 197.
11:30:15 25	MR. FONG: THANK YOU.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page100 of 218

11:30:30 1	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
11:30:31 2	MR. FONG: I'M SORRY.
11:30:33 3	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
11:30:33 4	MR. FONG: I FORGOT. NO OBJECTION TO 197
11:30:36 5	COMING INTO EVIDENCE.
11:30:37 6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. IT'S
11:30:38 7	ADMITTED WITHOUT OBJECTION.
11:30:40 8	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11:30:40 9	197, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:30:40 10	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:30:41 11	EVIDENCE.)
11:30:41 12	MS. WONG: MAY WE PUBLISH EXHIBIT 197?
11:30:46 13	THE COURT: I THINK IT'S BEING PUBLISHED
11:30:48 14	NOW.
11:30:57 15	BY MS. WONG:
11:30:57 16	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, IF YOU KNOW, WHAT DOES THIS
11:31:01 17	DOCUMENT RELATE TO?
11:31:01 18	A CONFIRMATION AND CLARIFICATION OF THE
11:31:04 19	ASSIGNMENT.
11:31:04 20	Q AND WHEN IT SAYS CLARIFICATION OF THE
11:31:06 21	ASSIGNMENT, IS THIS THE SAME TRANSACTION BETWEEN
11:31:09 22	THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION AND THE BROCKS THAT WE
11:31:11 23	HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT?
11:31:12 24	A YES.
11:31:12 25	Q I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page101 of 218

11:31:18 1	EXHIBIT 200. THAT'S GOING TO BE IN FRONT OF YOU IN
11:31:21 2	THE DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE SIGNATURE ON THAT
11:31:26 3	DOCUMENT?
11:31:26 4	A YES.
11:31:26 5	Q AND WHOSE SIGNATURE IS IT?
11:31:28 6	A THE DEFENDANT'S.
11:31:30 7	MR. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:31:31 8	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 200 INTO EVIDENCE?
11:31:34 9	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
11:31:35 10	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
11:31:36 11	OBJECTION.
11:31:37 12	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11:31:37 13	200, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:31:37 14	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:31:51 15	EVIDENCE.)
11:31:51 16	BY MS. WONG:
11:31:51 17	Q NOW, THIS LETTER WHO IS THIS LETTER FROM,
11:31:53 18	MR. FUNG?
11:31:55 19	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:31:56 20	Q AND APRIL 7TH, 2003. IS THIS AFTER YOUR
11:31:59 21	MEETING WITH THE BROCKS AND THE DEFENDANT?
11:32:02 22	A YES.
11:32:02 23	Q AND LET'S GO TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THIS
11:32:06 24	LETTER. AND IT'S TALKING ABOUT THE NOTE.
11:32:11 25	AND IT MENTIONS THAT YOU'RE

11:32:13 1	ACCOMPLISHING YOU'RE ACCOMPLISHING THE FEAT NOW
11:32:18 2	OF SECURING THE ASSETS.
11:32:20 3	WHAT IS BEING TALKED ABOUT IN THIS FIRST
11:32:23 4	PARAGRAPH HERE? OR WHAT IS THE DEFENDANT REFERRING
11:32:36 5	TO, RATHER?
11:32:37 6	A THAT'S AN ESTIMATE OF 50,000 TAX LIABILITY
11:32:51 7	WITH THE HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION.
11:32:57 8	Q SO WAS THE HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION
11:33:00 9	GOING TO ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE TAX
11:33:02 10	LIABILITY UNDER THE TRANSACTION?
11:33:04 11	A I WOULD THINK SO.
11:33:14 12	Q NOW, HAD THERE ACTUALLY BEEN ANY INTERNAL
11:33:17 13	AUDIT DONE AS FAR AS YOU'RE AWARE OF THE BROCKS
11:33:20 14	ASSETS OR TRUST?
11:33:21 15	A NO.
11:33:21 16	Q DID YOU WERE YOU GOING TO BE DOING AN
11:33:25 17	AUDIT?
11:33:26 18	A NO.
11:33:26 19	Q AND TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAD THE DEFENDANT TALKED
11:33:28 20	TO YOU ABOUT DOING ANY SORT OF INTERNAL AUDIT?
11:33:30 21	A NO.
11:33:31 22	Q NOW, WERE YOU ACTUALLY IT SAYS HERE THAT
11:33:34 23	YOU WORK FOR HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION. DID
11:33:39 24	YOU WERE YOU ACTUALLY EMPLOYED BY THAT
11:33:41 25	CORPORATION?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page103 of 218

11:33:41 1	A NO.
11:33:42 2	Q AND HAD YOU EVER GONE TO HAWAII TO WORK FOR
11:33:46 3	THE DEFENDANT?
11:33:46 4	A NO.
11:33:47 5	Q NOW, LET'S GO TO THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS
11:33:50 6	PARTICULAR DOCUMENT AND BLOW UP SOME THERE.
11:34:04 7	DURING YOUR MEETING WITH THE BROCKS AND
11:34:06 8	THE DEFENDANT, DID THE DEFENDANT TALK ABOUT
11:34:10 9	PREPARING DOCUMENTS LIKE THIS LETTER OR ANY OTHER
11:34:13 10	DOCUMENTS TO HELP THEM WITH THE I.R.S. OR TO
11:34:17 11	DEFLECT ATTACK?
11:34:22 12	A YES.
11:34:22 13	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
11:34:24 14	A BASED ON THIS THAT THE DEFENDANT ASKED HIM TO
11:34:29 15	BLOCK OR TO DIRECT ALL INQUIRIES OF THE I.R.S. TO
11:34:32 16	THE DEFENDANT.
11:34:33 17	Q AND HAD THAT ALSO BEEN DISCUSSED DURING YOUR
11:34:35 18	MARCH 28TH, 2003 MEETING?
11:34:40 19	A YES.
11:34:40 20	Q MR. FUNG, I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN
11:34:56 21	MARKED AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 31. DO YOU
11:35:01 22	RECOGNIZE THE SIGNATURE ON THAT DOCUMENT?
11:35:03 23	A YES.
11:35:03 24	Q AND WHOSE SIGNATURE IS IT?
11:35:05 25	A THE DEFENDANT.

11:35:08 1	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:35:10 2	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 31 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:35:11 3	MR. FONG: YOUR HONOR, ONE MOMENT,
11:35:13 4	PLEASE.
11:35:26 5	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
11:35:27 6	MR. FONG: YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION. LACK
11:35:28 7	OF FOUNDATION.
11:35:29 8	MS. WONG: MAY WE BE SEEN OVER AT
11:35:31 9	SIDE-BAR?
11:35:32 10	THE COURT: OKAY. LET'S HAVE A
11:35:33 11	CONFERENCE THERE. FOLKS, YOU CAN STAND UP IF YOU
11:35:36 12	WISH.
11:35:37 13	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE ON THE RECORD.)
11:37:57 14	THE COURT: WE'RE AT THE SIDE-BAR AND
11:37:57 15	OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. COUNSEL ARE
11:37:57 16	PRESENT.
11:37:57 17	MR. FUNG.
11:37:57 18	MR. FONG: WELL, HASN'T THERE BEEN ANY
11:37:57 19	FOUNDATION THAT MR. FUNG WAS SAW MR. LIGHTER SIGN
11:37:57 20	THIS?
11:37:57 21	THE COURT: I DIDN'T HEAR ANY FOUNDATION.
11:37:57 22	HE SAID HE RECOGNIZED THE SIGNATURE AND THAT'S ALL.
11:37:57 23	MR. FONG: RIGHT.
11:37:57 24	MR. O'REILLY: AND JUST FOR
11:37:57 25	CLARIFICATION, I THINK A BETTER FOUNDATION NEEDS TO

11:37:57 1	BE LAID, BUT HE DOESN'T EVEN HAVE TO. AS LONG AS
11:37:58 2	HE CAN AUTHENTICATE SOME OF THE INFORMATION IN
11:37:58 3	THERE AND RECOGNIZE THE SIGNATURE, THAT WILL BE
11:37:58 4	ENOUGH.
11:37:58 5	MR. FONG: WELL, I MEAN, HAS HE EVER EVEN
11:37:58 6	SEEN THIS DOCUMENT BEFORE?
11:37:58 7	THE COURT: THIS WITNESS?
11:37:58 8	MR. FONG: YEAH.
11:37:58 9	THE COURT: NO, I AGREE THERE'S A LACK OF
11:37:58 10	FOUNDATION RIGHT NOW.
11:37:58 11	MR. FONG: YEAH.
11:37:58 12	MS. WONG: WE'RE CONTENDING THE
11:37:58 13	GOVERNMENT'S ARGUMENT IS THAT IT'S AN ADMISSION OF
11:37:58 14	A PARTY DEPONENT AND THE DEFENDANT SIGNED IT AND
11:37:58 15	WHAT WAS IN IT, AND WE CAN LAY A FOUNDATION TO SHOW
11:37:58 16	THAT HE'S FAMILIAR WITH WHAT IS DISCUSSED IN THERE
11:37:58 17	AND HE'S FAMILIAR WITH HIS SIGNATURE BECAUSE HE HAS
11:37:58 18	SEEN DOCUMENTS.
11:37:58 19	THE COURT: THAT'S THE FOUNDATION THAT
11:37:58 20	HAS TO BE LAID.
11:37:58 21	MR. FONG: YES.
11:37:58 22	THE COURT: LET ME ALSO ASK, IF YOU KNOW
11:37:58 23	OF OTHER EXHIBITS YOU'RE GOING TO BE USING, IF YOU
11:37:58 24	COULD JUST GIVE IT TO JERRY SO HE'LL HAVE THE
11:37:58 25	PROPER BINDERS IN FRONT OF HIM.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page106 of 218

11:37:58 1	MS. WONG: OKAY. SORRY.
11:37:58 2	MR. FONG: NO, NO. IT'S JUST THERE
11:37:58 3	ARE SO MANY AND IT'S BEEN DIFFICULT.
11:37:58 4	THE COURT: YEAH. OKAY.
11:37:58 5	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED.)
11:37:58 6	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION AT
11:37:59 7	THIS TIME.
11:38:00 8	BY MS. WONG:
11:38:00 9	Q MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT IS DESCRIBED
11:38:02 10	HERE IN GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 31, THE ENTITIES?
11:38:08 11	A YES.
11:38:09 12	Q AND HAD YOU DISCUSSED THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT IS
11:38:14 13	SUMMARIZED AND MEMORIALIZED HERE IN EXHIBIT 31 WITH
11:38:17 14	THE DEFENDANT?
11:38:18 15	A NO.
11:38:18 16	Q BUT HAVE YOU SEEN THE DEFENDANT SIGN DOCUMENTS
11:38:23 17	BEFORE?
11:38:23 18	A YES.
11:38:23 19	Q AND ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH HIS SIGNATURE?
11:38:26 20	A YES.
11:38:37 21	MS. WONG: NOW, AT THIS TIME THE
11:38:39 22	GOVERNMENT WOULD OFFER INTO EVIDENCE EXHIBIT 31.
11:38:43 23	MR. FONG: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11:38:45 24	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
11:38:47 25	BY MS. WONG:

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page107 of 218

11:38:47 1	Q NOW, AFTER YOU HAD THE MEETING WITH THE
11:38:50 2	BROCKS, DID YOU AND THE DEFENDANT TALK ABOUT WHAT
11:38:51 3	ELSE NEEDED TO BE DONE, IF ANYTHING, WITH REGARD TO
11:38:55 4	THE TRANSACTION?
11:39:01 5	A NO, I LEFT THE MEETING EARLY.
11:39:02 6	Q BUT AFTER THE MEETING, DID YOU SPEAK WITH THE
11:39:05 7	DEFENDANT ANY FURTHER ON THE PHONE?
11:39:06 8	A YES.
11:39:06 9	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DISCUSS WITH THE DEFENDANT?
11:39:10 10	A THE DEFENDANT ASKED ME TO INFORM ME THAT
11:39:20 11	THE BROCKS HAD CHANGED THEIR MIND.
11:39:21 12	Q AND THE BROCKS CHANGED THEIR MIND? WHAT DO
11:39:24 13	YOU MEAN? DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN WHAT HE MEANT
11:39:26 14	BY THAT?
11:39:26 15	A THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT THE BROCKS WERE NOT
11:39:29 16	GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE TRANSACTION.
11:39:32 17	Q NOW, DID THE DID YOU RECEIVE ANY
11:39:39 18	COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE BROCKS AFTER YOU HEARD THAT
11:39:42 19	THEY WEREN'T GOING TO GO THROUGH WITH THE
11:39:44 20	TRANSACTION?
11:39:45 21	A YES.
11:39:50 22	Q AND DO YOU RECALL WHAT SORT OF COMMUNICATIONS
11:39:52 23	YOU RECEIVED?
11:39:53 24	A HE CALLED ME.
11:40:06 25	Q AND DO YOU RECALL WHAT, IF ANYTHING, YOU WERE

11:40:08 1	ASKED TO DO DURING THE PHONE CALL?
11:40:10 2	A I THINK HE CALLED AND LEFT A MESSAGE SAYING
11:40:20 3	THAT HE WANTED ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS BACK.
11:40:21 4	Q NOW, WHO HAD THE DOCUMENTS AT THIS POINT?
11:40:23 5	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:40:24 6	Q AND HAD THE DEFENDANT AND HOW DID THE
11:40:29 7	DEFENDANT OBTAIN ALL OF THOSE DOCUMENTS FROM THE
11:40:30 8	BROCKS?
11:40:34 9	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, FOUNDATION.
11:40:36 10	BY MS. WONG:
11:40:37 11	Q IF YOU KNOW?
11:40:38 12	MR. FONG: HEARSAY.
11:40:39 13	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
11:40:41 14	YOU CAN ASK THE QUESTION.
11:40:44 15	BY MS. WONG:
11:40:45 16	Q IF YOU KNOW, HOW DID THE DEFENDANT OBTAIN THE
11:40:47 17	DOCUMENTS FROM THE BROCKS?
11:40:48 18	A I DON'T KNOW.
11:40:49 19	Q NOW
11:40:51 20	MR. FONG: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. IN
11:40:53 21	LIGHT OF THAT ANSWER, I WOULD MOVE TO STRIKE THE
11:40:55 22	PRIOR ANSWER BECAUSE IT IS QUITE CLEAR THAT
11:40:59 23	MR. FUNG DID NOT DOES NOT HAVE
11:41:02 24	THE COURT: WHICH PRIOR ANSWER?
11:41:03 25	MR. FONG: WHICH WAS THAT MR. LIGHTER HAD

11:41:05 1	THE RECORDS BECAUSE IT SOUNDS LIKE MR. FUNG MIGHT
11:41:13 2	HAVE BEEN ASSUMING.
11:41:17 3	THE COURT: I'M GOING TO OVERRULE THAT AT
11:41:19 4	THIS POINT. YOU CAN ASK YOUR NEXT QUESTION.
11:41:26 5	BY MS. WONG:
11:41:26 6	Q AT SOME POINT DID YOU MEET WITH THE DEFENDANT?
11:41:28 7	A YES.
11:41:28 8	Q AND WHAT DID YOU OBTAIN FROM THE DEFENDANT
11:41:30 9	DURING THAT MEETING?
11:41:31 10	A BOXES OF THE BROCKS' RECORDS AND TRUST AND
11:41:43 11	FINANCIAL RECORDS.
11:41:47 12	MS. WONG: I'M GOING TO SHOW TO DEFENSE
11:41:48 13	COUNSEL THE NEXT TWO EXHIBITS THAT I'M GOING TO BE
11:41:50 14	ADMITTING.
11:42:12 15	THEY'RE GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 4 AND 33.
11:43:12 16	Q NOW, BEFORE YOU MET WITH THE DEFENDANT AND
11:43:19 17	OBTAINED THE BROCKS' RECORDS, DID YOU RECEIVE
11:43:25 18	I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S
11:43:27 19	EXHIBIT 33.
11:43:36 20	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE FAX NUMBER AT THE
11:43:37 21	TOP OF THAT DOCUMENT?
11:43:38 22	A NO, EXCEPT THE AREA CODE SHOWS THAT THIS SANTA
11:44:00 23	ROSA COUNTY, MARIN COUNTY.
11:44:05 24	Q AND, NOW, DO YOU RECALL DO YOU OTHERWISE
11:44:13 25	RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page110 of 218

11:44:14 1	A I DON'T RECALL THAT I CAN SEE IT RIGHT NOW.
11:44:41 2	Q SO YOU NEVER RECEIVED THAT DOCUMENT?
11:44:43 3	A I DON'T RECALL, BUT I THINK I DID.
11:44:48 4	Q OKAY. YOU THINK YOU DID?
11:44:50 5	A YES.
11:44:50 6	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH THE
11:44:56 7	DEFENDANT ABOUT WHAT SHOULD BE DONE NOW THAT THE
11:44:58 8	BROCKS DIDN'T WANT TO GO THROUGH WITH THE DEAL?
11:45:01 9	A YES.
11:45:01 10	Q AND WHAT DID YOU AND THE DEFENDANT DISCUSS?
11:45:03 11	A THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT I MUST TAKE THOSE
11:45:07 12	BOXES TO THE I.R.S.
11:45:12 13	Q AND WHERE WERE YOU SUPPOSED TO TAKE THOSE
11:45:14 14	BOXES TO?
11:45:15 15	A TO ANY I.R.S. CID OFFICE.
11:45:18 16	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHY YOU HAD TO
11:45:21 17	REPORT THE BROCKS FOR A TAX CRIME?
11:45:31 18	A THAT WE HAVE KNOWLEDGE ON THAT AND WE MUST DO
11:45:33 19	IT AT THAT TIME.
11:45:34 20	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, JUST TO GO BACK FOR A MOMENT,
11:45:37 21	WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF YOU, AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT,
11:45:39 22	THE PURPOSE OF YOU AND THE DEFENDANT GOING TO THE
11:45:42 23	BROCKS'S HOUSE FOR THAT MARCH 23, 2003 MEETING?
11:45:48 24	MR. FONG: OBJECTION.
11:45:48 25	THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU CAN ANSWER

11:45:52 1	THE QUESTION.
11:45:53 2	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THE
11:45:54 3	QUESTION?
11:45:55 4	BY MS. WONG:
11:45:55 5	Q NOW, WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE PURPOSE OF
11:45:57 6	YOU GOING TO THE BLOCKS HOUSE ON MARCH 23, 2003 TO
11:46:02 7	MEET WITH THE DEFENDANT.
11:46:08 8	A MY UNDERSTANDING IS TO INTRODUCE THE DEFENDANT
11:46:10 9	TO THE BROCKS AND HELP THEM, HELP THEM WITH
11:46:21 10	ANYTHING THEY NEEDED ME TO HELP BECAUSE THEY TOLD
11:46:25 11	ME HE LIVED IN HAWAII BECAUSE AFTER I'M GONE THEY
11:46:28 12	NEED TO HELP WHATEVER THEY NEEDED TO.
11:46:30 13	Q AND HE SAID YOU'RE GOING TO HELP THEM WITH
11:46:33 14	WHATEVER THEY NEEDED YOU TO DO. IS THAT WITH
11:46:35 15	RESPECT TO THE TRANSACTION WITH THE BROCKS?
11:46:37 16	A YES.
11:46:38 17	Q AND WHAT WERE YOU AND THE DEFENDANT, AS YOU
11:46:40 18	UNDERSTAND IT, HELPING THE BROCKS WITH THE
11:46:43 19	PROBLEMS?
11:46:44 20	A THE NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES AND ALL OF THE TAX
11:46:47 21	RETURNS.
11:46:52 22	Q BUT THEN THE DEFENDANT TOLD YOU TO TAKE ALL OF
11:46:55 23	THE BROCKS RECORDS TO THE I.R.S. AND REPORT THEM
11:46:58 24	FOR TAX CRIMES.
11:47:00 25	WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHY YOU

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page112 of 218

11:47:02 1	WERE SUPPOSED TO DO THAT?
11:47:03 2	A THAT THEY WERE USING US TO LOWER THEIR TAXES.
11:47:08 3	Q AND DID YOU, IN FACT, GO TO AN OFFICE OF
11:47:15 4	I.R.S. CID WITH THE BROCKS TAX RETURN RECORDS?
11:47:22 5	A YES.
11:47:22 6	Q AND WHAT OFFICE DID YOU GO TO?
11:47:24 7	A THE OFFICE IN REDDING, CALIFORNIA.
11:47:26 8	Q HOW DID YOU GET THE ADDRESS FOR THE I.R.S.
11:47:29 9	OFFICE IN REDDING, CALIFORNIA?
11:47:31 10	A I DON'T RECALL.
11:47:35 11	Q AND WHEN YOU GOT TO THAT OFFICE, DID YOU SPEAK
11:47:37 12	WITH ANY OF THE SPECIAL AGENTS FROM THE I.R.S.
11:47:39 13	THERE?
11:47:40 14	A YES.
11:47:40 15	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL THEM?
11:47:41 16	A THAT I WAS TURNING IN ALL OF THESE BOXES OF
11:47:49 17	TRUST DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS AND IT BELONGS TO THE
11:47:52 18	BROCKS.
11:47:53 19	Q AND BEFORE YOU HAD ARRIVED AT THAT OFFICE, HAD
11:47:56 20	THE DEFENDANT AND YOU TALKED ABOUT WHAT SHOULD BE
11:48:00 21	SAID TO THE I.R.S. CID THERE?
11:48:02 22	A YES. I WAS TOLD BY THE DEFENDANT WHAT TO SAY,
11:48:08 23	YEAH.
11:48:08 24	Q AND DID YOU, IN FACT, GIVE THEM THE BROCKS'
11:48:12 25	RECORDS?

11:48:13 1	A YES.
11:48:23 2	MS. WONG: LET THE RECORD REFLECT I'M
11:48:25 3	SHOWING DEFENSE COUNSEL THE NEXT TWO EXHIBITS,
11:48:29 4	EXHIBITS 209 AND 210.
11:48:53 5	Q MR. FUNG, I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN
11:48:56 6	MARKED AS 209 AND 210 FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES
11:49:02 7	AND ASK YOU IF YOU RECOGNIZE THEM?
11:49:03 8	A YES.
11:49:04 9	Q NOW, BEGINNING WITH THEY ARE, IN FACT,
11:49:12 10	ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. LET'S PUBLISH 209.
11:49:21 11	IS THIS A LETTER YOU RECEIVED FROM THE
11:49:24 12	I.R.S. REGARDING THE BROCKS TAX RETURN RECORDS?
11:49:26 13	A YES.
11:49:26 14	Q NOW, AT THE TIME THAT YOU RECEIVED AND THEN
11:49:29 15	DID YOU RESPOND TO THIS LETTER?
11:49:30 16	A YES.
11:49:30 17	Q AND IS THAT EXHIBIT IS THAT EXHIBIT 210?
11:49:35 18	A YES.
11:49:40 19	Q AND 210 IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.
11:49:42 20	AT THIS TIME WE WOULD PUBLISH 210 TO THE
11:49:45 21	JURY.
11:49:54 22	NOW, HERE, MR. FUNG, YOU TELL THE I.R.S.
11:49:57 23	YOU DON'T HAVE ANY RECORDS. DID YOU DISCUSS THE
11:50:06 24	PREPARATION OF THIS LETTER WITH THE DEFENDANT?
11:50:07 25	A I THINK SO.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page114 of 218

11:50:08 1	Q YOU THINK SO OR YOU DID?
11:50:10 2	A I DID.
11:50:11 3	Q AND WHAT, IF YOU RECALL, DID YOU DISCUSS WITH
11:50:13 4	THE DEFENDANT ABOUT THIS?
11:50:14 5	A THAT I HAD DONE WHAT HE HAD TOLD ME TO DO.
11:50:18 6	Q AND SO WHAT WAS THE REASON THAT YOU DIDN'T
11:50:21 7	HAVE THE RECORDS TO GIVE TO REVENUE AGENT OESER?
11:50:26 8	A I'M SORRY?
11:50:26 9	Q WHAT WAS THE REASON THAT YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY
11:50:28 10	RECORDS TO GIVE TO THIS REVENUE AGENT WHO WAS
11:50:31 11	ASKING FOR THEM?
11:50:32 12	A BECAUSE I ALREADY GAVE IT TO THE I.R.S. CID
11:50:35 13	DIVISION IN REDDING, CALIFORNIA.
11:50:45 14	Q I'D LIKE TO GO BACK TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 4,
11:50:48 15	MR. FUNG, AND ASK IF YOU RECOGNIZE THE FAX NUMBER
11:50:50 16	THAT IS AT THE TOP OF THAT EXHIBIT?
11:51:02 17	A YES.
11:51:02 18	Q AND WHOSE FAX NUMBER IS IT?
11:51:04 19	A THE DEFENDANT'S.
11:51:05 20	Q HAD YOU RECEIVED FAXES FROM THE DEFENDANT AT
11:51:08 21	THAT NUMBER? NOT IF YOU RECEIVED THIS PARTICULAR
11:51:21 22	FAX, BUT HAVE YOU RECEIVED FAX DID YOU RECEIVE
11:51:24 23	FAXES
11:51:24 24	A YES.
11:51:24 25	Q AND GOING TO THE SECOND PAGE OF THAT EXHIBIT,

11:51:29 1	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE NAME THAT IS WRITTEN THERE?
11:51:33 2	A YES.
11:51:33 3	Q AND DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH THE
11:51:38 4	DEFENDANT BEFORE YOU TURNED IN THE RECORDS TO
11:51:40 5	I.R.S. CID ABOUT TALKING TO THE BROCKS TO TRY TO
11:51:44 6	GET THEM TO GO THROUGH WITH THE DEAL?
11:51:46 7	A I DON'T RECALL.
11:51:55 8	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
11:51:56 9	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 4 INTO EVIDENCE.
11:51:58 10	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11:51:59 11	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
11:52:00 12	OBJECTION.
11:52:01 13	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 4,
11:52:01 14	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
11:52:01 15	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
11:52:12 16	EVIDENCE.)
11:52:12 17	BY MS. WONG:
11:52:13 18	Q AND IF WE CAN GO TO THE THIRD PAGE OF THIS
11:52:15 19	EXHIBIT OR SECOND PAGE. SORRY.
11:52:31 20	AND IS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S CELL PHONE
11:52:33 21	NUMBER AS WELL, 808-382-1894?
11:52:40 22	A YES.
11:52:40 23	Q LET'S GO TO THE THIRD PAGE OF THIS FAX AND
11:52:47 24	BLOW UP THE SECOND PARAGRAPH OF THE MEMO THAT
11:52:55 25	BEGINS "ALOHA."

11:52:58 1	NOW, MR. FUNG, HAD YOU ACTUALLY
11:53:11 2	CONCLUDED NOW, MR. FUNG, HAD YOU ACTUALLY
11:53:14 3	CONCLUDED A TAX ANALYSIS OF THE BROCKS' TRUST?
11:53:18 4	A NO.
11:53:18 5	Q HAD YOU ACTUALLY DETERMINED THAT THERE WAS AT
11:53:27 6	LEAST 2.5 MILLION IN UNTAXED INCOME?
11:53:30 7	A NO.
11:53:33 8	Q LET'S GO TO THE THIRD PAGE OF THIS EXHIBIT OR
11:53:36 9	THE THIRD OR THE NEXT PAGE OF THIS EXHIBIT.
11:53:40 10	AND LET'S BLOW UP THE SECOND AND THIRD
11:53:43 11	PARAGRAPHS.
11:53:52 12	HAD YOU WERE YOU THE PERSON WHO
11:53:54 13	RECOMMENDED TURNING THE RECORDS OF THE BROCKS INTO
11:53:57 14	THE I.R.S.?
11:53:58 15	A NO.
11:53:58 16	Q WHO RECOMMENDED THAT?
11:53:59 17	A THE DEFENDANTS.
11:54:00 18	Q NOW, LET'S GO DOWN TO THE LAST FULL PARAGRAPH
11:54:11 19	OF THIS PAGE AND BLOW THAT UP.
11:54:13 20	NOW, WHO IS THE WHO ARE THE PEOPLE WHO
11:54:21 21	ACTUALLY PULLED OUT OF THE TRANSACTION THAT
11:54:24 22	OCCURRED ON AT THE BROCKS' HOUSE?
11:54:28 23	A THE BROCKS.
11:54:30 24	Q NOW, LET'S GO TO THE THIRD PAGE AND LOOK AT
11:54:42 25	THE FIRST FULL PARAGRAPH.

11:54:45 1	WAS THE DEFENDANT PRESENT IN PART OF THE
11:54:53 2	TRANSACTION THAT OCCURRED ON MARCH 28TH, 2003?
11:54:56 3	A YES.
11:54:56 4	Q NOW, LET'S GO TO THE SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH
11:55:00 5	OF THIS, OF THIS LETTER. IT BEGINS, "I TOLD
11:55:08 6	MR. FUNG."
11:55:14 7	THE THIRD PAGE OF THE LETTER. IT'S
11:55:19 8	THE THE FOURTH PAGE KEEP GOING. IT'S THE BIG
11:55:23 9	PARAGRAPH FROM THE LAST PARAGRAPH HERE.
11:55:26 10	WERE YOU EVER TOLD BY THE DEFENDANT NOT
11:55:37 11	TO HAND OVER THE TRUST RECORDS TO I.R.S. CID?
11:55:40 12	A NO.
11:55:40 13	Q MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN
11:56:13 14	MARKED AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 36.
11:56:22 15	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
11:56:24 16	A NO.
11:56:35 17	Q I'D LIKE TO TALK TO YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
11:56:40 18	SOME OF THE THINGS I WANT TO ASK YOU A FEW
11:56:42 19	QUESTIONS, THOUGH, IF YOU WANT TO JUST TAKE A LOOK
11:56:45 20	AND EXAMINE THAT DOCUMENT. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU
11:56:47 21	JUST A FEW QUESTIONS.
11:56:54 22	AND I'M GOING TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO
11:56:56 23	THE PARTS THAT LINE 25 TO 30 OF THAT DOCUMENT,
11:57:00 24	MR. FUNG?
11:57:00 25	A OKAY.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page118 of 218

11:57:01 1	Q OKAY. DID YOU EVER ASK FOR A WAIVER AS PART
11:57:24 2	OF GUARANTEEING THE \$2.285 MILLION NOTE?
11:57:31 3	A NO.
11:57:32 4	Q LET'S GO TO PAGE 2 OF THIS DOCUMENT AND
11:57:34 5	PARTICULARLY LOOKING AT LINES 23 AND 24. DO YOU
11:57:47 6	SEE THOSE LINES?
11:57:48 7	A YES.
11:57:48 8	Q AND HAD YOU EVER HAD ANY PERSONAL DISPUTES
11:57:51 9	WITH THE BROCKS BEFORE YOU WENT TO MEET WITH THEM
11:57:53 10	ON MARCH 23RD, 2003?
11:57:55 11	A NO.
11:57:56 12	Q AND WHEN MR. BROCK CALLED YOU AND GOT THE
11:57:59 13	DEFENDANT'S NAME, DID HE SAY ANYTHING ABOUT TURNING
11:58:02 14	YOU IN FOR PREPARING TAX RETURNS?
11:58:03 15	A NO.
11:58:03 16	Q AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT, WHY WAS DR. BROCK ASKING
11:58:07 17	YOU FOR THE DEFENDANT'S NUMBER?
11:58:09 18	A THAT I TOLD THE BROCKS THAT THE DEFENDANT IS
11:58:19 19	THE PERSON I HIRED TO DEAL WITH ALL OF THAT AND
11:58:22 20	THEY WANTED TO HIRE THE DEFENDANT.
11:58:28 21	Q AND NOW, LET'S GO TO PAGE 2 AND LOOK AT LINES
11:58:34 22	25 TO 28.
11:58:52 23	A YES.
11:58:53 24	Q DID YOU EVER SAY THAT YOU WANTED TO TAKE THE
11:58:55 25	BROCKS TO THE GRAND JURIES?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page119 of 218

11:58:56 1	A NO.
11:58:56 2	Q AND WHEN YOU SPOKE WITH THE DEFENDANT ABOUT
11:59:02 3	GRAND JURIES, HAD HE EVER SUGGESTED THAT HIS USE OF
11:59:04 4	THEM WAS FOR A JOKE OR NOT SERIOUS?
11:59:07 5	A NO.
11:59:07 6	Q OR TONGUE IN CHEEK?
11:59:12 7	A NO.
11:59:12 8	Q AND NOW, LET'S GO TO PAGE 3, LINES 7 THROUGH
11:59:16 9	12.
11:59:42 10	A YES.
11:59:43 11	Q ONCE AGAIN, WAS THE DEFENDANT DISCOURAGING YOU
11:59:45 12	FROM GOING TO THE I.R.S. FOR THREE WEEKS?
11:59:47 13	A NO.
11:59:47 14	Q AND HOW DID YOU GET THE RECORDS AGAIN THAT YOU
11:59:54 15	TOOK TO THE I.R.S.?
11:59:55 16	A FROM THE DEFENDANT.
11:59:56 17	Q NOW, FINALLY, LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 3, LINES
12:00:00 18	THE END OF LINE 44 TO THE BEGINNING OF PAGE 4.
12:00:23 19	A OKAY.
12:00:24 20	Q WHO ACTUALLY CANCELED WAS IT THE DEFENDANT
12:00:29 21	WHO ACTUALLY CANCELLED THE TRANSACTION WITH THE
12:00:31 22	BROCKS?
12:00:31 23	A NO.
12:00:31 24	Q WHO CANCELLED THE TRANSACTION?
12:00:33 25	A THE BROCKS.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page120 of 218

12:00:37 1	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT IS
12:00:39 2	AT A GOOD STOPPING POINT.
12:00:41 3	THE COURT: LET'S TAKE OUR RECESS AT THIS
12:00:43 4	TIME, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. I'LL ASK YOU TO RETURN
12:00:44 5	TO BE READY TO BE CALLED IN AT 1:30 PLEASE. SO
12:00:48 6	WE'LL BE IN THE NOON RECESS, AND I'LL REMIND YOU OF
12:00:51 7	THE ADMONITION.
13:30:18 8	(WHEREUPON, THE LUNCH RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	120
	120

### AFTERNOON SESSION 13:30:19 1 13:35:59 2 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS 13:35:59 3 MATTER WERE HELD OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 13:36:00 4 THE COURT: SORRY ABOUT THAT. WE HAVE A 13:36:00 5 CIVIL CALENDAR TOMORROW, OF COURSE. SO THAT'S WHAT 13:36:02 6 13:36:04 7 WE DID ALL NOON HOUR IS TALK ABOUT CLASS ACTIONS 13:36:12 8 AND STUFF LIKE THAT. 13:36:23 9 (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 13:40:13 10 13:40:13 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE BACK ON THE 13:40:14 12 RECORD. OUR JURY IS HERE. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND ALL COUNSEL 13:40:16 13 ARE PRESENT AND MR. FUNG IS ON THE STAND. 13:40:18 14 YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH, SIR. 13:40:22 15 BEFORE WE GET STARTED, I WANT TO ASK 13:40:25 16 13:40:28 17 ABOUT TIMING TOMORROW, FRIDAY. WE HAVE A CIVIL CALENDAR TOMORROW MORNING, THAT'S WHY WE'RE NOT 13:40:30 18 13:40:33 19 MEETING TOMORROW MORNING, BUT I OFFERED TO START AT 1:00 O'CLOCK TOMORROW. 13:40:36 20 13:40:40 21 I DIDN'T EVEN ASK YOU GUYS, IS THAT OKAY 13:40:43 22 WITH YOU? 13:40:44 23 SO WE COULD START AT 1:00 O'CLOCK TOMORROW AND THEN FINISH AT PERHAPS 4:00. IT'S 13:40:47 24 POSSIBLE WE CAN EXTEND TO 4:30 IF WE NEED TO AS 13:40:51 25 121

13:40:55 1	WELL. I HOPE THAT DOESN'T INCONVENIENCE ANYONE AND
13:40:57 2	I DON'T SEE ANYONE'S HANDS UP.
13:40:59 3	AND THEN TOMORROW WE'LL RESUME AT 1:00
13:41:03 4	O'CLOCK HERE. SO IF YOU COLLECT YOURSELVES AND YOU
13:41:05 5	CAN GET STARTED AS CLOSE TO 1:00 AS WE CAN, THAT
13:41:10 6	WOULD BE GREAT.
13:41:10 7	ALL RIGHT. MS. WONG, DO YOU WANT TO
13:41:14 8	CONTINUE YOUR EXAMINATION?
13:41:15 9	MS. WONG: YES, THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
13:41:19 10	Q MR. FUNG, BEFORE WE STOP TALKING ABOUT THE
13:41:24 11	BROCKS, I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION A FEW QUESTIONS
13:41:27 12	ABOUT MONEY.
13:41:28 13	WITH REGARDS TO THE CHECK THAT YOU GOT
13:41:30 14	FROM THE DEFENDANT, DID YOU EVER RECEIVE ANY MONEY
13:41:32 15	FOR YOUR ROLE IN REFERRING THE BROCKS TO THE
13:41:38 16	DEFENDANT?
13:41:38 17	A YES.
13:41:44 18	Q WHO DID YOU RECEIVE THAT MONEY FROM?
13:41:45 19	A NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES.
13:41:46 20	Q FOR SO WAS THAT MONEY YOU RECEIVED FROM
13:41:51 21	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES WAS FOR REFERRING THE
13:41:53 22	BROCKS TO NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
13:41:55 23	A YES.
13:41:55 24	Q AND DID YOU RECEIVE ANY MONEY EVER FOR
13:42:00 25	REFERRING THE BROCKS TO THE DEFENDANT?
	122

13:42:02 1	A YES.
13:42:02 2	Q AND WHAT SORT OF AND HOW MUCH MONEY DID YOU
13:42:05 3	RECEIVE FROM THE DEFENDANT FOR MAKING THAT
13:42:07 4	REFERRAL?
13:42:07 5	A FROM MY MEMORY I BELIEVE IT'S ABOUT \$2,000.
13:42:13 6	Q NOW, DID YOU KNOW WHEN YOU MADE THE REFERRAL
13:42:19 7	TO THE BROCKS OR YOU GAVE DR. BROCK THE DEFENDANT'S
13:42:22 8	NUMBER THAT YOU WERE GOING TO BE RECEIVING \$2,000?
13:42:25 9	A NO, I WASN'T EXPECTING ANY MONEY.
13:42:27 10	Q WHEN DID YOU LEARN THAT YOU WOULD GET \$2,000?
13:42:32 11	A WHEN THE DEFENDANT ARRIVED AND I MET UP WITH
13:42:39 12	HIM.
13:42:40 13	Q AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THE DEFENDANT ARRIVED
13:42:42 14	AND YOU MET UP WITH HIM?
13:42:43 15	A DURING THE COURSE OF WHEN THE DEFENDANT
13:42:50 16	SAID IT IS FAIR THAT AT SOME POINT IN TIME HE GAVE
13:42:51 17	ME SOME MONEY.
13:42:52 18	Q AND DID HE GIVE YOU THAT MONEY IN FRONT OF THE
13:42:54 19	BROCKS?
13:42:55 20	A NO.
13:42:55 21	Q AND TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE DID THE BROCKS KNOW THAT
13:42:57 22	YOU RECEIVED MONEY FROM THE DEFENDANT?
13:42:59 23	A NO.
13:42:59 24	Q ALL RIGHT. AT THIS TIME, MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE
13:43:06 25	TO STOP TALKING ABOUT THE BROCKS AND MOVE ON AND

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page124 of 218

1	
13:43:09 1	TALK ABOUT TWO OTHER INDIVIDUALS, AND I'LL MENTION
13:43:12 2	THEY'RE NAMES: MR. JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER AND MINH HA
13:43:17 3	TRAN.
13:43:17 4	A YES.
13:43:17 5	Q AND WERE THOSE CLIENTS WHO YOU PREPARED TAX
13:43:20 6	RETURNS?
13:43:21 7	A YES.
13:43:21 8	Q AND WERE THEY ALSO MEMBERS OF NATIONAL TRUST
13:43:23 9	SERVICES?
13:43:23 10	A YES.
13:43:24 11	MS. WONG: NOW, I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH TO
13:43:34 12	THE JURY 123, AND IT'S ALREADY BEEN ADMITTED.
13:43:39 13	THE COURT: IT'S BEEN ADMITTED, YES.
13:43:39 14	BY MS. WONG:
13:43:42 15	Q NOW, IF WE CAN FOCUS ON THE TOP PART OF THIS
13:43:44 16	TRUST RETURN. WHAT IS THE NAME OF THIS TRUST THAT
13:43:53 17	IS LISTED ON THIS 2000 FORM 1041.
13:43:57 18	A MG TRUST.
13:43:58 19	Q AND WAS THIS A TRUST THAT BELONGED TO
13:44:00 20	MR. BOURDIER AND MS. TRINH?
13:44:05 21	A YES.
13:44:05 22	THE COURT: MR. SMITH, IF YOU WANT TO
13:44:08 23	PULL THAT SCREEN OUT, I THINK YOU CAN PULL IT
13:44:11 24	CLOSER IF THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.
13:44:13 25	MS. SOLLECITO, IS THAT IN YOUR WAY?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page125 of 218

13:44:16 1	JUROR: NO, IT'S FINE.
13:44:17 2	THE COURT: THANK YOU. I'M SORRY.
13:44:19 3	MS. WONG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
13:44:20 4	Q NOW, IS THIS ONE OF THE RETURNS THAT YOU
13:44:23 5	PREPARED FOR MR. BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH?
13:44:28 6	A YES.
13:44:29 7	Q AND IF WE CAN FOCUS JUST ON THE BOTTOM.
13:44:31 8	IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE DOWN THERE?
13:44:33 9	A YES.
13:44:33 10	Q I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH WHAT IS ALREADY IN
13:44:41 11	EVIDENCE AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 124.
13:44:55 12	NOW, MR. FUNG, COULD YOU READ THE TRUST?
13:44:57 13	A MUDRACHI TRUST.
13:44:59 14	Q AND IS THAT ALSO ONE OF THE TRUSTS THAT
13:45:01 15	BELONGED TO MR. BOURDIER?
13:45:03 16	A YES.
13:45:03 17	Q AND IS THIS ALSO ONE OF THE TRUSTS THAT YOU
13:45:05 18	PREPARED FOR HIM?
13:45:06 19	A YES.
13:45:06 20	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THIS
13:45:24 21	TRUST?
13:45:24 22	A NINJACO TRUST.
13:45:27 23	Q IS THIS ANOTHER TRUST THAT BELONGED TO
13:45:29 24	MR. BOURDIER?
13:45:29 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page126 of 218

13:45:30 1	Q AND IS THIS ANOTHER RETURN THAT YOU ALSO
13:45:32 2	PREPARED FOR HIM?
13:45:33 3	A YES.
13:45:33 4	Q AND THESE THREE RETURNS THAT WE JUST LOOKED
13:45:36 5	AT, WERE THESE RETURNS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
13:45:40 6	THE PRINCIPLES OF NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
13:45:41 7	A YES.
13:45:41 8	Q AND WHAT WAS THE EFFECT OF THE PREPARING IT ON
13:45:48 9	THE BOURDIER'S TAXES?
13:45:49 10	A THE TAXES EITHER WERE REDUCED OR BEEN
13:45:53 11	TRANSFERRED.
13:45:53 12	Q AND SO WOULD YOU SAY THEY WERE HIGHER OR LOWER
13:45:59 13	AS A RESULT OF USING THE N.T.S. SYSTEM?
13:46:01 14	A LOWER.
13:46:02 15	Q I'D LIKE TO NOW DIRECT MR. FUNG'S ATTENTION TO
13:46:18 16	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 132, WHICH IS ALSO IN
13:46:21 17	EVIDENCE.
13:46:21 18	AND IS THIS ALSO ONE OF THE RETURNS YOU
13:46:23 19	PREPARED FOR MR. BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH?
13:46:26 20	A YES.
13:46:26 21	Q AND IF WE CAN ACTUALLY FOCUS ON THE STAMP THAT
13:46:29 22	APPEARS ON THIS PARTICULAR RETURN. ACCORDING TO
13:46:37 23	THIS STAMP, MR. FUN, WHEN DID THE I.R.S. RECEIVE
13:46:39 24	THE BOURDIERS 2002 TRUST RETURN?
13:46:47 25	A 2003.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page127 of 218

13:46:48 1	Q AND IF WE CAN GO DOWN TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCK.
13:46:51 2	DID YOU PREPARE THIS, MR. FUNG?
13:46:53 3	A YES.
13:46:53 4	Q AND BUT YOUR SIGNATURE DOES NOT APPEAR ON
13:46:55 5	THIS RETURN?
13:46:56 6	A YES.
13:46:56 7	Q AND AT THIS TIME WAS THIS AFTER YOU WERE
13:46:59 8	ALREADY BEING INVESTIGATED BY DENNIS BROWN?
13:47:02 9	A YES.
13:47:07 10	Q AND WHAT IS THE REASON YOUR SIGNATURE DOES NOT
13:47:09 11	APPEAR ON THE RETURN?
13:47:11 12	A I DON'T WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE.
13:47:12 13	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU DIDN'T WANT THE
13:47:15 14	I.R.S. TO KNOW THAT YOU PREPARED MR. BOURDIER'S
13:47:17 15	RETURN?
13:47:17 16	A YES.
13:47:17 17	Q I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO
13:47:24 18	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 122, WHICH IS ALSO IN
13:47:27 19	EVIDENCE.
13:47:30 20	IS THIS ONE OF THE INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX
13:47:33 21	RETURNS THAT YOU PREPARED FOR MR. BOURDIER AND HIS
13:47:35 22	WIFE?
13:47:35 23	A YES.
13:47:36 24	Q NOW, WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO WITH THIS PARTICULAR
13:47:38 25	RETURN IS GO TO PAGE 5, THE SCHEDULE A, AND I'D

13:47:50 1	LIKE TO FOCUS ON THE INTEREST THAT WAS PAID HERE.
13:48:06 2	NOW, MR. FUNG, CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THE
13:48:09 3	INTEREST PAID HERE, THE 87,774, WHERE THAT NUMBER
13:48:16 4	COMES FROM?
13:48:16 5	A THAT'S THE MORTGAGE INTEREST. I KNEW THAT
13:48:26 6	JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER, THEY HAD TAKEN OUT A MORTGAGE
13:48:30 7	FOR THE TRUST THAT THEY CREATED AND PAID INTEREST
13:48:32 8	TO THE TRUST AND IN RETURN TAKEN AN INTEREST
13:48:36 9	DEDUCTION AGAINST IT ON THEIR PERSONAL RETURNS.
13:48:38 10	Q SO THIS NUMBER THAT WE SEE HERE, SO THE TRUST
13:48:40 11	THAT THEY GOT A MORTGAGE THAT MR. BOURDIER GOT A
13:48:44 12	MORTGAGE FROM, DID HE ALSO CONTROL THAT TRUST?
13:48:47 13	A YES.
13:48:47 14	Q AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT HE THEN LENT MONEY
13:48:50 15	FROM THAT TRUST TO HIMSELF?
13:48:52 16	A YES.
13:48:52 17	Q AND THEN HE PAID INTEREST BACK TO THE TRUST HE
13:48:55 18	CONTROLLED?
13:48:56 19	A YES.
13:48:56 20	Q AND THESE ARE THE SOME OF THE DEDUCTIONS
13:49:01 21	ARE REFLECTED THERE FOR THAT MORTGAGE?
13:49:03 22	A YES.
13:49:04 23	Q FOR THAT INTEREST.
13:49:04 24	AND I'D JUST LIKE TO GO TO THE LAST PAGE
13:49:07 25	OF THIS RETURN AND FOCUS ON WHAT IS SHOWN THERE.

13:49:18 1	THE NEXT PAGE.
13:49:25 2	AND, MR. FUNG, IS THIS SHOWN HERE THE
13:49:29 3	64,000, DOES THAT CORRESPOND TO THE MORTGAGE AND
13:49:33 4	THAT YOU WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT?
13:49:34 5	A YES.
13:49:34 6	Q NOW, AT THE TIME THAT YOU MADE THESE
13:49:43 7	DEDUCTIONS ON MR. BOURDIER'S BEHALF, WAS THAT IN
13:49:45 8	ACCORD WITH NATIONAL TRUST PRINCIPLES?
13:49:48 9	A YES.
13:49:48 10	Q AND DID YOU KNOW THAT HE WASN'T THAT THAT
13:49:51 11	WAS AN IMPROPER DEDUCTION WHEN YOU TOOK IT?
13:49:53 12	A AT THE TIME I DIDN'T KNOW.
13:49:55 13	Q THIS IS IN 2001 WHEN YOU PREPARED THE RETURN?
13:50:01 14	A YES.
13:50:01 15	Q BUT DID YOU COME TO LEARN THAT THEY WERE
13:50:03 16	IMPROPER?
13:50:04 17	A YES.
13:50:04 18	Q AND YOU STILL KEPT PREPARING RETURNS WITH
13:50:07 19	THOSE DEDUCTIONS ON IT?
13:50:08 20	A YES.
13:50:08 21	Q NOW, MR. BOURDIER DID THERE COME A TIME
13:50:16 22	WHEN YOU SPOKE TO MR. BOURDIER ABOUT THE FACT THAT
13:50:18 23	THE I.R.S. WAS INVESTIGATING NATIONAL TRUST
13:50:22 24	SERVICES?
13:50:22 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page130 of 218

13:50:22 1	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU TELL
13:50:25 2	MR. BOURDIER?
13:50:26 3	A THAT THE I.R.S. IS AUDITING, TO MY KNOWLEDGE,
13:50:48 4	THE TAX RETURN, THE TRUST TAX RETURN RELATED TO
13:50:52 5	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES.
13:50:53 6	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU TELL
13:50:59 7	MR. BOURDIER AT THAT TIME ABOUT THE DEFENDANT?
13:51:00 8	A THAT I HIRED THE DEFENDANT TO HELP ME ON MY
13:51:11 9	TAX TRUSTS AND ISSUES.
13:51:14 10	Q AND DID YOU MAKE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS ABOUT
13:51:17 11	WHAT MR. BOURDIER SHOULD DO GIVEN THAT THE I.R.S.
13:51:21 12	WAS INVESTIGATING NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES AND ITS
13:51:25 13	MEMBERS?
13:51:25 14	A THAT THEY CONSIDER HIRING THE DEFENDANT.
13:51:31 15	Q AND DID YOU PROVIDE A WAY FOR MR. BOURDIER TO
13:51:34 16	GET IN CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT?
13:51:35 17	A YES.
13:51:36 18	Q AND WHAT DID YOU GIVE HIM?
13:51:37 19	A THE NAME AND PHONE NUMBER.
13:51:42 20	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU, IN FACT,
13:51:45 21	HAD LEARNED THAT MR. BOURDIER HAD GOTTEN IN CONTACT
13:51:49 22	WITH THE DEFENDANT?
13:51:49 23	A YES.
13:51:49 24	Q AND HOW DID YOU LEARN THAT THEY HAD BEEN IN
13:51:52 25	CONTACT?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page131 of 218

13:51:52 1	A THAT, AGAIN, I WAS TOLD TO MAKE SURE THE
13:51:59 2	MONEY, THE FEE TO THE DEFENDANT IS THERE BEFORE THE
13:52:02 3	DEFENDANT COME OVER FROM HAWAII.
13:52:04 4	Q SO IS IT FAIR SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THE
13:52:08 5	DEFENDANT CONTACTED YOU OR THAT YOU LEARNED FROM
13:52:11 6	THE DEFENDANT?
13:52:11 7	A I'M NOT SURE WHO CONTACTED WHO, BUT THAT'S
13:52:17 8	DURING THE CONVERSATION.
13:52:18 9	Q AND SO THAT'S IS IT SO WHO TOLD YOU
13:52:21 10	THAT, THE DEFENDANT OR MR. BOURDIER TO MAKE SURE
13:52:23 11	ABOUT THE MONEY?
13:52:24 12	A THE DEFENDANT.
13:52:25 13	Q AND DID YOU, IN FACT, COLLECT THE MONEY THAT
13:52:30 14	MR. BOURDIER WAS PAYING TO THE DEFENDANT?
13:52:35 15	A I DON'T RECALL.
13:52:39 16	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN THE DEFENDANT
13:52:41 17	CAME OVER FROM HAWAII?
13:52:42 18	A YES.
13:52:42 19	Q AND WHERE DID YOU MEET HIM?
13:52:44 20	A IN THE AIRPORT.
13:52:46 21	Q AND WHERE DID YOU TWO GO FROM THE AIRPORT?
13:52:48 22	A TO BOURDIER'S RESIDENCE IN BERKELEY.
13:52:56 23	Q AND IF YOU KNOW, WHAT DOES MR. BOURDIER DO OR
13:52:59 24	WHERE IS HE EMPLOYED?
13:53:00 25	A HE'S EMPLOYED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

13:53:04 1	BERKELEY AS A PROFESSOR.
13:53:05 2	Q AND DO YOU KNOW HOW HIS WIFE MINH HA TRINH IS
13:53:10 3	EMPLOYED?
13:53:10 4	A ALSO THE SAME PLACE.
13:53:11 5	Q AND AT THIS WHEN YOU WERE GOING WITH THE
13:53:14 6	DEFENDANT TO THIS MEETING, WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND
13:53:16 7	THE PURPOSE OF MEETING MR. BOURDIER AT HIS HOUSE TO
13:53:19 8	BE?
13:53:19 9	A SIMILAR TO WHAT THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME TO DO.
13:53:24 10	Q AND HOW DID IT COMPARE TO WHAT YOU AND THE
13:53:27 11	DEFENDANT HAD TRIED TO DO WITH THE BROCKS?
13:53:30 12	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, AMBIGUOUS.
13:53:35 13	OBJECTION, AMBIGUOUS.
13:53:36 14	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO REPHRASE THAT?
13:53:38 15	I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
13:53:42 16	BY MS. WONG:
13:53:43 17	Q AND IF YOU'LL RECALL, WHEN YOU WENT TO GO MEET
13:53:45 18	WITH THE BROCKS, WOULD YOU SAY THAT THE THAT
13:53:48 19	WHAT YOU UNDERSTOOD THE PURPOSE OF GOING TO SEE
13:53:50 20	MR. BOURDIER, WAS THAT SIMILAR OR DIFFERENT FROM
13:53:53 21	WHY YOU WERE GOING TO SEE MR FROM WHY YOU WERE
13:53:56 22	GOING TO GO SEE THE BROCKS?
13:53:58 23	A SIMILAR.
13:53:58 24	Q SO WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND WAS GOING TO BE
13:54:01 25	DISCUSSED AT THIS MEETING WHEN YOU WERE GOING

13:54:05 1	THERE?
13:54:06 2	A THEY HAD TO DEAL WITH ALL OF THE TRUST TAX
13:54:20 3	RETURNS THAT BOURDIER HAVE ALL OF THE PAST YEARS.
13:54:26 4	Q AND WHAT WHEN YOU SAY "DEAL WITH THOSE
13:54:31 5	TRUST RETURNS," WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT YOU
13:54:33 6	AND THE DEFENDANT WERE GOING TO DO?
13:54:38 7	A SETTING UP A CORPORATION AND REPORTING THE
13:54:45 8	RETURNS.
13:54:45 9	Q AND WHEN YOU GOT TO THE BOURDIER'S HOME, DID
13:54:48 10	YOU, IN FACT, HAVE A MEETING WITH THE BOURDIERS?
13:54:50 11	A YES.
13:54:50 12	Q AND WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AT THAT MEETING?
13:54:52 13	A SETTING UP THE CORPORATION AND THE RETURNS AND
13:55:00 14	SOME TYPE OF TRANSACTION AND FROM THE CORPORATION
13:55:10 15	THE PROMISSORY NOTES OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
13:55:13 16	Q AND THIS TRANSACTION, IF YOU KNOW, BETWEEN
13:55:15 17	WHICH CORPORATIONS WAS THIS TRANSACTION GOING TO
13:55:17 18	BE?
13:55:17 19	A A TRANSACTION THAT THE BOURDIERS WERE GOING TO
13:55:24 20	SET UP WHICH NAMED IT HEART MIND CORPORATION.
13:55:28 21	Q AND WAS THAT HEART MIND CORPORATION, WAS IT
13:55:30 22	THEN GOOD TO ENTER INTO ANY SUBSEQUENT TRANSACTIONS
13:55:37 23	AFTER BEING SET UP?
13:55:38 24	A YES.
13:55:38 25	Q AND WHAT TRANSACTION WAS THAT?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page134 of 218

13:55:40 1	A SIMILAR TO THE PLOT.
13:55:45 2	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "SIMILAR," IS THAT BECAUSE IT
13:55:48 3	INVOLVED THE SAME CORPORATION?
13:55:49 4	A TYPE OF ASSETS, WHOLE ASSETS, SELL ALL OF THE
13:55:53 5	ASSETS TO THE CORPORATION AND MAYBE A PROMISSORY
13:56:01 6	NOTE WOULD BE DRAFT OUT.
13:56:03 7	Q NOW, WHAT CORPORATION WERE THE ASSETS, THE
13:56:06 8	BOURDIER ASSETS GOING TO BE SOLD TO?
13:56:14 9	A I DON'T RECALL BUT PROBABLY MOST LIKELY THE
13:56:17 10	HCHC.
13:56:18 11	Q YOU MAY NOT RECALL THE NAME OF THE
13:56:22 12	CORPORATION. DO YOU RECALL WHO WAS GOING TO BE THE
13:56:23 13	OWNER OF THE CORPORATION WHO WAS GOING TO BUY ALL
13:56:25 14	OF THE BOURDIER ASSETS?
13:56:29 15	A THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION.
13:56:30 16	Q NOW, IN ORDER AND DID THE BOURDIERS, IN
13:56:32 17	FACT, AGREE TO GO FORWARD WITH THIS PLAN?
13:56:34 18	A YES.
13:56:35 19	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THEY DID?
13:56:36 20	A YES.
13:56:40 21	Q AND IN ADDITION TO AGREEING TO GO FORWARD,
13:56:42 22	WERE ANY DOCUMENTS CREATED TO MEMORIALIZE THIS
13:56:45 23	TRANSACTION?
13:56:46 24	A YES.
13:56:46 25	Q AND WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THOSE WERE DRAFTED?

13:56:48 1	A YES.
13:56:48 2	Q NOW, WHO DRAFTED THOSE DOCUMENTS?
13:56:51 3	A THE DEFENDANTS AND MYSELF I THINK.
13:56:58 4	Q AND WHAT ROLE DID YOU HAVE IN DRAFTING THOSE
13:57:01 5	DOCUMENTS?
13:57:01 6	A I THINK USING MY COMPUTER, APPLE COMPUTER.
13:57:13 7	Q AND IN ADDITION TO DRAFTING DOCUMENTS RELATED
13:57:18 8	TO THAT TRANSACTION, WERE ANY TAX RETURNS PREPARED?
13:57:21 9	A I DON'T RECALL.
13:57:24 10	MR. FONG: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. MAY WE
13:57:26 11	HAVE A QUICK SIDE-BAR?
13:57:27 12	THE COURT: SURE.
13:57:27 13	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE ON THE RECORD.)
14:04:41 14	THE COURT: WE'RE AT THE BENCH OUTSIDE OF
14:04:41 15	THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. COUNSEL ARE PRESENT.
14:04:41 16	MR. FONG.
14:04:41 17	MR. FONG: YES, THANK YOU. MY CONCERN IS
14:04:41 18	THAT DESPITE EVERYBODY'S BEST EFFORTS, MR. FUNG IS
14:04:41 19	REFERRING TO MS. LIGHTER AS THE "DEFENDANTS"
14:04:41 20	PLURAL. HE CORRECTS HIMSELF OCCASIONALLY.
14:04:42 21	I'M WONDERING RATHER THAN ME OBJECTING,
14:04:42 22	WHAT I DON'T WANT TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT IS THAT I'M
14:04:42 23	AFRAID HE'S CREATING THE IMPRESSION THAT BESIDES
14:04:42 24	HIMSELF AND MR. LIGHTER, THERE WERE MORE PEOPLE
14:04:42 25	INVOLVED IN THIS AND OF COURSE, IN A CONSPIRACY

14:04:42 1 14:04:42 2 14:04:42 3 14:04:42 4 14:04:42 5 14:04:42 6 14:04:42 7 14:04:42 8 14:04:42 9 14:04:42 10 14:04:42 11 14:04:42 12 14:04:42 13 14:04:42 14 14:04:42 15 14:04:42 16 14:04:42 17 14:04:42 18 14:04:42 19 14:04:42 20 14:04:42 21 14:04:42 22 14:04:42 23 14:04:42 24

14:04:42 25

CASE THAT'S ALWAYS A CONCERN.

I THINK WE'RE OKAY BECAUSE THE COURT HAD

CLARIFIED MR. FUNG'S TESTIMONY BEFORE, BUT I'M

WONDERING IF WE CAN REFER TO MR. LIGHTER AS

MR. LIGHTER SO THAT THERE'S NO AMBIGUITY BECAUSE

MR. FUNG HAS CONSISTENTLY USED THE WORD

"DEFENDANTS," WHEN I THINK HE WAS JUST REFERRING TO

MR. LIGHTER.

I DON'T THINK HE'S DOING IT INTENTIONALLY.

MR. O'REILLY: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IT'S

QUITE OBVIOUS IT'S HIS SPEECH PATTERN AND IT'S

NOTHING ELSE. CERTAINLY YOU CAN CLARIFY IT UP ON

CROSS THAT IT WAS JUST THE TWO OF THEM THAT WERE

CHARGED.

THERE'S NO IMPUTING BY THE GOVERNMENT
THAT THERE ARE SOME OTHER SECRET DEFENDANTS OUT
THERE, AND I DON'T WANT TO TELL MR. FUNG YOU'RE
SUPPOSED TO TELL IT THIS WAY.

THE COURT: WELL, I'LL ASK HIM AND AS YOU RECALL AND YOU NOTED MR. FONG, I ASKED HIM WHEN YOU SAY YOU'RE USING PLURAL, DO YOU MEAN MR. LIGHTER OR WHO DO YOU MEAN? AND HE SAID MR. LIGHTER.

AND THEN IN HIS MOST RECENT EXAMINATION

HE RESPONDED AGAIN WITH THE PLURAL AND -- BUT THEN

14:04:42 1 14:04:42 2 14:04:42 3 14:04:42 4 14:04:42 5 14:04:42 6 14:04:42 7 14:04:42 8 14:04:42 9 14:04:42 10 14:04:42 11 14:04:42 12 14:04:42 13 14:04:42 14 14:04:42 15 14:04:42 16 14:04:42 17 14:04:42 18 14:04:42 19 14:04:42 20 14:04:42 21 14:04:42 22 14:04:42 23 14:04:42 24 14:04:42 25

IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION BY MS. WONG ABOUT WHO

PREPARED IT, HE SAID HE DID CORRECT IT AND SAY I

PARTICIPATED IN IT ALSO.

IT SEEMS TO ME TO INDICATE THAT HE KNOWS

THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN AT LEAST AS TO HIMSELF AND

MR. LIGHTER IN REGARDS TO PREPARING THAT ONE

DOCUMENT.

I THINK I CLARIFIED IT WHEN I ASKED HIM

DO YOU MEAN -- WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY

"DEFENDANTS" AND HE SAID MR. LIGHTER.

MR. FONG: AND MY CONCERN IS THAT THE

JURORS WILL BE LEFT WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT, OKAY,

ON THAT ONE OCCASION HE MEANT JUST MR. LIGHTER BUT

THEN WHEN HE'S USING THE WORD "DEFENDANTS"

REPEATEDLY, I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT THE JURORS

MIGHT THINK, WELL, CERTAINLY HE'S NOT MEANING

HIMSELF AND SO MAYBE THERE'S ANOTHER PERSON OUT

THERE.

THE COURT: BUT THERE'S NOBODY ELSE IN

THE INDICTMENT AND THERE'S NO OTHER -- I DON'T KNOW

WHAT THE OVERT ACTS ARE GOING TO BE, BUT THE OTHER

I THINK ISSUE IS THAT, YOU KNOW, I THINK IT APPEARS

THAT ENGLISH IS NOT HIS FIRST LANGUAGE AND IT WOULD

BE MY GUESS AND I DIDN'T ASK HIM THAT.

MR. O'REILLY: YOU'RE CORRECT.

14:04:42 1 14:04:42 2 14:04:42 3 14:04:42 4 14:04:42 5 14:04:42 6 14:04:42 7 14:04:42 8 14:04:42 9 14:04:42 10 14:04:42 11 14:04:42 12 14:04:42 13 14:04:42 14 14:04:42 15 14:04:43 16 14:04:43 17 14:04:43 18 14:04:43 19 14:04:43 20 14:04:43 21 14:04:43 22 14:04:43 23 14:04:43 24

14:04:44 25

THE COURT: BUT THAT WOULD BE MY

ASSUMPTION, THAT HE DOES HAVE AN INTONATION WHEN HE

SPEAKS, AND I KNOW THE COURT REPORTER HAS HAD TO

ASK HIM TO REPEAT A COUPLE OF THINGS.

MR. FONG: I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYTHING INTENTIONAL ON THE PART OF MR. FUNG. I THINK IT'S JUST THAT HE BASICALLY CANNOT HELP HIMSELF WHEN HE USES THE WORD "DEFENDANTS" WHEN HE MEANT "DEFENDANT." AND THAT'S MY CONCERN THAT THE JURORS ARE HEARING "DEFENDANTS" REPEATEDLY.

THE COURT: WELL, HE DID IN RESPONSE TO A

QUESTION AND IT WAS ASKED ABOUT INDICATING A

POSSESSIVE, EITHER WHOSE DOCUMENT -- I CAN'T

REMEMBER -- HE DID SAY "DEFENDANTS" WITH THE

POSSESSIVE, AND I THINK THAT WAS PRETTY CLEAR TO

FOLLOW.

I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO ASK HIM
ANYTHING DIRECTED "DID YOU MEAN MR. LIGHTER A
COUPLE OF TIMES?" AND SEE WHERE THAT GOES. I
SUPPOSE THAT CAN BE HELPFUL. I THINK IT'S ON THE
RECORD. "AND WHEN I SAY DEFENDANTS, I MEAN
MR. LIGHTER."

MR. O'REILLY: AND ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR,
AS YOU POINT OUT, THERE'S ONLY ONE PERSON SITTING
OVER THERE. THERE'S NOBODY ELSE IN THE INDICTMENT.

ALL OF THE OVERT ACTS THAT WERE ALLEGED WERE 14:04:44 1 COMMITTED EITHER BY MR. LIGHTER OR BY MR. FUNG. 14:04:44 2 SO I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY AMBIGUITY. 14:04:44 3 AND I'M AFRAID THAT ANY ATTEMPT TO 14:04:44 4 CLARIFY IT WITH MR. FUNG IS LIKELY, ACTUALLY, TO 14:04:44 5 EXACERBATE THE PROBLEM. 14:04:44 6 THE COURT: THAT IS UNINTENTIONAL. 14:04:44 7 MR. FONG: I SUSPECT, AND THIS IS A --14:04:44 8 14:04:44 9 THAT IF THE OUESTION WAS FRAMED AS TO MR. LIGHTER AS OPPOSED TO DID THE DEFENDANT. I UNDERSTAND THAT 14:04:44 10 14:04:44 11 MR. FUNG IT'S UNDERSTANDABLY A HUMAN REACTION TO 14:04:44 12 ASK A QUESTION WITH THE DEFENDANT WITH AN ANSWER 14:04:44 13 THE DEFENDANTS AND THAT'S JUST SIMPLY --14:04:44 14 THE COURT: EXCUSE ME TO INTERRUPT. YOU'RE ASKING THAT COUNSEL SAY WE PREPARED THIS AND 14:04:44 15 IF HE SAYS THE DEFENDANTS, THEN THEY SAY DO YOU 14:04:44 16 14:04:44 17 MEAN MR. LIGHTER? MR. FONG: OR SIMPLY, YOU KNOW WHAT, WE 14:04:44 18 14:04:44 19 DON'T EVEN HAVE TO SAY MR. LIGHTER. IT'S THE PROSECUTION'S PREROGATIVE HOW THEY WANT TO REFER TO 14:04:44 20 14:04:44 21 MR. LIGHTER. THEY HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO REFER TO 14:04:44 22 MR. LIGHTER AS A DEFENDANT BUT PERHAPS WE CAN SAY 14:04:44 23 DEFENDANT LIGHTER. 14:04:44 24 I JUST DON'T WANT -- IT SEEMS THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT I CERTAINLY DON'T NEED TO WORRY 14:04:44 25

14:04:44 1	ABOUT.
14:04:44 2	THE COURT: WELL, FROM A TACTICAL POINT
14:04:44 3	OF VIEW IT'S NONE OF MY BUSINESS. YOU KNOW, THE
14:04:44 4	ALTERNATIVE IS TO KEEP YOUR CLIENT'S NAME KEEP
14:04:44 5	BEING MENTIONED AS THE CULPABLE PARTY WHICH
14:04:44 6	SOMETIMES
14:04:44 7	MR. FONG: WELL, ACTUALLY.
14:04:44 8	THE COURT: IT COULD SEND THE WRONG
14:04:45 9	MESSAGE.
14:04:45 10	MR. FONG: WELL, I'M WILLING TO LIVE WITH
14:04:45 11	THAT. I WOULD RATHER HEAR THE NAME "LIGHTER" AS
14:04:45 12	OPPOSED TO "DEFENDANT."
14:04:45 13	IF HE WERE JUST SAYING DEFENDANT
14:04:45 14	SINGULAR, THEN THAT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S PREROGATIVE,
14:04:45 15	I DON'T CARE.
14:04:45 16	THE COURT: WELL, IT'S ONE WHERE YOU ASK
14:04:45 17	HIM A COUPLE OF TIMES TO CORRECT THAT.
14:04:45 18	MS. WONG: SURE.
14:04:45 19	THE COURT: AND I ASKED THE QUESTION
14:04:45 20	ALREADY. I THINK IT'S PRETTY CLEAR TO THE JURY,
14:04:45 21	BUT YOU'RE RIGHT, AND HE KEEPS SAYING THAT AND IF
14:04:45 22	HE ASKED A QUESTION AND IF YOU ASK THE FOLLOW-UP
14:04:45 23	QUESTION DO YOU MEAN MR. LIGHTER? I THINK THAT
14:04:45 24	WILL SEND IT HOME AND IT WILL CLEAR IT UP.
14:04:45 25	MR. O'REILLY: WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS

14:04:45 1	THAT ARE YOU WILLING WHEN YOU USE THE PLURAL, IS
14:04:45 2	THAT DO YOU MEAN JUST ONE PERSON?
14:04:45 3	THE COURT: YEAH.
14:04:45 4	MR. FONG: THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
14:04:45 5	THE COURT: ON ANOTHER TOPIC, I HAVE ON
14:04:45 6	MY DESK HERE DEFENSE EXHIBIT A. IS THAT THE
14:04:45 7	DOCUMENT, THE THICK DOCUMENT?
14:04:45 8	MR. FONG: YES.
14:04:45 9	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
14:04:45 10	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED.)
14:04:48 11	THE COURT: MS. WONG.
14:04:48 12	MS. WONG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14:04:49 13	Q MR. FUNG, BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE
14:04:52 14	DOCUMENTS THAT WERE THAT YOU HELPED DRAFT OR
14:04:55 15	WERE DRAFTED DURING THAT MEETING, I JUST WANT TO
14:04:58 16	CLEAR SOMETHING UP.
14:04:59 17	YOU'VE SAID THE WORD "DEFENDANTS" A FEW
14:05:02 18	TIMES WHEN I'VE ASKED YOU ABOUT THE DEFENDANT.
14:05:04 19	DO YOU MEAN MR. LIGHTER?
14:05:06 20	A YES.
14:05:08 21	Q AND, FOR EXAMPLE, AT THIS MEETING WITH THE
14:05:11 22	BOURDIERS, WAS ANYONE ELSE PRESENT OTHER THAN YOU
14:05:17 23	AND DEFENDANT LIGHTER OTHER THAN MINH HA TRINH AND
14:05:22 24	MR. BOURDIER?
14:05:24 25	A THAT'S ALL.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page142 of 218

14:05:24 1	Q AND WAS ANYONE ELSE INVOLVED AT LEAST TO YOUR
14:05:33 2	KNOWLEDGE TO HELP THE BOURDIERS WITH THEIR N.T.S.
14:05:38 3	TAX ISSUES, OTHER THAN DEFENDANT LIGHTER AND
14:05:41 4	YOURSELF?
14:05:47 5	A THAT'S ALL I KNOW OF.
14:05:48 6	Q NOW, LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE
14:05:50 7	DOCUMENTS THAT WERE CREATED DURING THIS MEETING.
14:05:52 8	I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN
14:05:54 9	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S
14:05:56 10	EXHIBIT 15.
14:06:09 11	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
14:06:10 12	A YES.
14:06:10 13	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
14:06:14 14	A IT'S A MUTUAL ASSIGNMENT OF SHARES.
14:06:17 15	Q AND WAS THAT ONE OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WAS
14:06:20 16	CREATED DURING YOUR MEETING WITH THE BOURDIERS AND
14:06:24 17	THE DEFENDANT?
14:06:24 18	A YES.
14:06:25 19	Q AND YOU WERE PRESENT WHEN IT WAS CREATED?
14:06:27 20	A YES.
14:06:27 21	Q AND THIS IS A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THAT
14:06:30 22	DOCUMENT?
14:06:30 23	A YES.
14:06:31 24	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
14:06:35 25	MOVES GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 115 INTO EVIDENCE.

14:06:38 1	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
14:06:40 2	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
14:06:42 3	OBJECTION.
14:06:59 4	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
14:06:59 5	115, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
14:06:59 6	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
14:06:59 7	EVIDENCE.)
14:06:59 8	BY MS. WONG:
14:07:00 9	Q AND WHAT I'D LIKE TO FOCUS ON AT THE TOP HERE
14:07:02 10	IS THE SECOND FULL PARAGRAPH, THE WHEREAS. AND
14:07:12 11	FOCUS THE WITNESS ON ALL OF THE PARTS THERE.
14:07:32 12	NOW, MR. FUNG, WAS THIS DOCUMENT SUPPOSED
14:07:34 13	TO MEMORIALIZE THE TRANSACTION BETWEEN THE
14:07:36 14	DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION AND THE NEW CORPORATION
14:07:39 15	THAT WAS CREATED FOR MR. BOURDIER?
14:07:42 16	A YES.
14:07:42 17	Q AND WHAT EXACTLY DID THAT TRANSACTION INVOLVE?
14:07:47 18	A SOME TYPE OF A EXCHANGE OF SHARES OF THE
14:07:54 19	CORPORATION.
14:07:54 20	Q AND WHAT WAS GOING TO BE THE RESULT OF THAT
14:07:56 21	EXCHANGE OF SHARES FOR THE BOURDIERS' ASSETS?
14:08:02 22	A THAT THE SHAREHOLDER'S INTEREST IS BEING
14:08:28 23	TRANSFERRED.
14:08:28 24	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE SHAREHOLDER'S INTEREST,"
14:08:31 25	SO WHO WOULD END UP WITH THE SHARES OF THE

14:08:38 1	BOURDIER'S NEWLY FORMED CORRUPTION?
14:08:41 2	A THAT HCHC.
14:08:45 3	Q AND IS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION, HCHC?
14:08:49 4	A YES.
14:08:49 5	Q AND THEN WHAT WOULD THE BOURDIERS RECEIVE IN
14:08:52 6	RETURN FOR GIVING THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATION THOSE
14:08:57 7	SHARES?
14:08:57 8	A THAT BOURDIERS CORPORATION WINDS UP WITH THE
14:09:03 9	OTHER CORPORATION SHARES.
14:09:05 10	Q AND SO SHARES IN MR. LIGHTER'S CORPORATION OR
14:09:10 11	MR. LIGHTER'S CORPORATION?
14:09:11 12	A YES.
14:09:11 13	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT BRING WITH HIM ANY, IF
14:09:16 14	YOU RECALL, ANY BALANCE SHEETS OR FINANCIAL
14:09:20 15	STATEMENTS SHOWING HOW MUCH HCHC WAS WORTH?
14:09:24 16	A NOT THAT I REMEMBER.
14:09:26 17	Q LET'S MOVE ON TO PAGE 2 OR ONE MORE THING
14:09:31 18	OPENING THE FIRST PAGE.
14:09:32 19	LET'S GO UP TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH.
14:09:39 20	NOW, HERE IT SAYS THIS ASSIGNMENT IS MADE
14:09:42 21	ON THE 19TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2003.
14:09:44 22	WAS THAT THE DATE OR AROUND THE DATE THAT
14:09:48 23	YOU AND THE DEFENDANT MET WITH THE BOURDIERS?
14:09:51 24	A YES.
14:09:51 25	Q NOW, LET'S GO ON TO THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page145 of 218

14:09:55 1	DOCUMENT. IS THIS ONE OF THE SHARES THE
14:10:03 2	CERTIFICATES THAT WAS DRAWN UP TO DOCUMENT THE
14:10:06 3	TRANSFER OF SHARES?
14:10:07 4	A YES.
14:10:07 5	Q AND LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE.
14:10:26 6	AND ALTHOUGH IT'S SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT TO
14:10:28 7	READ, MR. FUNG, WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE CORPORATION
14:10:30 8	THAT APPEARS UNDER THE EAGLE HERE?
14:10:32 9	A HEART MIND CORPORATION.
14:10:42 10	Q AND WAS THAT THE CORPORATION THAT YOU AND THE
14:10:45 11	DEFENDANT HELPED THE BOURDIERS CREATE?
14:10:47 12	A YES.
14:10:47 13	Q AND WHO IS RECEIVING, ACCORDING TO THIS
14:10:51 14	CERTIFICATE, SHARES IN MR. BOURDIER'S NEW
14:10:56 15	CORPORATION?
14:10:56 16	A HCHC.
14:10:59 17	Q OR ACCORDING TO THE CERTIFICATE, WHAT DOES IT
14:11:02 18	SAY ON HERE?
14:11:02 19	A I'M SORRY?
14:11:06 20	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, LEADING.
14:11:09 21	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME?
14:11:10 22	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, LEADING.
14:11:11 23	THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU CAN ANSWER
14:11:13 24	THE QUESTION.
14:11:13 25	THE WITNESS: ERIC LIGHTER.

14:11:16 1	BY MS. WONG:
14:11:16 2	Q AND LET'S GO NOW TO THE NEXT PAGE OF THE
14:11:18 3	EXHIBIT.
14:11:24 4	WAS THIS ANOTHER DOCUMENT THAT WAS DRAWN
14:11:26 5	UP DURING THAT MEETING?
14:11:28 6	A YES.
14:11:29 7	Q AND IS THAT MR. BOURDIER'S SIGNATURE THERE AT
14:11:38 8	THE BOTTOM?
14:11:38 9	A YES.
14:11:38 10	Q AND LET'S FINALLY GO TO THE LAST PAGE OF THIS
14:11:42 11	EXHIBIT.
14:11:43 12	AND I KNOW IT'S SLIGHTLY DIFFICULT TO
14:11:45 13	READ, MR. FUNG, BUT COULD YOU TELL THE JURY WHAT IS
14:11:48 14	THE NAME OF THE CORPORATION THAT APPEARS UNDERNEATH
14:11:51 15	THE EAGLE?
14:11:51 16	A HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION.
14:12:06 17	Q AND WHO IS, ACCORDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE,
14:12:09 18	RECEIVING SHARES IN HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL
14:12:15 19	CORPORATION OR HCHC?
14:12:17 20	A JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH.
14:12:20 21	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO DRAWING UP PAPERWORK THAT
14:12:26 22	DOCUMENTED THIS TRANSACTION, I WANT TO SHOW YOU
14:12:30 23	WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS GOVERNMENT'S WHAT IS
14:12:33 24	ALREADY IN EVIDENCE AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 118.
14:12:36 25	AND IF WE CAN GO TO THE TOP OF THIS TAX

14:12:47 1	RETURN, THIS CORPORATE TAX RETURN.
14:12:51 2	NOW, DO YOU RECOGNIZE IS THIS THE
14:12:53 3	HEART MIND CORPORATION THAT WAS CREATED DURING THAT
14:12:56 4	MEETING WITH MR. BOURDIER ON OCTOBER 19TH, 2003?
14:13:00 5	A YES.
14:13:01 6	Q AND IT SAYS HERE THAT THE CORPORATION NEXT TO
14:13:04 7	THE NAME IT SAYS 1990 TO 2002.
14:13:08 8	AND IT ALSO SAYS NEAR THAT DATE OF
14:13:11 9	INCORPORATION 12-9-1990. NOW, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE
14:13:19 10	HAD HEART MIND CORPORATION EXISTED BEFORE YOUR
14:13:21 11	MEETING WITH JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH?
14:13:25 12	A NO.
14:13:25 13	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN WHY THEY COULD
14:13:29 14	WRITE THAT IT WAS INCORPORATED IN 1990 THEN?
14:13:33 15	A YES.
14:13:33 16	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
14:13:34 17	A SOMETHING RELATED TO DE FACTO.
14:13:37 18	Q AND IF WE LOOK AT THE TOP ALSO, UNDERNEATH
14:13:41 19	U.S. CORPORATION INCOME TAX RETURN IT SAYS FOR
14:13:45 20	CALENDAR YEAR 2002 OR TAX YEAR BEGINNING IN
14:13:53 21	12-9-1919 ENDING 12-31-2002. DO YOU KNOW HOW THAT
14:14:00 22	CAME TO BE THERE, MR. FUNG?
14:14:02 23	A THE DEFENDANT.
14:14:02 24	Q AND NOW, HAD YOU EVER BEFORE THIS, HAD YOU
14:14:07 25	EVER SEEN A TAX RETURN, OTHER THAN YOUR RETURNS, I

14:14:10 1	SUPPOSE, HAD YOU SEEN A TAX RETURN THAT SPAN SO
14:14:12 2	MANY YEARS? WHAT IS IT, 12 YEARS?
14:14:14 3	A NO.
14:14:15 4	Q AND BASED ON YOUR TRAINING IN PREPARING TAX
14:14:17 5	RETURNS, WHAT WERE YOU TAUGHT ABOUT PREPARING AND
14:14:20 6	PUTTING ON ONE TAX RETURN MORE THAN ONE
14:14:23 7	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, FOUNDATION.
14:14:24 8	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO LAY A
14:14:26 9	FOUNDATION ABOUT HIS TRAINING?
14:14:29 10	BY MS. WONG:
14:14:29 11	Q MR. FUNG, HAVE YOU RECEIVED TRAINING IN
14:14:31 12	PREPARING TAX RETURNS?
14:14:32 13	A ONLY WHEN I TOOK A CLASS WITH H & R BLOCK AND
14:14:41 14	I TRIED TO LEARN HOW TO DO MY OWN TAX RETURNS.
14:14:44 15	Q AND DURING THAT CLASS, DID THEY TEACH YOU
14:14:46 16	ABOUT HOW TO PREPARE RETURNS?
14:14:48 17	A NOT CORPORATION, ONLY PERSONAL TAX RETURNS.
14:14:56 18	Q AND DID THEY TEACH YOU ABOUT I.R.S.
14:14:58 19	REGULATIONS DURING THAT TRAINING?
14:15:01 20	A NOT REALLY.
14:15:05 21	Q NOW, WHEN YOU WERE PREPARING RETURNS FOR
14:15:09 22	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES, DID YOU ALSO RECEIVE SOME
14:15:12 23	TRAINING FROM ROY FRITTS AND RICK PRESCOTT?
14:15:17 24	A YES.
14:15:17 25	Q AND WHEN YOU WERE PREPARING RETURNS BEFORE FOR

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page149 of 218

14:15:20 1	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES, DID YOU EVER PUT MORE THAN
14:15:22 2	ONE TAX YEAR ON ONE YEAR?
14:15:25 3	A NO.
14:15:26 4	Q AND WHEN YOU WERE PREPARING INDIVIDUAL TAX
14:15:28 5	RETURNS, DID YOU EVER PUT MORE THAN ONE TAX YEAR ON
14:15:31 6	A RETURN?
14:15:31 7	A NO.
14:15:31 8	Q IN THE CASE OF THIS TAX RETURN, GOVERNMENT'S
14:15:34 9	EXHIBIT 118, WHO, IF ANYONE, SAID IT WAS OKAY TO
14:15:40 10	PUT MORE THAN ONE TAX YEAR ON THE TAX RETURN?
14:15:42 11	A THE DEFENDANTS.
14:15:43 12	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE DEFENDANTS," DO YOU MEAN
14:15:47 13	THE DEFENDANT LIGHTER?
14:15:48 14	A YES.
14:15:48 15	Q AND THERE WAS NO ONE ELSE WHO SAID THAT DURING
14:15:51 16	THAT MEETING, RIGHT?
14:15:52 17	A NO.
14:15:52 18	Q NOW, IF WE GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM OF THIS TAX
14:15:58 19	RETURN AND INCLUDE THE STAMP, PLEASE.
14:16:05 20	ACCORDING TO THE I.R.S. STAMP, WHEN WAS
14:16:08 21	THIS TAX RETURN RECEIVED BY THE I.R.S.?
14:16:10 22	A NOVEMBER 9TH, 2003.
14:16:12 23	Q AND ON THE BOTTOM WHOSE SIGNATURE APPEARS IN
14:16:19 24	THE PREPARER'S BOX?
14:16:21 25	A THE DEFENDANT'S.

AND WHAT IS WRITTEN ABOVE HIS SIGNATURE? 14:16:29 1 Q A HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION. 14:16:32 2 O AND IS THAT THE CORPORATION THAT BELONGED TO 14:16:40 3 14:16:41 4 THE DEFENDANT? 14:16:42 5 A YES. NOW, IN ADDITION TO SETTING UP THIS 14:16:42 6 14:16:45 7 TRANSACTION OR DOCUMENTS TO MEMORIALIZE THIS TRANSACTION WHEN YOU AND THE DEFENDANT WERE AT THE 14:16:48 8 14:16:50 9 BOURDIERS, DO YOU KNOW IF THE DEFENDANT TOOK ANY INVENTORY AT THE BOURDIERS WHILE HE WAS THERE? 14:16:54 10 14:16:56 11 A NO. 14:16:57 12 O NOW, LET'S GO TO THE SIXTH PAGE THAT SHOWS THE HEART CORPORATION SHAREHOLDERS. AND JUST BLOW THAT 14:17:12 13 14:17:16 14 UP. NOW, ACCORDING TO THIS PAGE OF THE TAX 14:17:22 15 RETURN, MR. FUNG, WHO HAS SHARES IN THE HEART 14:17:24 16 14:17:27 17 CORPORATION? JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH HAVE --14:17:27 18 14:17:38 19 OWN 900 SHARES AND THE HAWAIIN COLONY HOTEL CORPORATION HAD 100 SHARES. 14:17:43 20 14:17:44 21 O LET'S NOW GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. IF WE CAN JUST 14:17:49 22 BLOW UP EVERYTHING THAT IS WRITTEN THERE. 14:17:55 23 NOW, UNDER HEART CORPORATION, THE LIST OF 14:17:59 24 SUBSIDIARIES, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE NAMES OF COMPANIES THAT APPEAR AS SUBSIDIARIES HERE? 14:18:02 25

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page151 of 218

14:18:06 1	A YES.
14:18:06 2	Q AND WHAT ARE THOSE?
14:18:08 3	A IT'S ALL OF THE TRUST THAT THE BOURDIER SET UP
14:18:11 4	WITH NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES.
14:18:11 5	Q AND SO WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT SHOWING?
14:18:29 6	A IT'S SHOWING ALL OF THE TRUSTS UNDER THE HEART
14:18:32 7	CORPORATION.
14:18:32 8	Q AND IF YOU KNOW, WERE THE BOURDIERS' ASSETS
14:18:36 9	HELD BY THEIR N.T.S. TRUST?
14:18:46 10	A YES.
14:18:46 11	Q AND LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE OF GOVERNMENT'S
14:18:50 12	EXHIBIT 118.
14:18:56 13	NOW, WHAT DOES WHAT IS THIS THE
14:19:00 14	FIRST LINE OF THIS PAGE OF THE TAX RETURN SHOW IT
14:19:03 15	TO BE, MR. FUNG?
14:19:04 16	A INVENTORY OF HEART CORPORATION.
14:19:07 17	Q AND IT INCLUDES THINGS LIKE PAINTINGS AND
14:19:12 18	DRAWINGS?
14:19:12 19	A YES.
14:19:12 20	Q AND PHOTOGRAPHS?
14:19:13 21	A YES.
14:19:14 22	Q AND THESE WERE SOME OF THE ASSETS OF THE
14:19:16 23	BOURDIERS?
14:19:17 24	A YES.
14:19:17 25	Q LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE OF THE RETURN.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page152 of 218

14:19:26 1	NOW THIS IS THE RIGHT PAGE.
14:19:32 2	NOW, MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS
14:19:34 3	DOCUMENT?
14:19:34 4	A NO.
14:19:36 5	Q BUT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE NAME THAT APPEARS
14:19:39 6	HERE?
14:19:40 7	A YES.
14:19:40 8	Q AND WHAT DOES THIS DOCUMENT PURPORT TO BE?
14:19:45 9	A AMENDED BY LAWS OF HEART MIND CORPORATION.
14:19:49 10	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, WERE YOU INVOLVED IN PREPARING
14:19:52 11	THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT?
14:19:54 12	A NO.
14:19:54 13	Q HAD YOU EVER PREPARED ANY SORT OF AMENDED
14:20:00 14	BYLAWS FOR THE BOURDIERS?
14:20:01 15	A NO.
14:20:01 16	Q OR FOR ANYONE ELSE?
14:20:03 17	A NO.
14:20:04 18	Q LET'S GO TO THE SECOND TO THE LAST PAGE OF
14:20:08 19	THIS. IT'S JUST GOING TO BE THE LAST PAGE OF THE
14:20:17 20	ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION.
14:20:19 21	NOW, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THESE SIGNATURES
14:20:21 22	HERE UNDER THE APPROVED AND ADOPTED?
14:20:23 23	A YES.
14:20:24 24	Q NOW, ACTUALLY CAN YOU JUST MOVE IT UP SO YOU
14:20:34 25	CAN SEE WHERE IT'S ALLEGEDLY APPROVED AND ADOPTED.

14:20:42 1	NOW, MR. FUNG, AT LEAST TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE
14:20:44 2	WERE MR. BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH IN MEDFORD,
14:20:53 3	OREGON ON OCTOBER 23RD, 2003?
14:20:57 4	A NO.
14:20:57 5	Q BUT WERE ANY ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION FILED
14:21:00 6	ON BEHALF OF HEART MIND CORPORATION IN MEDFORD,
14:21:04 7	OREGON?
14:21:04 8	A NO. I'M SORRY. WOULD YOU REPEAT THE
14:21:08 9	QUESTION?
14:21:08 10	Q MY QUESTION WAS FOR THIS NEW CORPORATION,
14:21:10 11	HEART MIND CORPORATION, WERE ANY DOCUMENTS FILED
14:21:13 12	WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF OREGON?
14:21:16 13	A YES.
14:21:16 14	Q AND WERE YOU INVOLVED IN FILING THAT DOCUMENT?
14:21:18 15	A YES.
14:21:18 16	Q I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
14:21:24 17	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 116.
14:21:35 18	LET'S FOCUS FIRST ON THE FIRST PAGE OF
14:21:37 19	116.
14:21:39 20	WERE YOU INVOLVED IN FILING THAT
14:21:41 21	DOCUMENT?
14:21:41 22	A YES.
14:21:41 23	Q AND IS IT A TRUE AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE
14:21:44 24	DOCUMENT THAT YOU FILED WITH THE OREGON SECRETARY
14:21:47 25	OF STATE?

14:21:47 1	A YES.
14:21:47 2	Q AND NOW, LET'S GO TO THE SECOND PAGE OF 116.
14:21:55 3	AND IT'S ACTUALLY ALREADY IN EVIDENCE SO
14:21:58 4	WHAT WE'LL DO IS WE'LL JUST PUBLISH IT TO THE JURY
14:22:01 5	AT THIS STAGE. AND I APOLOGIZE. AND WE'LL GO WITH
14:22:04 6	THE FIRST PAGE, FIRST.
14:22:05 7	IF YOU CAN BLOW UP JUST THE PART THAT
14:22:08 8	SHOWS THE NAME OF THE CORPORATION AND ALSO THE
14:22:10 9	REGISTERED AGENT.
14:22:25 10	MR. FUNG, WHO TOLD YOU TO FILE THIS
14:22:28 11	BUSINESS INCORPORATION IN OREGON FOR HEART MIND
14:22:33 12	CORPORATION?
14:22:33 13	A THE DEFENDANT.
14:22:36 14	Q AND HERE YOU'RE LISTED AS THE REGISTERED
14:22:39 15	AGENT. DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY YOU
14:22:42 16	WERE TO BE THE REGISTERED AGENT FOR THIS
14:22:44 17	CORPORATION?
14:22:44 18	A YES.
14:22:46 19	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
14:22:47 20	A I'M THE RESIDENT OF THE STATE OF OREGON.
14:22:51 21	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHAT, IF
14:22:53 22	ANYTHING, WHAT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DO AS A
14:22:56 23	REGISTERED AGENT OF THIS CORPORATION?
14:22:58 24	A NO.
14:22:58 25	Q NOW, WHO ARE LISTED AS THE INCORPORATORS DOWN

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page155 of 218

14:23:03 1	IN THE LATER PART OF THIS DOCUMENT?
14:23:05 2	A JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER AND MINH HA TRINH.
14:23:20 3	Q AND DID YOU FILE THIS DOCUMENT AFTER THE
14:23:22 4	MEETING, AFTER THE MEETING THAT YOU HAD WITH THE
14:23:24 5	BOURDIERS AND THE DEFENDANT?
14:23:25 6	A YES.
14:23:25 7	Q NOW, LET'S GO TO THE SECOND PAGE OF EXHIBIT
14:23:30 8	OF THIS EXHIBIT.
14:23:31 9	NOW, MR. FUNG, DID YOU FILE THIS DOCUMENT
14:23:37 10	FOR HEART CORPORATION?
14:23:38 11	A NO.
14:23:38 12	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHO DID?
14:23:40 13	A JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER.
14:23:42 14	Q AND NOW, WAS THIS FILED AT THE SAME TIME AS
14:23:46 15	THE DOCUMENT OF INCORPORATION FOR HEART MIND
14:23:52 16	CORPORATION?
14:23:52 17	A NO.
14:23:52 18	Q WAS IT FILED BEFORE OR AFTER?
14:23:55 19	A AFTER.
14:23:55 20	Q NOW, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEARNED
14:24:06 21	THAT THE BOURDIERS WERE BEING AUDITED BY THE
14:24:08 22	I.R.S.?
14:24:09 23	A I DON'T RECALL.
14:24:14 24	Q AT SOME POINT WERE YOU CONTACTED ABOUT THE,
14:24:17 25	THE MORTGAGE DEDUCTIONS THAT WERE TAKEN ON THE

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page156 of 218

14:24:20 1	RETURNS THAT YOU PREPARED?
14:24:21 2	A YES.
14:24:21 3	Q AND WHO CONTACTED YOU?
14:24:23 4	A I THINK JEAN-PAUL BOURDIER, HE TOLD ME THAT.
14:24:31 5	HE TOLD ME ABOUT BEING AUDITED.
14:24:33 6	Q AND SO THE TRUST RETURNS THAT YOU PREPARED
14:24:35 7	WERE BEING EXAMINED BY THE I.R.S., IS THAT FAIR TO
14:24:38 8	SAY?
14:24:38 9	A I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY. IT WAS A LONG TIME AGO,
14:24:45 10	AND I THINK SOME RETURNS WERE BEING AUDITED.
14:24:47 11	Q AND THEY WERE MR. BOURDIER'S RETURNS?
14:24:49 12	A YES.
14:24:49 13	Q AND WHEN MR. BOURDIER CONTACTED YOU ABOUT,
14:24:53 14	ABOUT THE AUDIT, DID HE ASK YOU TO DO ANYTHING?
14:24:56 15	A I DON'T RECALL.
14:25:05 16	Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR
14:25:09 17	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S
14:25:13 18	EXHIBIT 113.
14:25:20 19	MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE GOVERNMENT'S
14:25:23 20	EXHIBIT 113?
14:25:24 21	A YES.
14:25:24 22	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
14:25:25 23	A IT'S A MORTGAGE INTEREST STATEMENTS FOR 2001.
14:25:38 24	Q AND DID YOU PREPARE THAT DOCUMENT?
14:25:41 25	A YES.

14:25:41 1	Q AND IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE
14:25:43 2	DOCUMENT THAT YOU PREPARED?
14:25:44 3	A YES.
14:25:45 4	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
14:25:47 5	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 113 INTO EVIDENCE.
14:25:49 6	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION.
14:25:50 7	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
14:25:51 8	OBJECTION.
14:25:52 9	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
14:25:52 10	113, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
14:25:52 11	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
14:25:55 12	EVIDENCE.)
14:25:55 13	BY MS. WONG:
14:25:56 14	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT
14:25:59 15	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 113.
14:26:06 16	NOW, MG TRUST, IS THAT ONE OF
14:26:08 17	MR. BOURDIER'S TRUST?
14:26:10 18	A YES.
14:26:10 19	Q AND IS THIS, THIS FORM DOCUMENTING THE
14:26:16 20	MORTGAGE THAT WAS GIVEN THAT WAS BETWEEN
14:26:18 21	MR. BOURDIER AND ONE OF THE TRUSTS THAT HE OWNED?
14:26:20 22	A YES.
14:26:20 23	Q AND WAS THIS DID YOU PREPARE THIS DOCUMENT
14:26:26 24	FOR MR. BOURDIER AFTER HE WAS BEING AUDITED?
14:26:29 25	A I DON'T REMEMBER IF IT WAS BEFORE OR AFTER,

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page158 of 218

14:26:38 1	BUT HE ASKED ME TO PREPARE THAT FORM.
14:26:41 2	Q AND DID HE TELL YOU WHY HE WANTED YOU TO
14:26:43 3	PREPARE THAT FORM?
14:26:44 4	A THAT HE NEEDED EVIDENCE OF IT FOR THE TRUSTEE
14:26:54 5	TO ISSUE THE 1098, FORM 1098 TO HIM.
14:26:58 6	Q NOW, WE HAVE LOOKED THROUGH SOME OF THE
14:27:00 7	RETURNS THAT YOU HAVE PREPARED, MR. FUNG.
14:27:02 8	IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU DIDN'T
14:27:04 9	TYPICALLY PREPARE A FORM 1098 FOR YOUR N.T.S.
14:27:11 10	CLIENTS?
14:27:12 11	A CORRECT.
14:27:12 12	Q BUT IN THIS CASE YOU DID?
14:27:14 13	A YES.
14:27:15 14	Q AND IT WAS AFTER MR. BOURDIER SAID HE NEEDED
14:27:17 15	EVIDENCE?
14:27:17 16	A YES.
14:27:18 17	Q I'D LIKE TO TURN NOW TO GOVERNMENT'S
14:27:20 18	EXHIBIT 126.
14:27:22 19	AND I'D LIKE TO GO TO PAGE 86 OF THAT
14:27:24 20	EXHIBIT.
14:27:43 21	NOW, MR. FUNG, THIS IS PART OF THE
14:27:46 22	THIS DOCUMENT, THIS PROMISSORY NOTE, WHAT IS THE
14:27:48 23	STATE THE STAMP SAYS IN TERMS OF WHEN IT WAS
14:27:53 24	RECEIVED BY THE I.R.S.?
14:27:54 25	A MAY 27TH, 2004.

14:28:03 1	Q NOW, THAT'S AFTER YOU AND THE DEFENDANT HAD
14:28:05 2	MET WITH MR. BOURDIER IN HIS HOME IN BERKELEY,
14:28:08 3	CALIFORNIA?
14:28:08 4	A YES.
14:28:08 5	Q AND DID YOU PREPARE THIS DOCUMENT?
14:28:10 6	A NO.
14:28:12 7	Q DO YOU KNOW HOW THIS DOCUMENT CAME TO BE
14:28:17 8	PREPARED?
14:28:17 9	A THE DEFENDANT PREPARED IT.
14:28:26 10	Q AND HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?
14:28:30 11	MR. FONG: I'M GOING TO OBJECT IF HE'S
14:28:34 12	GOING TO ANSWER IN A HEARSAY STATEMENT.
14:28:36 13	THE COURT: SAY THAT AGAIN.
14:28:37 14	MR. FONG: I'M GOING TO OBJECT IF
14:28:39 15	MR. FUNG IS GOING TO ANSWER IN A HEARSAY STATEMENT.
14:28:48 16	THE COURT: WE DON'T KNOW THAT YET.
14:28:51 17	OVERRULED. YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION, SIR.
14:29:03 18	THE WITNESS: WOULD YOU REPEAT THE
14:29:04 19	QUESTION.
14:29:04 20	BY MS. WONG:
14:29:05 21	Q I ASKED YOU HOW DID YOU KNOW THAT IT WAS THE
14:29:07 22	DEFENDANT WHO PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT?
14:29:08 23	A BECAUSE I REMEMBER SOME KIND OF CD BEING, YOU
14:29:14 24	KNOW, WAS BROUGHT BY THE DEFENDANT AND PUT IN THE
14:29:20 25	COMPUTER AND THIS TYPE OF DOCUMENT AND THE TEMPLATE

14:29:25 1	AND YOU TYPE THE CORRECT INFORMATION ON IT.
14:29:27 2	Q AND LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE OF THIS RETURN.
14:29:38 3	WHAT DOES THIS DOCUMENT SAY IT IS,
14:29:39 4	MR. FUNG, AFTER THE BELOW THE AFTER RECORDING
14:29:43 5	RETURN BY MAIL BLOCK?
14:29:44 6	A I'M SORRY. I DON'T KNOW THE QUESTION.
14:29:54 7	Q I WAS ASKING, SO THIS DOCUMENT SAYS IT IS A
14:29:57 8	REAL PROPERTY MORTGAGE.
14:29:59 9	DO YOU SEE WHERE IT SAYS THAT ON THE
14:30:01 10	DOCUMENT?
14:30:01 11	A YES.
14:30:01 12	Q BUT THIS HEART CORPORATION THAT IS SUPPOSED TO
14:30:04 13	BE PART OF THIS, THIS MORTGAGE, WHEN DID THAT
14:30:08 14	COME DID THAT COME INTO BEING BEFORE OR AFTER
14:30:11 15	YOUR MEETING WITH THE DEFENDANT AND THE BOURDIERS
14:30:15 16	IN OCTOBER OF 2003?
14:30:16 17	A I DON'T RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT, BUT I CAN SEE
14:30:25 18	IT WAS MAY 27TH, 2004.
14:30:28 19	Q SO THAT WAS WHEN THIS DOCUMENT WAS RECEIVED BY
14:30:30 20	THE I.R.S.
14:30:31 21	BUT I'M ASKING ACTUALLY RIGHT NOW ABOUT
14:30:32 22	THE HEART CORPORATION. THAT CORPORATION DIDN'T
14:30:35 23	EXIST BEFORE YOUR MEETING WITH THE BOURDIERS AND
14:30:37 24	THE DEFENDANT, RIGHT?
14:30:38 25	A THAT'S CORRECT.

### Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page161 of 218

Q AND THAT MEETING WAS IN OCTOBER OF 2003, 14:30:39 1 RIGHT? 14:30:41 2 A THAT'S CORRECT. RIGHT. 14:30:41 3 14:30:41 4 Q AND NOW ACCORDING TO THIS DOCUMENT, IF WE FOCUS ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH, IT SAYS THAT ON THIS 14:30:44 5 2ND DAY OF JANUARY 1998. DO YOU SEE WHERE I'M 14:30:47 6 14:30:50 7 READING FROM? A YES. 14:30:51 8 14:30:51 9 0 BUT AS LEAST AS FAR AS YOU KNOW DID HEART CORPORATION EXIST IN 1998? 14:31:01 10 14:31:03 11 A NO. Q AND YOU NEVER PREPARED RETURNS FOR 14:31:03 12 MR. BOURDIER IN 1998 FOR HEART CORPORATION, DID 14:31:05 13 YOU? 14:31:10 14 14:31:10 15 A NO. O AND IF WE CAN GO TWO PAGES LATER. NOW, 14:31:10 16 14:31:20 17 MR. FUNG, DO YOU KNOW HOW THIS -- DID YOU PREPARE THIS DOCUMENT? 14:31:22 18 14:31:22 19 A NO. Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHO DID? 14:31:22 20 14:31:24 21 A I DON'T KNOW. Q I'D LIKE TO GO THREE PAGES LATER AND BLOW THAT 14:31:24 22 14:31:39 23 UP. 14:31:43 24 NOW, MR. FUNG, IS THIS THE SAME DOCUMENT THAT WE WERE JUST LOOKING AT AS GOVERNMENT'S 14:31:46 25

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page162 of 218

14:31:48 1	EXHIBIT 113?
14:31:49 2	A YES.
14:31:50 3	Q AND THIS IS THE FORM THAT WAS THAT YOU
14:31:54 4	PREPARED?
14:31:54 5	A YES.
14:31:54 6	Q AND, NOW, DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH THE BOURDIERS
14:32:07 7	PAID THE DEFENDANT FOR HIS HELP?
14:32:09 8	A IN THE RANGE OF 10,000 TO 15,000. SOMETHING
14:32:17 9	LIKE THAT.
14:32:18 10	Q AND DID YOU RECEIVE ANY MONEY FOR REFERRING
14:32:26 11	THE BOURDIERS TO THE DEFENDANT?
14:32:27 12	A YES.
14:32:27 13	Q AND HOW MUCH DID YOU RECEIVE?
14:32:28 14	A I DON'T RECALL. IT WAS MAYBE A THOUSAND OR
14:32:38 15	TWO.
14:32:39 16	Q AND DID YOU RECEIVE THAT MONEY WHEN DID YOU
14:32:41 17	RECEIVE THAT MONEY, IF YOU RECALL?
14:32:42 18	A AFTER THE DEFENDANT CAME OVER I RECEIVED THE
14:32:45 19	MONEY.
14:32:45 20	Q AND DID HE THEN PAY YOU IN FRONT OF THE
14:32:48 21	BOURDIERS?
14:32:48 22	A NO.
14:32:48 23	Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID THE BOURDIERS KNOW THAT
14:32:51 24	YOU HAD RECEIVED ANY MONEY FOR REFERRING THEM?
14:32:53 25	A NO.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page163 of 218

14:32:54 1	Q AND NOW AS PART OF YOUR MEETING WITH THE
14:32:58 2	BOURDIERS AND THE DEFENDANT, WAS THERE ANY
14:33:02 3	DISCUSSION WAS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR MEETING TO DO
14:33:04 4	ANY REAL ESTATE BUSINESS?
14:33:05 5	A NO.
14:33:05 6	Q AND/OR TO DO ANYTHING TO DO ANY SORT OF
14:33:09 7	OFFERINGS THROUGH THE S.E.C.?
14:33:11 8	A NO.
14:33:12 9	Q AND, UM, WITH REGARDS TO THE TO WITH
14:33:21 10	REGARDS TO THESE TRANSACTIONS, THE TRANSACTIONS
14:33:23 11	WITH THE BOURDIERS, IF YOU HAD ANY QUESTIONS, WHO
14:33:26 12	DID YOU TALK TO ABOUT WHAT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DO?
14:33:28 13	A THE DEFENDANTS.
14:33:32 14	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE DEFENDANTS," YOU MEAN
14:33:35 15	ERIC LIGHTER?
14:33:36 16	A YES.
14:33:36 17	Q AS PART OF THIS TRANSACTION, WERE THE
14:33:42 18	BOURDIERS, TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU KNOW, WERE THEY
14:33:46 19	TRYING TO DO HOTEL OFFERINGS IN HAWAII OR INVEST IN
14:33:52 20	HOTELS IN HAWAII?
14:33:53 21	A I DON'T RECALL.
14:33:54 22	Q AND WAS THAT THE REASON THAT YOU GAVE
14:33:56 23	MR. BOURDIER THE DEFENDANT'S NUMBER AND CONTACT
14:34:00 24	INFORMATION?
14:34:01 25	A NO.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page164 of 218

14:34:01 1	Q WHAT WHY DID YOU GIVE MR. BOURDIER THE
14:34:06 2	DEFENDANT'S CONTACT INFORMATION?
14:34:09 3	A TO DEAL WITH THE NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES TAX
14:34:14 4	RETURN AND AUDIT AND THINGS LIKE THAT.
14:34:15 5	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY TO DEAL WITH TAXES AND
14:34:18 6	THE I.R.S.?
14:34:20 7	A YES.
14:34:21 8	Q AND, NOW, WAS IT ONLY ON YOUR ADVICE THAT THE
14:34:23 9	BOURDIERS DECIDED TO GO FORWARD WITH THE PLAN?
14:34:26 10	A NO.
14:34:26 11	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, SPECULATION.
14:34:28 12	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
14:34:30 13	BY MS. WONG:
14:34:30 14	Q WERE YOU PRESENT
14:34:31 15	MR. FONG: MOVE TO STRIKE.
14:34:32 16	THE COURT: IF THERE WAS AN ANSWER, IT'S
14:34:36 17	STRICKEN. THE RESPONSE IS STRICKEN.
14:34:39 18	BY MS. WONG:
14:34:40 19	Q WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THE BOURDIERS DECIDED TO
14:34:42 20	GO FORWARD WITH THE DEFENDANT'S PLAN?
14:34:45 21	A YES.
14:34:45 22	Q AND AT THAT TIME, WHO WAS TELLING THEM, IF
14:34:49 23	ANYONE, THAT THE PLAN WAS A GOOD IDEA AND IT WOULD
14:34:55 24	SOLVE THEIR TAX PROBLEMS?
14:34:56 25	A THE DEFENDANT FORMULATED A PLAN AND THE

14:35:05 1	BOURDIERS, THEY ALL AGREE ON IT.
14:35:07 2	Q AT THIS TIME, MR. FUNG, I WANT TO STOP TALKING
14:35:24 3	ABOUT MR. BOURDIER AND WHAT HE DID, AND I WANT TO
14:35:28 4	TALK ABOUT SOME OTHER PEOPLE.
14:35:29 5	I WANT TO KNOW WHETHER YOU RECOGNIZE
14:35:31 6	THESE NAMES: DR. IRWIN GOOTNICK AND DR. SUSAN
14:35:35 7	GOOTNICK?
14:35:35 8	A YES.
14:35:35 9	Q AND DID YOU, DID YOU PREPARE N.T.S. TAX
14:35:41 10	RETURNS FOR THEM?
14:35:41 11	A YES.
14:35:42 12	Q AND WERE THEY ALSO MEMBERS OF N.T.S.?
14:35:47 13	A YES.
14:35:48 14	Q I'D LIKE TO JUST TAKE A BRIEF LOOK AT SOME OF
14:35:52 15	THOSE TAX RETURNS THAT YOU PREPARED.
14:35:54 16	CAN WE SHOW MR. FUNG AND THE JURY WHAT
14:35:58 17	HAS BEEN ALREADY ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE AS
14:36:00 18	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 167.
14:36:02 19	NOW, MR. FUNG, IS THIS ONE OF THE RETURNS
14:36:31 20	THAT YOU PREPARED FOR THE GOOTNICKS?
14:36:33 21	A YES.
14:36:33 22	Q AND WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE TRUST SHOWN ON
14:36:36 23	THIS RETURN?
14:36:37 24	A FULL DIMENSION TRUST.
14:36:39 25	Q AND WAS THAT ONE OF THE GOOTNICKS' TRUSTS?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page166 of 218

14:36:41 1	A YES.
14:36:42 2	Q AND LET'S GO DOWN SO THAT MR. FUNG AND THE
14:36:45 3	JURY CAN SEE THIS STAMP.
14:36:47 4	ACCORDING TO THIS STAMP, WHEN WAS THIS
14:36:49 5	RETURN RECEIVED BY THE I.R.S., MR. FUNG?
14:36:50 6	A JULY 23RD, 2002.
14:36:55 7	Q AND IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE DOWN ON THE BOTTOM?
14:36:58 8	A YES.
14:36:58 9	Q AND LET'S GO TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 168,
14:37:07 10	WHICH IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.
14:37:20 11	NOW, MR. FUNG, IS THIS ANOTHER ONE OF THE
14:37:23 12	RETURNS THAT YOU PREPARED FOR THE GOOTNICKS?
14:37:24 13	A YES.
14:37:25 14	Q AND WHAT IS THE NAME OF THIS TRUST?
14:37:26 15	A GOOTNICK FAMILY TRUST.
14:37:27 16	Q AND WAS THIS ANOTHER ONE OF THEIR TRUSTS THAT
14:37:30 17	THEY BOUGHT THROUGH N.T.S.?
14:37:32 18	A YES.
14:37:33 19	Q LET'S GO TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 169.
14:37:49 20	NOW, MR. FUNG, WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE
14:37:52 21	TRUST LISTED ON THIS RETURN?
14:37:53 22	A J AND J TRUST.
14:37:55 23	Q AND IS THIS ANOTHER ONE OF THE GOOTNICK'S
14:37:58 24	TRUST?
14:37:58 25	A YES.

### Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page167 of 218

AND DID YOU PREPARE THIS RETURN? 14:37:58 1 Q Α 14:38:00 2 YES. Q LET'S GO TO GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 170 OR, YES, 14:38:00 3 170. 14:38:10 4 NOW, MR. FUNG, IS THIS ANOTHER ONE OF THE 14:38:25 5 GOOTNICKS N.T.S.'S TRUSTS? 14:38:27 6 A NO. 14:38:34 7 O AND WHAT IS THIS? 14:38:34 8 14:38:39 9 A THE GOOTNICKS HAVE A CORPORATION. O AND WAS THIS A CORPORATION THAT WAS CREATED 14:38:43 10 14:38:45 11 AFTER -- THAT WAS CREATED -- DO YOU KNOW HOW THIS 14:38:51 12 CORPORATION WAS CREATED OR WHY IT SAYS INC. ON THIS RETURN? 14:38:54 13 A THIS IS GOOTNICKS' CORPORATION HAS BEEN IN 14:38:55 14 EXISTENCE FOR A WHILE. 14:39:03 15 O NOW, YOU WERE -- YOU PREPARED THIS RETURN? 14:39:04 16 14:39:07 17 Α YES. Q AND THIS IS ONE OF THE CORPORATE RETURNS? 14:39:07 18 14:39:11 19 A THAT'S CORRECT. O BUT YOU HADN'T PREPARED CORPORATE RETURNS FOR 14:39:11 20 14:39:14 21 GOOTNICK IN THE PAST, HAD YOU? 14:39:15 22 A NO. O SO THIS WAS -- AND IF YOU CAN -- AND ACCORDING 14:39:16 23 TO THE STAMP, WHEN WAS THIS RECEIVED BY THE I.R.S.? 14:39:29 24 A JULY 23RD, 2002. 14:39:34 25

NOW, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU SPOKE TO 14:39:42 1 Q THE GOOTNICKS ABOUT THE I.R.S. INVESTIGATION ABOUT 14:39:45 2 NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES? 14:39:48 3 A YES. 14:39:49 4 Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU TELL OR DID YOU 14:39:49 5 TALK TO THE GOOTNICKS ABOUT DURING THAT 14:39:52 6 CONVERSATION? 14:39:55 7 A DR. GOOTNICK WAS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE 14:39:56 8 14:40:04 9 TRUST THAT WAS SET UP BY NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES WHETHER THEY'RE SCAM TRUSTS SO VALID TRUSTS AND HE 14:40:09 10 14:40:13 11 WANTED TO, YOU KNOW, FIND OUT, YOU KNOW, AND I TOLD 14:40:19 12 HIM THAT I DON'T PROVIDE LEGAL ADVICE. GO SEE AN ATTORNEY. 14:40:25 13 O AND DID YOU -- NOW, YOU ADVISED DR. GOOTNICK 14:40:25 14 HE COULD GO SEE AN ATTORNEY. 14:40:28 15 AT ANY TIME -- AT SOME POINT DID YOU GIVE 14:40:29 16 14:40:31 17 THE DEFENDANT'S NAME TO DR. GOOTNICK? 14:40:36 18 A YES. 14:40:36 19 O AND WHAT SORT OF CONVERSATION -- DID YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT THE DEFENDANT AND WHAT THE 14:40:44 20 14:40:46 21 DEFENDANT COULD DO WITH DR. GOOTNICK? 14:40:49 22 A DR. GOOTNICK ASKED ME, YOU KNOW, AT THAT TIME I WAS TRUSTEE FOR HIS TRUSTS SO HE CONTACTED ME ALL 14:40:56 23 OF THE TIME AND COMMUNICATED. SO AT SOME POINT IN 14:41:02 24 TIME I TOLD HIM I WAS HAVING THE DEFENDANT TO HELP 14:41:07 25

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page169 of 218

14:41:10 1	ME OUT.
14:41:10 2	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS
14:41:13 3	HELPING YOU OUT, IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU TOLD
14:41:15 4	DR. GOOTNICK THAT ABOUT YOUR TAX PROBLEMS AND
14:41:19 5	WHAT THE DEFENDANT WAS DOING FOR YOU?
14:41:20 6	A YES.
14:41:21 7	Q AND AFTER YOU TOLD DR. GOOTNICK THAT, HOW DID
14:41:26 8	DR. GOOTNICK RESPOND?
14:41:28 9	A THAT HE WANTED TO CONTACT.
14:41:34 10	Q AND DID YOU PROVIDE THAT CONTACT INFORMATION?
14:41:36 11	A YES.
14:41:36 12	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU HAD LEARNED
14:41:40 13	WHETHER OR NOT DR. GOOTNICK HAD GOTTEN IN TOUCH
14:41:43 14	WITH THE DEFENDANT?
14:41:43 15	A YES.
14:41:43 16	Q AND HOW DID YOU LEARN THAT?
14:41:46 17	A THAT I NEED TO GO AND TO MEET UP WITH
14:41:51 18	DR. GOOTNICK TO PICK UP THE MONEY.
14:41:52 19	Q AND FROM WHOM DID YOU LEARN THAT YOU HAD TO GO
14:41:56 20	AND PICK UP THE MONEY?
14:41:57 21	A EITHER THE DEFENDANT CONTACTED ME OR
14:42:05 22	DR. GOOTNICK HIMSELF CONTACTED ME.
14:42:06 23	Q SO IS IT DURING THAT TIME WERE YOU IN
14:42:10 24	CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT BY PHONE OR E-MAIL OR
14:42:13 25	SOME FORM?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page170 of 218

14:42:13 1	A PHONE.
14:42:15 2	Q AND YOU WOULD EACH CALL EACH OTHER?
14:42:20 3	A YES.
14:42:20 4	Q NOW, WHEN YOU WENT WHEN YOU WERE CONTACTED
14:42:24 5	TO GO PICK UP THE MONEY, DID YOU, IN FACT, GO DO
14:42:27 6	THAT?
14:42:28 7	A YES.
14:42:28 8	Q AND WHERE DID YOU GO TO MEET DR. GOOTNICK?
14:42:33 9	A TO THE RESTAURANT.
14:42:35 10	Q AND WHERE WAS THAT RESTAURANT LOCATED?
14:42:37 11	A IT'S THE MAX'S RESTAURANT IN SAN RAFAEL I
14:42:45 12	THINK.
14:42:45 13	Q AND WHERE WERE YOU LIVING AT THE TIME?
14:42:47 14	A MEDFORD, OREGON.
14:42:49 15	Q SO HOW DID YOU GET TO MAX'S RESTAURANT IN SAN
14:42:54 16	RAFAEL?
14:42:54 17	A DROVE.
14:42:54 18	Q SO YOU DROVE ALL OF THE WAY DOWN TO MAX'S
14:42:57 19	RESTAURANT. AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU GOT TO
14:42:59 20	MAX'S RESTAURANT?
14:43:00 21	A DR. GOOTNICK GAVE ME A PAPER BAG.
14:43:09 22	Q AND WHAT WAS IN THE PAPER BAG?
14:43:10 23	A CURRENCY.
14:43:11 24	Q AND DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH?
14:43:13 25	A NO.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page171 of 218

14:43:13 1	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE PAPER BAG?
14:43:22 2	A I RECEIVED IT.
14:43:23 3	Q AND WHERE DID YOU PUT IT?
14:43:24 4	A IN THE BACK SEAT OF MY CAR ON THE FLOOR.
14:43:26 5	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEARNED HOW
14:43:33 6	MUCH MONEY WAS AT LEAST SUPPOSED TO BE IN THAT
14:43:35 7	PAPER BAG?
14:43:36 8	A YES.
14:43:37 9	Q AND HOW MUCH MONEY WAS SUPPOSED TO BE IN THAT
14:43:39 10	PAPER BAG?
14:43:40 11	A I CANNOT RECALL. PROBABLY \$10,000.
14:43:47 12	Q AND AFTER AND IN EXCHANGE FOR THAT PAPER
14:43:51 13	BAG, DID YOU GIVE ANYTHING TO DR. GOOTNICK?
14:43:53 14	A YES. YES.
14:43:55 15	Q AND WHAT DID YOU GIVE HIM?
14:43:57 16	A RECEIPTS.
14:43:57 17	Q SHOWING YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
14:43:59 18	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 112. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT
14:44:11 19	DOCUMENT?
14:44:11 20	A YES.
14:44:11 21	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
14:44:12 22	A IT'S THE RECEIPT.
14:44:13 23	Q IS THAT THE RECEIPT THAT YOU GAVE TO
14:44:15 24	DR. GOOTNICK?
14:44:15 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page172 of 218

14:44:15 1	Q AND IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THAT
14:44:18 2	RECEIPT?
14:44:19 3	A YES.
14:44:20 4	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
14:44:21 5	WOULD MOVE INTO EVIDENCE GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 12.
14:44:27 6	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
14:44:29 7	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
14:44:30 8	OBJECTION.
14:44:30 9	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 12,
14:44:30 10	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
14:44:30 11	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
14:44:42 12	EVIDENCE.)
14:44:42 13	THE COURT: THIS IS 112?
14:44:50 14	MS. WONG: THIS IS 12.
14:44:51 15	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. NOW,
14:44:53 16	EXHIBIT 12 IS NOW ON THE SCREEN.
14:44:55 17	BY MS. WONG:
14:44:56 18	Q NOW, THE DATE THAT IS SHOWN HERE, MARCH 24TH,
14:44:59 19	2004, IS THAT WHEN YOU MET DR. GOOTNICK IN THE
14:45:02 20	PARKING LOT?
14:45:02 21	A IN THE RESTAURANT.
14:45:03 22	Q IN THE RESTAURANT?
14:45:05 23	A YES.
14:45:05 24	Q AND THIS IS YOUR SIGNATURE ON HERE?
14:45:12 25	A YES.
	172
	1 / 2

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page173 of 218

14:45:12 1	Q AND AFTER YOU GOT THE MONEY FROM DR. GOOTNICK,
14:45:15 2	THE PAPER BAG FROM DR. GOOTNICK AND PUT IT ON THE
14:45:19 3	FLOOR, WHERE DID YOU GO?
14:45:21 4	A I DON'T RECALL.
14:45:27 5	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN THE DEFENDANT
14:45:30 6	FLEW OVER FROM HAWAII?
14:45:32 7	A YES.
14:45:32 8	Q AND WAS THAT AFTER YOU RECEIVED THE MONEY IN
14:45:35 9	THE PAPER BAG?
	A YES.
14:45:37 10	
14:45:37 11	Q AND DID YOU MEET THE DEFENDANT WHEN HE FLEW
14:45:48 12	OVER?
14:45:48 13	A YES.
14:45:48 14	Q AND WHERE DID YOU MEET HIM?
14:45:52 15	A THE AIRPORT.
14:45:52 16	Q AND WHERE DID YOU AND THE DEFENDANT GO AFTER
14:45:55 17	YOU MET HIM AT THE AIRPORT?
14:45:56 18	A TO DR. GOOTNICK'S OFFICE.
14:46:09 19	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WAS DISCUSSED AT
14:46:12 20	DR. GOOTNICK'S OFFICE?
14:46:12 21	A I DID NOT GO IN.
14:46:13 22	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU DROPPED THE
14:46:16 23	DEFENDANT OFF?
14:46:17 24	A I THINK HE HAD A RENTAL CAR.
14:46:18 25	Q AND WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHY, TO THE

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page174 of 218

14:46:22 1	EXTENT YOU KNOW, WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHY
14:46:24 2	THE DEFENDANT WAS COMING OVER FROM HAWAII TO MEET
14:46:27 3	WITH DR. GOOTNICK?
14:46:28 4	A TO HELP DR. GOOTNICK'S TRUST, YOU KNOW,
14:46:39 5	AUDITS, THINGS LIKE THAT.
14:46:40 6	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY TRUSTS AND AUDITS, IS THAT
14:46:43 7	HAVING TO DO WITH NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES?
14:46:45 8	A YES.
14:46:45 9	Q AND DID YOU EVER DISCUSS EXACTLY WHAT THE
14:46:49 10	DEFENDANT PLANNED TO DO WITH DR. GOOTNICK?
14:46:53 11	A YES.
14:46:53 12	Q AND WHO DID YOU DISCUSS THAT WITH?
14:46:54 13	A THE DEFENDANT.
14:46:57 14	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY HE WAS PLANNING TO DO WITH
14:47:00 15	DR. GOOTNICK?
14:47:01 16	A SIMILAR TO CREATING CORPORATIONS AND ASSETS
14:47:13 17	TRANSFER.
14:47:17 18	Q AND DO YOU KNOW IF DR. GOOTNICK WENT THROUGH
14:47:19 19	WITH THE PLAN AND CREATED THE CORPORATION AND THE
14:47:24 20	ASSET TRANSFER?
14:47:25 21	A YES, I HEARD OF THAT.
14:47:28 22	Q AND WHO DID YOU HEAR IT FROM?
14:47:30 23	A THE DEFENDANT.
14:47:30 24	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT GIVE YOU ANY
14:47:32 25	INSTRUCTIONS AFTER DR. GOOTNICK DECIDED TO GO

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page175 of 218

14:47:35 1	THROUGH WITH THE PLAN?
14:47:36 2	A CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION.
14:47:39 3	Q DID YOU DO ANYTHING TO HELP THE DEFENDANT
14:47:42 4	AFTER DR. GOOTNICK DECIDED TO GO THROUGH WITH THE
14:47:45 5	PLAN?
14:47:46 6	A YES.
14:47:46 7	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO?
14:47:47 8	A HE ASKED ME FOR AN APPRAISER.
14:47:54 9	Q I BEG YOUR PARDON?
14:47:55 10	A HE ASKED ME FOR AN APPRAISER, A REAL ESTATE
14:47:59 11	APPRAISER.
14:48:00 12	Q AND DID YOU PROVIDE HIM?
14:48:02 13	A YES.
14:48:02 14	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY HE
14:48:04 15	NEEDED THE NAME OF A REAL ESTATE APPRAISER?
14:48:06 16	A YES.
14:48:07 17	Q AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU HE NEEDED THE
14:48:09 18	APPRAISER'S NAME FOR?
14:48:10 19	A TO IDENTIFY THE VALUE OF SOME OF THE ASSETS.
14:48:17 20	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT ASSETS THE DEFENDANT WAS
14:48:19 21	REFERRING TO?
14:48:19 22	A HIS PROPERTY IN OREGON.
14:48:37 23	Q AND SO IT WAS AN APPRAISER THAT NEEDED TO BE
14:48:40 24	FOUND IN OREGON?
14:48:41 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page176 of 218

14:48:46 1	MS. WONG: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW WHEN
14:48:48 2	THE COURT WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THE AFTERNOON BREAK
14:48:51 3	THE COURT: WELL, I THOUGHT WE WOULD DO
14:48:54 4	IT AT 3:00. SO IF YOU HAVE MORE, WE SHOULD GO
14:48:59 5	AHEAD.
14:48:59 6	MS. WONG: I DO. JUST CAN I HAVE THE
14:49:01 7	COURT'S INDULGENCE FOR A MOMENT?
14:49:02 8	THE COURT: SURE. FOLKS, IF YOU WANT TO
14:49:04 9	STAND, PLEASE FEEL FREE.
14:49:35 10	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
14:49:36 11	MS. WONG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14:49:36 12	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
14:49:38 13	BY MS. WONG:
14:49:39 14	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, DO YOU KNOW, AS PART OF THE
14:49:41 15	PLAN AND THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN DR. GOOTNICK AND THE
14:49:44 16	DEFENDANT, WHETHER OR NOT ANY NEW TAX RETURNS WERE
14:49:46 17	PREPARED AND FILED?
14:49:47 18	A I DON'T KNOW.
14:49:48 19	Q WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OF ANY
14:49:51 20	SUCH RETURNS?
14:49:52 21	A NO.
14:49:53 22	Q NOW, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU HEARD
14:50:01 23	WHEN YOU LEARNED THAT DR. GOOTNICK DIDN'T WANT TO
14:50:03 24	GO FORWARD WITH THE PLAN?
14:50:08 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page177 of 218

14:50:08 1	Q AND HOW DID YOU LEARN THAT?
14:50:09 2	A I THINK I LEARNED IT FROM BOTH THE DEFENDANT
14:50:21 3	AND DR. GOOTNICK.
14:50:22 4	Q AND DID YOU DISCUSS WITH THE DEFENDANT HOW
14:50:24 5	DR. GOOTNICK WANTED TO CANCEL THE PLAN?
14:50:27 6	A I'M SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION.
14:50:36 7	Q DID YOU HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE DEFENDANT
14:50:38 8	ABOUT THE FACT THAT DR. GOOTNICK DIDN'T WANT TO GO
14:50:41 9	FORWARD WITH THE PLAN ANYMORE?
14:50:42 10	A YES.
14:50:43 11	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID THE DEFENDANT TELL
14:50:47 12	YOU DURING THOSE DISCUSSIONS?
14:50:49 13	A THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT WHILE DR. GOOTNICK
14:50:57 14	WAS IN HAWAII THEY MET UP; THAT THE DEFENDANT FIND
14:51:01 15	OUT THAT DR. GOOTNICK HAD NOT COMMUNICATED WITH HIS
14:51:07 16	WIFE ABOUT WHAT THEY WERE DOING AND DR. GOOTNICK'S
14:51:21 17	WIFE WAS REALLY UPSET.
14:51:21 18	Q AND AS A RESULT OF THIS, DID THE DEFENDANT
14:51:24 19	TELL YOU WHAT DR. GOOTNICK WAS TRYING TO DO TO GET
14:51:27 20	OUT OF THE PLAN?
14:51:27 21	A YES.
14:51:27 22	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY TO YOU?
14:51:29 23	A THAT THEY ARE GOING TO HIRE AN ATTORNEY TO
14:51:34 24	DISSOLVE THE TRANSACTION.
14:51:36 25	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "THEY," YOU MEAN THE

14:51:41 1	GOOTNICKS?
14:51:42 2	A YES.
14:51:42 3	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT SAY TO YOU HOW HE FELT
14:51:46 4	ABOUT THAT, THE FACT THAT THE GOOTNICKS WERE GOING
14:51:48 5	TO DISSOLVE THE TRANSACTIONS?
14:51:49 6	A I DON'T RECALL.
14:51:50 7	Q DID THE DEFENDANT DID THERE COME A TIME
14:51:54 8	WHEN THE DEFENDANT ASKED FOR YOUR HELP WITH RESPECT
14:51:58 9	TO THE GOOTNICKS?
14:51:59 10	A YES.
14:52:01 11	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT ASK FOR YOUR HELP
14:52:06 12	IN DOING?
14:52:06 13	A THE DEFENDANT CALLED ME UP AND INSIST THAT I
14:52:13 14	NEED TO CALL GOOTNICK.
14:52:15 15	Q AND EVEN BEFORE THAT, MR. FUNG, HAD THE
14:52:18 16	DEFENDANT ASKED YOU TO PREPARE DOCUMENTS WITH
14:52:23 17	REGARDS TO THE GOOTNICKS?
14:52:25 18	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, LEADING.
14:52:26 19	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
14:52:30 20	BY MS. WONG:
14:52:30 21	Q MR. FUNG, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU IF YOU
14:52:32 22	RECOGNIZE WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
14:52:35 23	PURPOSES AS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 158.
14:54:10 24	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
14:54:11 25	BY MS. WONG:

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page179 of 218

14:54:12 1	Q MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE GOVERNMENT'S
14:54:14 2	EXHIBIT 158?
14:54:14 3	A YES.
14:54:15 4	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
14:54:15 5	A IT'S A LETTER THAT I SENT TO THE DEFENDANT.
14:54:18 6	Q AND WHO ACTUALLY PREPARED THAT LETTER?
14:54:22 7	A THE DEFENDANT.
14:54:23 8	Q AND IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE
14:54:28 9	LETTER THAT YOU SENT TO THE DEFENDANT THAT WAS
14:54:29 10	PREPARED BY THE DEFENDANT?
14:54:30 11	A YES, YES.
14:54:36 12	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
14:54:37 13	WOULD OFFER EXHIBIT 158 INTO EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
14:54:39 14	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
14:54:41 15	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
14:54:42 16	OBJECTION.
14:54:42 17	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
14:54:42 18	158, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
14:54:42 19	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
14:54:53 20	EVIDENCE.)
14:54:53 21	BY MS. WONG:
14:54:53 22	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, YOU JUST SAID THAT THIS LETTER
14:54:56 23	WAS PREPARED BY THE DEFENDANT BUT THEN YOU SENT IT
14:54:59 24	TO THE DEFENDANT?
14:55:00 25	A RIGHT, CORRECT.

Q COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO THE JURY WHY YOU SENT THE 14:55:02 1 DEFENDANT A LETTER THAT HE PREPARED? 14:55:05 2 A TO DOCUMENT SOME TYPE OF INFORMATION. 14:55:07 3 14:55:13 4 AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF -- AND ACCORDING TO THIS LETTER, IF WE LOOK AT THE TOP IT SAYS 14:55:17 5 REGARDING DRS. IRWIN AND SUSAN GOOTNICK. 14:55:19 6 AND SO WHAT SORT OF INFORMATION -- DID 14:55:24 7 THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHAT SORT OF INFORMATION YOU 14:55:27 8 14:55:30 9 WERE SUPPOSED TO BE DOCUMENTING IN THIS LETTER? A THAT THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT THE GOOTNICKS 14:55:33 10 14:55:39 11 HAD A LOT OF UNREPORTED INCOME. O AND NOW BY THIS TIME HAD THE -- BY THE DATE OF 14:55:41 12 THIS LETTER, AUGUST 17TH OF 2005, HAD THE GOOTNICKS 14:55:45 13 14:55:51 14 ALREADY TRIED TO PULL OUT OF THE PLAN OR PULL OUT OF THE PLAN WITH THE DEFENDANT? 14:55:53 15 14:55:54 16 A YES. 14:55:54 17 Q AND AS PART OF PULLING OUT OF THE PLAN WITH THE DEFENDANT, DO YOU KNOW IF ANY LAWSUIT WAS 14:56:00 18 14:56:02 19 FILED? 14:56:03 20 A YES. 14:56:03 21 Q AND WHO, IF YOU KNOW, FILED THAT LAWSUIT? 14:56:06 22 Α THE GOOTNICKS. AND WHO DID THEY FILE IT AGAINST? 14:56:09 23 0 14:56:10 24 Α THE DEFENDANT. Q AND WHAT, IF YOU KNOW, DID THAT LAWSUIT SEEK 14:56:11 25

14:56:16 1	TO DO?
14:56:17 2	A TO UNDUE THE TRANSACTION THAT THEY HAVE DONE.
14:56:23 3	Q AND THOSE WERE THE TRANSACTIONS RELATED TO THE
14:56:29 4	NATIONAL TRUST SERVICES AND THE N.T.S. TRUST OF
14:56:31 5	DR. GOOTNICK?
14:56:33 6	A YES.
14:56:33 7	Q AND SO THIS LETTER WAS AFTER THAT?
14:56:35 8	A YES.
14:56:35 9	Q AND AT THE TIME THAT THE GOOTNICKS FILED THIS
14:56:42 10	LAWSUIT, WERE YOU ALSO CRIMINALLY CHARGED WITH
14:56:44 11	PREPARING FALSE AND FRAUDULENT TAX RETURNS?
14:56:46 12	A YES.
14:56:46 13	Q AND, NOW, WHEN YOU WERE CHARGED IN THAT FIRST
14:56:49 14	INDICTMENT, DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THAT WAS?
14:56:51 15	A I'M NOT SURE OF THE EXACT MONTH, BUT IT WAS
14:57:03 16	2005.
14:57:03 17	Q WOULD SEEING THE INDICTMENT THAT CHARGED YOU
14:57:07 18	ORIGINALLY, WOULD THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?
14:57:09 19	A YES.
14:57:10 20	Q SHOWING WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN SHOWN TO DEFENSE
14:57:18 21	COUNSEL THE ORIGINAL INDICTMENT IN CASE
14:57:24 22	CR-05-00215, UNITED STATES V. SAM FUNG.
14:57:30 23	NOW, LOOKING AT THE FIRST PAGE THERE,
14:57:34 24	MR. FUNG, DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?
14:57:37 25	A YES.

### Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page182 of 218

WHEN WERE CRIMINAL CHARGES FILED AGAINST YOU? 14:57:37 1 Q Α APRIL OF 2005. 14:57:45 2 Q AND THIS LETTER, THE 158 THAT WE WERE LOOKING 14:57:46 3 14:57:49 4 AT, THAT WAS IN AUGUST OF 2005? 14:57:51 5 A YES. AND NOW, AFTER YOU -- AFTER CRIMINAL CHARGES 14:57:52 6 14:57:56 7 WERE FILED AGAINST YOU, DID YOU CONTINUE TO TALK TO THE DEFENDANT? 14:57:59 8 14:57:59 9 A YES. Q AND DID YOU TALK ABOUT THE CRIMINAL CHARGES 14:58:00 10 14:58:04 11 THAT HAD BEEN FILED AGAINST YOU? 14:58:06 12 A YES. AND WHAT DID YOU DISCUSS WITH REGARDS TO THE 14:58:06 13 14:58:11 14 CRIMINAL CHARGES? THAT -- MANY THINGS, I THINK. 14:58:12 15 Α Q AND AT THAT TIME WERE YOU TALKING MORE TO THE 14:58:28 16 14:58:31 17 DEFENDANT OR MORE TO YOUR ATTORNEY -- YOUR DEFENSE ATTORNEY ABOUT THE CASE, ABOUT YOUR CRIMINAL CASE? 14:58:33 18 14:58:36 19 A TO THE DEFENDANTS. O AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE DEFENDANTS," YOU MEAN 14:58:39 20 14:58:43 21 ERIC LIGHTER? A YES. 14:58:43 22 O AND WHY WERE YOU TALKING MORE TO THE DEFENDANT 14:58:44 23 14:58:46 24 THAN TO YOUR ATTORNEY? A BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT I HAD GIVEN THE 14:58:47 25

14:58:59 1	DEFENDANT MONEY EARLIER THAT I EXPECT HIM TO
14:59:02 2	CONTINUE HELPING ME.
14:59:03 3	Q AND HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE SERVICES THAT
14:59:06 4	YOUR ATTORNEY AT THAT TIME WAS PROVIDING TO YOU?
14:59:09 5	A I WAS NOT HAPPY ABOUT IT.
14:59:11 6	Q AND SO WHEN SOMETHING HAPPENED IN YOUR
14:59:13 7	CRIMINAL CASE, WHO WOULD YOU CONTACT AND TALK TO?
14:59:19 8	A THE DEFENDANT.
14:59:19 9	Q AND WHEN YOU CONTACTED THE DEFENDANT, WHAT
14:59:21 10	WOULD THE DEFENDANT DO?
14:59:22 11	A PREPARE A WHOLE BUNCH OF DOCUMENTS AND TOLD ME
14:59:27 12	TO FILE THOSE DOCUMENTS.
14:59:28 13	Q AND WHEN THE DEFENDANT WOULD TELL YOU OR WOULD
14:59:32 14	PREPARE THOSE DOCUMENTS AND TELL YOU TO FILE IT,
14:59:34 15	WHAT WOULD YOU DO?
14:59:34 16	A I FILED IT.
14:59:35 17	Q AND WHY WOULD YOU FILE THOSE DOCUMENTS?
14:59:37 18	A AT THE TIME I WAS, YOU KNOW, I UNDERSTAND THAT
14:59:47 19	THE DEFENDANTS WERE HELPING ME AND I TRUST THEM.
14:59:50 20	Q NOW, DURING THIS TIME WHEN YOU ARE FILING
14:59:57 21	DOCUMENTS IN YOUR CASE THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD
14:59:59 22	PREPARED. LET'S TALK JUST FOR A MOMENT ABOUT THE
15:00:02 23	CRIMINAL CHARGES.
15:00:02 24	WERE YOU CHARGED IN THAT ORIGINAL
15:00:05 25	INDICTMENT WITH PREPARING ANY FALSE OR FRAUDULENT

15:00:12 1	DOCUMENTS?
15:00:14 2	A NO.
15:00:14 3	Q WERE THE GOOTNICKS EVER MENTIONED IN THAT
15:00:16 4	INDICTMENT?
15:00:16 5	A NO.
15:00:16 6	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
15:00:19 7	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 45 AND ALSO 47.
15:00:40 8	MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE GOVERNMENT'S
15:00:44 9	EXHIBIT 45?
15:00:44 10	A YES.
15:00:45 11	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
15:00:46 12	A IT'S A MOTION PREPARED BY THE DEFENDANT TO
15:00:59 13	FILE IT IN COURT.
15:00:59 14	Q AND WAS THIS ONE OF THE MOTIONS THAT YOU FILED
15:01:02 15	IN YOUR CRIMINAL CASE?
15:01:03 16	A YES.
15:01:04 17	Q AND SO IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THAT
15:01:07 18	MOTION?
15:01:07 19	A YES.
15:01:08 20	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
15:01:10 21	WOULD MOVE GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 45 INTO EVIDENCE.
15:01:22 22	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
15:01:26 23	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
15:01:28 24	OBJECTION.
15:01:28 25	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 45,

15:01:28 1	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
15:01:28 2	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
15:01:30 3	EVIDENCE.)
15:01:30 4	BY MS. WONG:
15:01:30 5	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, WHEN YOU FILED THIS DOCUMENT,
15:01:32 6	DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU HOW IT WOULD HELP YOU
15:01:42 7	ABOUT YOUR NOW CRIMINAL TAX PROBLEMS?
15:01:43 8	A I DON'T RECALL.
15:01:44 9	Q LET'S GO TO PAGE 2 OF THIS EXHIBIT AND FOCUS
15:01:51 10	ON THE SECOND PARAGRAPH AS WELL AS THE DATE.
15:01:53 11	THERE.
15:01:58 12	AND IT SAYS HERE, "FUNG HEREBY CONFESSES
15:02:02 13	TO CONSPIRACY NOW MADE TO JOIN THE GOOTNICKS IN
15:02:05 14	THEIR TAX FELONIES."
15:02:07 15	A YES.
15:02:07 16	Q NOW, WAS THIS PART OF THE DOCUMENT THAT THE
15:02:10 17	DEFENDANT PREPARED?
15:02:11 18	A YES.
15:02:11 19	Q DID YOU INTEND, WHEN YOU FILED THIS DOCUMENT,
15:02:18 20	TO CONFESS TO CRIMINAL OFFENSES OR FELONIES?
15:02:21 21	A NO.
15:02:21 22	Q AND AT THIS TIME THE GOOTNICKS WERE NOT PART
15:02:24 23	OF THIS CASE THAT YOU FILED THE DOCUMENT IN, WERE
15:02:28 24	THEY?
15:02:28 25	A NO.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page186 of 218

15:02:28 1	Q LET'S GO AND TAKE A LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S
15:02:32 2	EXHIBIT 47, MR. FUNG, THAT'S IN FRONT OF YOU.
15:02:35 3	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
15:02:38 4	A YES.
15:02:39 5	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
15:02:41 6	A IT'S ANOTHER MOTION THAT THE DEFENDANT
15:02:44 7	PREPARED AND TOLD ME TO FILE.
15:02:45 8	Q AND DID YOU, IN FACT, FILE IT?
15:02:47 9	A YES.
15:02:47 10	Q AND THAT WAS IN YOUR CRIMINAL CASE?
15:02:49 11	A YES.
15:02:49 12	Q AND IS IT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THAT
15:02:53 13	MOTION?
15:02:54 14	A YES.
15:02:55 15	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
15:02:56 16	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 47 INTO EVIDENCE.
15:03:05 17	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
15:03:06 18	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
15:03:08 19	OBJECTION.
15:03:08 20	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 47,
15:03:08 21	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
15:03:08 22	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
15:03:20 23	EVIDENCE.)
15:03:20 24	BY MS. WONG:
15:03:21 25	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID THE

15:03:25 1	DEFENDANT TELL YOU ABOUT WHY IT WOULD HELP YOU TO
15:03:27 2	FILE THIS MOTION?
15:03:27 3	A THAT IF THE GOOTNICKS ARE NOT GOING TO BE
15:03:42 4	PUNISHED, I SHOULDN'T GET PUNISHED, OR SOMETHING
15:03:45 5	LIKE THAT.
15:03:46 6	Q AND BUT, ONCE AGAIN, IN THIS CASE THAT YOU
15:03:49 7	FILED THIS DOCUMENT, THE GOOTNICKS WERE NOT ONE OF
15:03:52 8	THE CLIENTS THAT YOU WERE CHARGED WITH PREPARING
15:04:00 9	FALSE AND FRAUDULENT TAX RETURNS FOR?
15:04:04 10	A NO.
15:04:05 11	MS. WONG: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS A GOOD
15:04:06 12	TIME FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO BREAK.
15:04:06 13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TAKE OUR
15:04:09 14	AFTERNOON RECESS AT THIS POINT. WE'LL BE IN RECESS
15:04:12 15	FOR 15 MINUTES. YOU CAN STEP DOWN, SIR.
15:04:18 16	REMEMBER MY ADMONITIONS.
15:21:38 17	(WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
15:22:18 18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. WE'RE
15:22:20 19	BACK IN SESSION. OUR JURORS ARE HERE AND THE
15:22:22 20	DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND OUR ALTERNATES ARE HERE
15:22:25 21	AND MR. FUNG IS BACK ON THE STAND.
15:22:26 22	AND, MS. WONG, DID YOU WISH TO CONTINUE?
15:22:30 23	MS. WONG: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
15:22:31 24	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, YOU SAID THAT YOU HAD BECOME
15:22:34 25	AWARE THAT THE GOOTNICKS HAD FILED A CIVIL LAWSUIT

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page188 of 218

15:22:38 1	AGAINST THE DEFENDANT BEFORE WE BROKE, RIGHT?
15:22:42 2	A YES.
15:22:42 3	Q AND DID YOU AFTER YOU LEARNED ABOUT THAT
15:22:48 4	CIVIL LAWSUIT, DID YOU CONTINUE TO TALK TO THE
15:22:50 5	DEFENDANT ABOUT THAT LAWSUIT?
15:22:51 6	A YES.
15:22:53 7	Q AND WHAT WOULD THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU ABOUT
15:22:55 8	THAT LAWSUIT?
15:22:56 9	A THAT THE DEFENDANT SAID SOME SORT OF
15:23:12 10	BANKRUPTCY.
15:23:12 11	Q SOME SORT OF BANKRUPTCY?
15:23:13 12	A THAT I DON'T EXACTLY RECALL, BUT IT WAS
15:23:21 13	Q DID THE DEFENDANT SAY WHO OR WHAT HAD FILED
15:23:23 14	FOR BANKRUPTCY?
15:23:24 15	A THE CORPORATION.
15:23:28 16	Q AND WHAT CORPORATION WAS THE DEFENDANT
15:23:31 17	REFERRING TO?
15:23:31 18	A HCHC.
15:23:36 19	Q AND SO ONE OF THE CORPORATIONS THAT THE
15:23:38 20	DEFENDANT CONTROLLED?
15:23:39 21	A YES.
15:23:40 22	Q NOW, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN THE
15:23:46 23	DEFENDANT WHEN YOU WERE DEPOSED IN THE LAWSUIT
15:23:48 24	AGAINST GOOTNICKS?
15:23:50 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page189 of 218

15:23:51 1	Q AND SO YOU WERE ASKED TO GIVE TESTIMONY UNDER
15:23:53 2	OATH IN THAT CIVIL LAWSUIT?
15:23:54 3	A YES.
15:23:54 4	Q AND BEFORE YOU GAVE TESTIMONY IN THAT LAWSUIT,
15:24:01 5	DID YOU SPEAK WITH THE DEFENDANT?
15:24:02 6	A YES.
15:24:02 7	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU?
15:24:04 8	A HE ADVISED ME WHAT TO SAY, SOME OF THE THINGS.
15:24:10 9	Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT ALSO ADVISE YOU WHAT NOT
15:24:12 10	TO SAY?
15:24:12 11	A I DON'T RECALL.
15:24:18 12	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO BEING IN THAT DEPOSITION,
15:24:22 13	DO YOU RECALL IF YOU FILED ANY DOCUMENTS IN THAT
15:24:25 14	LAWSUIT IN THE GOOTNICK CIVIL LAWSUIT?
15:24:27 15	A I DON'T RECALL.
15:24:32 16	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
15:24:35 17	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 46 FOR IDENTIFICATION
15:24:37 18	PURPOSES.
15:24:49 19	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT, MR. FUNG?
15:24:51 20	A YES.
15:24:51 21	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
15:24:52 22	A IT'S AN AFFIDAVIT OF MYSELF IN THE LAWSUIT
15:25:04 23	BETWEEN THE DEFENDANT AND GOOTNICK.
15:25:05 24	Q AND THAT AFFIDAVIT, WHO ACTUALLY PREPARED THAT
15:25:08 25	AFFIDAVIT?

15:25:09 1	A THE DEFENDANT HELPED TO PREPARE THAT.
15:25:18 2	Q AND THEN AFTER HE PREPARED IT, DID YOU SOMEHOW
15:25:22 3	OBTAIN A COPY?
15:25:27 4	MR. FONG: OBJECTION, MISSTATES
15:25:30 5	MR. FUNG'S EARLIER TESTIMONY.
15:25:31 6	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
15:25:32 7	BY MS. WONG:
15:25:32 8	Q AFTER THE DEFENDANT WHAT DO YOU MEAN THE
15:25:35 9	DEFENDANT HELPED PREPARE IT?
15:25:36 10	A THAT HIS ORIGINAL DRAFT WAS E-MAILED TO ME AND
15:25:51 11	I LOOKED AT IT AND TO MAKE SURE THAT IS CORRECT.
15:26:00 12	Q AND WHO WAS THE PERSON WHO E-MAILED YOU THE
15:26:02 13	DRAFT OF THE DOCUMENT?
15:26:03 14	A THE DEFENDANT.
15:26:03 15	Q AND BEFORE HE E-MAILED YOU THAT DRAFT, HAD YOU
15:26:06 16	WORKED AT ALL ON THAT DOCUMENT THAT WAS FILED?
15:26:08 17	A I'M SORRY?
15:26:14 18	Q HAD YOU DONE ANY DRAFT YOURSELF OF THAT
15:26:16 19	DOCUMENT OR WAS THE FIRST COPY YOU SAW FROM THE
15:26:19 20	DEFENDANT?
15:26:19 21	A CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION? I WAS TRYING TO
15:26:32 22	THINK.
15:26:33 23	Q WAS THE FIRST COPY OF THAT DOCUMENT, WAS IT
15:26:35 24	FROM THE DEFENDANT OR DID YOU PREPARE YOUR OWN
15:26:37 25	DRAFT OF THE DOCUMENT?

15:26:38 1	A LET ME TAKE A LOOK. I REVIEWED THE LANGUAGE
15:27:20 2	AND WHETHER IT'S MY LANGUAGE OR NOT. AND A LOT OF
15:27:25 3	THE LANGUAGE IS NOT MY LANGUAGE.
15:27:25 4	Q AND WHOSE LANGUAGE IS IT?
15:27:27 5	A THE DEFENDANTS.
15:27:28 6	Q IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF WHAT YOU
15:27:30 7	SIGNED AND THAT THE DEFENDANT HELP PREPARE?
15:27:32 8	A YES.
15:27:33 9	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
15:27:34 10	OFFERS GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 47 INTO EVIDENCE
15:27:43 11	SORRY, 46.
15:27:45 12	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION TO EXHIBIT 46,
15:27:47 13	YOUR HONOR.
15:27:47 14	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
15:27:48 15	OBJECTION.
15:27:49 16	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 46,
15:27:49 17	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
15:27:49 18	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
15:28:06 19	EVIDENCE.)
15:28:06 20	BY MS. WONG:
15:28:06 21	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, LET'S GO TO JUST THE LAST PAGE
15:28:09 22	OF THIS DOCUMENT.
15:28:10 23	AND THIS SHOWS WHAT IS THE DATE AROUND OR
15:28:15 24	ABOUT WHEN THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED?
15:28:16 25	A NOVEMBER 17TH, 2005. YEAH.

15:28:24 1	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO THAT DOCUMENT, I'M GOING
15:28:28 2	TO SHOW YOU WHAT IS NOW BEEN MARKED AS GOVERNMENT'S
15:28:31 3	EXHIBIT 16 FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES.
15:28:37 4	THE COURT: WHAT NUMBER?
15:28:39 5	MS. WONG: 16, 1-6.
15:28:42 6	THE COURT: 16. THANK YOU.
15:28:46 7	BY MS. WONG:
15:28:47 8	Q LET'S START WITH THE FIRST PAGE OF THAT
15:28:48 9	DOCUMENT. MR. FUNG, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE FIRST
15:28:52 10	PAGE OF EXHIBIT 16?
15:28:53 11	A YES.
15:28:53 12	Q AND IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE ON THAT PAGE?
15:28:55 13	A YES.
15:28:55 14	Q AND IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE
15:28:57 15	DOCUMENT THAT YOU SIGNED?
15:28:58 16	A YES.
15:28:58 17	Q AND WHAT IS IT?
15:28:59 18	A THIS IS A LETTER FROM THE GOOTNICK FAMILY
15:29:05 19	TRUST APPOINTING TO HIS WIFE SUSAN GOOTNICK TO
15:29:31 20	HAVING HIS WIFE BACK AS THE SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE
15:29:38 21	EITHER MYSELF OR DR. GOOTNICK OR IRWIN GOOTNICK
15:29:45 22	THAT THEY WILL BE ON BOARD AS THE TRUSTEE.
15:29:48 23	Q AND, NOW, LET'S GO TO THE NEXT FEW PAGES OF
15:29:54 24	EXHIBIT 16. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE FAX NUMBER THAT
15:29:57 25	APPEARS IN THE TOP PART OF THOSE PAGES?

15:29:59 1	A YES.
15:29:59 2	Q AND WHOSE NUMBER? TO WHOM DOES THAT NUMBER
15:30:03 3	BELONG?
15:30:03 4	A THE DEFENDANT.
15:30:04 5	Q HAVE YOU RECEIVED FAXES FROM THAT NUMBER?
15:30:07 6	A YES.
15:30:10 7	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
15:30:12 8	WOULD OFFER GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 16 INTO EVIDENCE/
15:30:19 9	MR. FONG: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
15:30:20 10	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED WITHOUT
15:30:21 11	OBJECTION.
15:30:21 12	(WHEREUPON, GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 16,
15:30:21 13	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
15:30:21 14	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
15:30:34 15	EVIDENCE.)
15:30:34 16	BY MS. WONG:
15:30:35 17	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, I'D LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT THE
15:30:37 18	DATE THAT IS LISTED ON THIS DOCUMENT, AUGUST 2ND OF
15:30:43 19	1997. IS THAT FALSE? WAS THIS DOCUMENT ACTUALLY
15:30:46 20	PREPARED ON AUGUST 2ND OF 1997?
15:30:48 21	A NO.
15:30:48 22	Q WAS IT PREPARED BEFORE OR AFTER THAT DATE?
15:30:50 23	A AFTER.
15:30:51 24	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHY THIS DOCUMENT WAS
15:30:55 25	PREPARED? WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page194 of 218

15:30:57 1	A THE PURPOSE OF THE DOCUMENT IS AFTER IRWIN
15:31:07 2	GOOTNICK CONSULTED AN ATTORNEY, THE THEN ATTORNEY
15:31:14 3	REVIEWED THE GOOTNICK'S TRUST AND THE ATTORNEY TOLD
15:31:22 4	GOOTNICK THAT HIS WIFE CANNOT BE THE TRUSTEE, THAT
15:31:24 5	I SHOULD BE THE TRUSTEE ALONG WITH DR. GOOTNICK.
15:31:26 6	Q AND IN CHANGING THE TRUSTEE, DO YOU KNOW WHAT
15:31:34 7	THE PURPOSE OF CHANGING THE TRUSTEE AS FAR AS THE
15:31:37 8	GOOTNICK'S TAX ISSUES AND THEIR TRUST?
15:31:39 9	A THE SAME.
15:31:48 10	Q WHEN THE GOOTNICKS WERE CONSULTING WITH AN
15:31:51 11	ATTORNEY AND THIS DOCUMENT RESULTED, WHAT WAS IT
15:31:54 12	SUPPOSED TO DO FOR THE GOOTNICK'S TAX PROBLEMS?
15:31:56 13	A NOTHING.
15:31:57 14	Q BUT YOU AND YOU SIGNED THIS DOCUMENT?
15:31:59 15	A YES.
15:31:59 16	Q NOW, THE NEXT FEW LET'S GO TO PAGE 2 OF
15:32:07 17	THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT.
15:32:13 18	WERE YOU INVOLVED IN PREPARING PAGE 2 AND
15:32:16 19	3 OF GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 16?
15:32:18 20	A NO.
15:32:19 21	Q NOW, THE DATE THAT IS SHOWN IN THE UPPER
15:32:26 22	LEFT-HAND CORNER, WHAT IS THE DATE ON THIS
15:32:30 23	DOCUMENT?
15:32:30 24	A MARCH 22ND, 2006.
15:32:32 25	Q AND IS THAT AFTER THE GOOTNICKS HAD FILED

15:32:35 1	THEIR CIVIL LAWSUIT AGAINST THE DEFENDANT?
15:32:37 2	A YES.
15:32:40 3	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT
15:32:44 4	ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED IN MARCH OF 2006.
15:32:48 5	COULD YOU JUST TELL THE JURY IF DURING
15:32:53 6	THE TIME BETWEEN WHEN THE CIVIL LAWSUIT WAS FILED
15:32:55 7	IN MARCH OF 2006, IF YOU WERE TALKING TO THE
15:32:58 8	DEFENDANT OVER THE PHONE DURING THAT TIME?
15:33:01 9	A YES.
15:33:01 10	Q AND HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU SAY YOU WERE TALKING
15:33:07 11	TO THE DEFENDANT DURING THAT TIME?
15:33:08 12	A QUITE OFTEN.
15:33:09 13	Q AND DURING THOSE CONVERSATIONS, TO THE EXTENT
15:33:13 14	THAT YOU CAN, WHO WOULD YOU SAY TALKED MORE, YOU OR
15:33:18 15	THE DEFENDANT?
15:33:18 16	A THE DEFENDANT.
15:33:19 17	Q AND IF YOU HAD TO BREAK IT DOWN AND GIVE A
15:33:23 18	PERCENTAGE ON AVERAGE, HOW MUCH WOULD THE DEFENDANT
15:33:24 19	TALK COMPARED TO YOU IN A TYPICAL CONVERSATION?
15:33:27 20	A THE DEFENDANT PROBABLY TALK 75 PERCENT, AND I
15:33:32 21	TALK 25 PERCENT.
15:33:33 22	Q AND IN MARCH OF 2006, DID YOU RECEIVE A PHONE
15:33:39 23	CALL FROM THE DEFENDANT AROUND MARCH 2ND?
15:33:44 24	A YES.
15:33:45 25	Q AND WHAT WHAT DID THAT PHONE CALL WHAT

DID THE DEFENDANT SAY TO YOU IN THAT PHONE CALL? 15:33:49 1 Α THAT I MUST CALL IRWIN GOOTNICK. 15:33:51 2 Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU WHY YOU NEEDED 15:34:01 3 TO CALL DR. GOOTNICK? 15:34:03 4 15:34:05 5 A YES. Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY? 15:34:05 6 15:34:06 7 A HE SAID THAT I NEED TO -- HE CANNOT CALL DR. GOOTNICK BECAUSE OF THE LAWSUIT, THAT I NEED TO 15:34:14 8 15:34:15 9 CALL FOR HIM TO CONVINCE DR. GOOTNICK TO DROP HIS CIVIL LAWSUITS. 15:34:20 10 Q AND, MR. FUNG, WHY WERE YOU SUPPOSED TO BE THE 15:34:21 11 15:34:26 12 ONE CONVINCING DR. GOOTNICK? DID THE DEFENDANT SAY? 15:34:33 13 15:34:34 14 A HE DIDN'T SAY BUT HE PROBABLY KNOW THAT I PROBABLY KNEW HIM FOR A LONG TIME. 15:34:39 15 O AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT, IF ANYTHING, SAY 15:34:40 16 15:34:46 17 WOULD BE THE ADVANTAGE TO YOU OF CALLING DR. GOOTNICK? 15:34:49 18 A THAT --15:34:49 19 15:34:51 20 MR. FONG: OBJECTION, LEADING. 15:34:52 21 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 15:34:53 22 BY MS. WONG: O DID -- DID THE DEFENDANT TALK ABOUT ANYTHING 15:34:54 23 THAT MIGHT, THAT MIGHT AFFECT YOU OR AS A RESULT OF 15:34:59 24 CALLING DR. GOOTNICK? 15:35:04 25

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page197 of 218

15:35:05 1	A YES.
15:35:06 2	Q AND WHAT WAS THAT?
15:35:07 3	A THAT THAT DEFINITELY WOULD HELP MY CRIMINAL
15:35:11 4	CASE.
15:35:11 5	Q AND HOW DID THE DEFENDANT SAY THAT CALLING
15:35:13 6	DR. GOOTNICK WOULD HELP YOUR CRIMINAL CASE?
15:35:15 7	A HE EXPLAINED IT VERY CONVINCING AND I DON'T
15:35:25 8	RECALL THE DETAILS, HOW TO REPEAT IT TO YOU.
15:35:27 9	Q NOW, THIS IS THE SAME CRIMINAL CASE WHERE YOU
15:35:31 10	WERE CHARGED WITH PREPARED FALSE AND FRAUDULENT TAX
15:35:34 11	RETURNS, RIGHT?
15:35:35 12	A THAT'S CORRECT.
15:35:36 13	Q BUT DR. GOOTNICK'S RETURNS WERE NOT SOME OF
15:35:38 14	THOSE RETURNS THAT WERE CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT?
15:35:40 15	A NO.
15:35:40 16	Q AND, NOW, DID YOU EVENTUALLY AGREE TO CALL
15:35:46 17	DR. GOOTNICK?
15:35:46 18	A YES.
15:35:46 19	Q AND DID YOU MAKE THAT PHONE CALL ON MARCH 2ND
15:35:50 20	OF 2006?
15:35:51 21	A YES.
15:35:51 22	Q AND WHAT DID YOU SAY TO DR. GOOTNICK WHEN YOU
15:35:55 23	CALLED HIM?
15:35:55 24	A TRYING TO CONVINCE HIM BASED ON WHAT THE
15:36:00 25	DEFENDANT TOLD ME AND EXPLAIN TO ME TO SAY.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page198 of 218

15:36:05 1	Q SO WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU TO SAY TO
15:36:08 2	DR. GOOTNICK DURING THAT PHONE CALL?
15:36:10 3	A TO TELL DR. GOOTNICK TO DROP THE CIVIL
15:36:18 4	LAWSUITS AND SOME COOPERATION THAT WILL HELP HIM
15:36:23 5	AND HELP MYSELF.
15:36:24 6	Q AND NOW, WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID DR. GOOTNICK
15:36:29 7	AGREE DURING THAT MARCH 2ND, 2000 PHONE
15:36:32 8	CONVERSATION TO DROP THE CIVIL LAWSUIT?
15:36:33 9	A NO.
15:36:34 10	Q WHAT DID DR. GOOTNICK SAY INSTEAD?
15:36:38 11	A HE KEEP ASKING QUESTIONS.
15:36:43 12	Q WHAT SORT OF QUESTIONS?
15:36:45 13	A I CANNOT RECALL.
15:36:50 14	Q NOW, WHEN DR. GOOTNICK WAS REFUSING IN THIS
15:36:54 15	PHONE CALL TO DROP THE LAWSUIT, DID YOU SAY
15:36:57 16	ANYTHING ELSE TO HIM TO TRY TO CONVINCE HIM TO DROP
15:37:00 17	THE LAWSUIT?
15:37:00 18	A YES.
15:37:01 19	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?
15:37:02 20	A I WAS TOLD BY THE DEFENDANT THAT THE GOOTNICKS
15:37:11 21	HAVE A LOT OF REPORTED INCOME. I THOUGHT I
15:37:14 22	BELIEVED HIM AND I THOUGHT THAT HE WILL BENEFIT BY
15:37:19 23	HAVING THE DEFENDANT NOT TESTIFY AGAINST HIM ON HIS
15:37:25 24	REPORTED TAX.
15:37:27 25	Q AND SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU MENTIONED

15:37:32 1	THE UNREPORTED INCOME THAT DR. GOOTNICK WAS
15:37:35 2	SUPPOSED TO HAVE TO TRY TO CONVINCE HIM TO DROP THE
15:37:38 3	LAWSUIT?
15:37:38 4	A I WAS TOLD BY THE DEFENDANT THAT'S A LOT OF
15:37:45 5	UNREPORTED INCOME IN THE PAPERS.
15:37:48 6	Q AND WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN TELLING THAT TO
15:37:50 7	DR. GOOTNICK, WHAT WERE YOU TRYING TO GET HIM TO
15:37:52 8	DO?
15:37:52 9	A TO DROP THE LAWSUIT BETWEEN HIM AND THE
15:38:01 10	DEFENDANT.
15:38:04 11	Q AND HOW DID YOU INTEND DR. GOOTNICK AND HOW
15:38:11 12	DID YOU INTEND THE DISCUSSION OF DR. GOOTNICK'S
15:38:14 13	UNREPORTED INCOME TO COME ACROSS? WAS IT MEANT TO
15:38:17 14	MAKE HIM FEEL HAPPY?
15:38:18 15	A NO, UPSET.
15:38:21 16	Q AND, NOW, WHAT WAS THE PLAN DID YOU AND THE
15:38:24 17	DEFENDANT DISCUSS WHAT TO DO IF DR. GOOTNICK DIDN'T
15:38:27 18	AGREE TO GO AND DROP HIS LAWSUIT?
15:38:34 19	A SOME TYPE OF ACTION. I DON'T RECALL.
15:38:36 20	Q NOW, AFTER YOU HAD THAT MARCH 2ND
15:38:41 21	CONVERSATION, DID YOU GET IN CONTACT WITH THE
15:38:43 22	DEFENDANT?
15:38:44 23	A YES.
15:38:46 24	Q AND WHAT DID YOU SAY DURING THAT CONVERSATION?
15:38:50 25	A THAT I MAKE THE PHONE CALL THAT HE WANTED ME

15:39:02 1	TO MAKE.
15:39:02 2	Q AND DID YOU TELL THE DEFENDANT WHAT HAPPENED
15:39:04 3	DURING THE PHONE CALL?
15:39:05 4	A YES.
15:39:07 5	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY WHEN YOU TOLD
15:39:09 6	HIM WHAT HAPPENED DURING THAT PHONE CALL?
15:39:11 7	A THAT DR. GOOTNICK WOULDN'T BE DROPPING THE
15:39:23 8	LAWSUIT.
15:39:23 9	Q AND HOW DID THE DEFENDANT RESPOND WHEN YOU
15:39:24 10	TOLD HIM DR. GOOTNICK WOULDN'T BE DROPPING THE
15:39:26 11	LAWSUIT?
15:39:27 12	A I DON'T RECALL.
15:39:27 13	Q MR. FUNG, NOW I'D LIKE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT
15:39:29 14	ABOUT SOME OF THE DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS AND ASK
15:39:32 15	IF YOU RECOGNIZE THEM AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO GO TO
15:39:36 16	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 22.
15:39:38 17	AND WE NEED TO GO TO PAGE 45 IN
15:39:43 18	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 22.
15:39:44 19	MR. FUNG, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU IF YOU
15:39:47 20	RECOGNIZE THIS NUMBER: AREA CODE 541-479-9881.
15:40:01 21	IT'S NOT ON THE SCREEN, MR. FUNG.
15:40:04 22	A YES.
15:40:04 23	Q WHO DOES THAT NUMBER BELONG TO?
15:40:08 24	A ME.
15:40:15 25	Q AND HERE'S ANOTHER NUMBER AND IT'S ALSO AGAIN
	200
	200

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page201 of 218

15:40:18 1	NOT ON THIS. IT MAY BE ON THE SCREEN BUT IT'S
15:40:23 2	541-301-8028.
15:40:27 3	A MY CELL PHONE.
15:40:28 4	Q AND THIS NUMBER: 541-301-8640?
15:40:34 5	A MY WIFE'S CELL PHONE.
15:40:36 6	Q AND 541-941-4158?
15:40:43 7	A MY OLD CELL PHONE.
15:40:45 8	Q AREA CODE 541-772-4735?
15:40:53 9	A MY HOME PHONE.
15:40:54 10	Q ALSO, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS NUMBER:
15:41:00 11	888-858-2162?
15:41:01 12	A MY FAX NUMBER.
15:41:05 13	Q 541-772-4788?
15:41:10 14	A MY HOME FAX LINE.
15:41:13 15	Q NOW, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS NUMBER,
15:41:23 16	808-382-1894?
15:41:23 17	A THE DEFENDANT'S PHONE NUMBER.
15:41:27 18	Q HOW ABOUT 808-533-8801?
15:41:32 19	A ONE OF THE DEFENDANT'S PHONE NUMBER.
15:41:36 20	Q AND 808-985-7349?
15:41:40 21	A ONE MUCH THE DEFENDANT'S PHONE NUMBER.
15:41:43 22	Q NOW, TURNING YOUR ATTENTION TO WHAT IS ON THE
15:41:47 23	SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU.
15:41:48 24	IF WE CAN BLOW UP THE TOP PART THAT SHOWS
15:41:55 25	BEFORE THE DETAILS AND THEN WE'LL LOOK AT THE CALLS

15:41:58 1	SPECIFICALLY.
15:41:59 2	IS THIS YOUR TELEPHONE BILL FOR THE
15:42:05 3	PERIOD THAT INCLUDES THAT WAS THE BILL DATE
15:42:09 4	IS MARCH 8TH OF 2006?
15:42:11 5	A YES.
15:42:11 6	Q AND NOW, FOCUSSING ON THE ACTUAL CALL DETAILS.
15:42:17 7	IF WE CAN INCLUDE, IF WE CAN INCLUDE FROM CALL 218
15:42:26 8	DOWN TO 230.
15:42:36 9	NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THE TOP THERE,
15:42:39 10	MR. FUNG, THE CALL 225. DO YOU SEE THAT CALL ON
15:42:48 11	3 ON MARCH 2ND?
15:42:50 12	A YES.
15:43:01 13	Q AND BETWEEN WHOM WAS THAT CALL ON MARCH 2ND?
15:43:05 14	A MYSELF AND THE DEFENDANT.
15:43:07 15	Q AND WAS THAT A CALL DISCUSSING YOUR CALL TO
15:43:25 16	DR. GOOTNICK?
15:43:25 17	A I DON'T RECALL.
15:43:26 18	Q NOW, THERE'S ALSO, IF WE GO DOWN TO THE
15:43:29 19	NUMBERED, BUT THIS IS ON MARCH 2ND, AND THAT'S THE
15:43:32 20	DAY THAT YOU CALLED DR. GOOTNICK, RIGHT?
15:43:34 21	A THAT'S CORRECT.
15:43:34 22	Q AND, IN FACT, THERE'S ANOTHER CALL. DO YOU
15:43:40 23	SEE THE CALL 229 ON MARCH 2ND, TO HONOLULU AT 2:02
15:43:45 24	P.M.
15:43:46 25	A YES.

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page203 of 218

15:43:46 1	Q AND WAS THAT ALSO A CALL BETWEEN YOU AND THE
15:43:48 2	DEFENDANT?
15:43:48 3	A YES.
15:43:49 4	Q AND IN BETWEEN THOSE TWO CALLS, THERE'S A CALL
15:44:01 5	TO SAN RAFAEL, CALIFORNIA, RIGHT?
15:44:03 6	A YES. YES.
15:44:05 7	Q NOW, DURING THOSE CALLS ON MARCH 2ND, WITH THE
15:44:07 8	DEFENDANT, THOSE TWO PHONE CALLS, WHAT DID YOU
15:44:10 9	DISCUSS WITH THE DEFENDANT?
15:44:18 10	A WHICH PHONE CALL?
15:44:19 11	Q BOTH THE CALL AT 1:43 P.M. AND THE CALL AT
15:44:32 12	2:02 P.M.
15:44:51 13	A THE FIRST ONE I REMEMBER THE DEFENDANT CALLED
15:44:53 14	ME AND I WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF SOMEWHERE AND I ASKED
15:44:55 15	HIM TO CALL ME BACK.
15:44:56 16	SO 225 MAY BE THE CALL WHEN I CALLED HIM
15:45:00 17	BACK.
15:45:00 18	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DISCUSS WHEN YOU CALLED HIM
15:45:02 19	BACK?
15:45:03 20	A THAT THE DEFENDANT ASKED ME IF I CALLED
15:45:05 21	DR. GOOTNICK.
15:45:06 22	Q AND AFTER THAT YOU DID CALL DR DID YOU
15:45:09 23	CALL DR. GOOTNICK?
15:45:10 24	A YES.
15:45:10 25	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO YOUR PHONE CALL ON MARCH

2ND OF 2006, DID YOU TALK TO DR. GOOTNICK AGAIN? 15:45:13 1 Α YES. 15:45:16 2 O AND DID YOU TALK TO HIM ON MARCH 3RD OF 2006? 15:45:17 3 А I BELIEVE SO. 15:45:21 4 NOW, AT THE TIME THAT YOU HAD THOSE 15:45:23 5 0 15:45:26 6 CONVERSATIONS WITH DR. GOOTNICK, DID YOU KNOW THAT THOSE CONVERSATIONS WERE BEING REPORTED? 15:45:29 7 15:45:32 8 A NO. 15:45:32 9 AND WHAT WERE YOU TRYING TO DO DURING THESE CONVERSATIONS WITH DR. GOOTNICK ON MARCH 3RD OF 15:45:34 10 15:45:37 11 2006? 15:45:37 12 A SIMILAR TO WHAT THE DEFENDANT ASKED ME TO DO TO CONVINCE HIM TO DROP THE LAWSUIT THAT I 15:45:52 13 15:45:56 14 REMEMBER. O AND DID YOU WANT THE DEFENDANT OR DID YOU 15:45:57 15 WANT -- DID THE DEFENDANT AND YOU DISCUSS 15:46:00 16 15:46:04 17 SOMETHING -- WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DR. GOOTNICK COULD DO FOR YOU? 15:46:06 18 15:46:15 19 A I DON'T RECALL. 15:46:15 20 O DURING THOSE CONVERSATIONS WITH DR. GOOTNICK, 15:46:18 21 DID YOU TRY TO CONVINCE DR. GOOTNICK TO DO ANYTHING 15:46:20 22 WITH REGARDS TO YOUR CRIMINAL CASE? I DON'T RECALL. I DON'T REMEMBER. 15:46:30 23 Α 15:46:32 24 Q NOW, IF WE GO -- WE'RE STILL ON THAT SAME PAGE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 22. IF WE CAN GO DOWN 15:46:34 25

15:46:43 1	TO THE BOTTOM THERE FOR CALLS 250 THROUGH 255.
15:46:54 2	NOW, CALL 250, MR. FUNG, WAS THAT ANOTHER
15:47:00 3	PHONE CALL BETWEEN YOU AND THE DEFENDANT?
15:47:01 4	A YES.
15:47:08 5	Q AND HOW ABOUT THE CALL 254 ON MARCH 3RD AT
15:47:16 6	5:33 P.M.? WAS THAT ANOTHER CALL BETWEEN YOU AND
15:47:18 7	THE DEFENDANT?
15:47:19 8	A YES.
15:47:20 9	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TALK WITH THE DEFENDANT ABOUT
15:47:23 10	DURING THOSE TWO PHONE CONVERSATIONS ON MARCH 3RD?
15:47:29 11	A I REPORTED TO THE DEFENDANT WHAT THE
15:47:31 12	CONVERSATION WAS ALL ABOUT AND WHEN I CALLED
15:47:34 13	DR. GOOTNICK.
15:47:34 14	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY WHEN YOU CALLED DR. GOOTNICK,
15:47:38 15	WHEN YOU HAD TALKED TO HIM ON MARCH 2ND AND MARCH
15:47:41 16	3RD?
15:47:41 17	A YES.
15:47:41 18	Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL THE DEFENDANT?
15:47:43 19	A SOMETHING ABOUT WHEN THE TELEPHONE CALL
15:47:52 20	TRANSPIRED.
15:47:53 21	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY IN RESPONSE, IF
15:47:55 22	ANYTHING?
15:47:55 23	A I DON'T RECALL.
15:47:56 24	Q DID THE DEFENDANT DID THE DEFENDANT TALK TO
15:48:02 25	YOU ABOUT WHAT, IF ANYTHING ELSE, YOU AND HE SHOULD

15:48:05 1	DO ABOUT DR. GOOTNICK?
15:48:07 2	A I DON'T REMEMBER.
15:48:17 3	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT
15:48:18 4	WOULD LIKE TO PLAY WHAT IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE AS
15:48:20 5	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 13.
15:48:23 6	THE COURT: THIS IS AN AUDIOTAPE
15:48:25 7	RECORDING?
15:48:26 8	MS. WONG: YES.
15:48:27 9	THE COURT: AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THIS
15:48:29 10	IS A IT'S AN AUDIOTAPE, BUT IT'S NOT, THOSE OF
15:48:34 11	US OLD ENOUGH TO REMEMBER, A CASSETTE TAPE. THIS
15:48:37 12	IS NOT AN ACTUAL TAPE, IT'S A DIGITAL RECORDING.
15:48:41 13	MS. WONG: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
15:48:42 14	THE COURT: ON A CD OR A DVD TYPE OF
15:48:45 15	DISK, IS IT?
15:48:49 16	MS. WONG: YES.
15:48:50 17	THE COURT: SO WE'LL HAVE SOMETHING ON
15:48:51 18	THE SCREEN.
15:48:51 19	MS. WONG: YES, YOUR HONOR.
15:48:52 20	THE COURT: WE'LL HEAR A VOICE FROM THE
15:48:54 21	SPEAKER BUT SOMETHING WILL APPEAR ON THE SCREEN.
15:48:57 22	IS THAT A TRANSCRIPT?
15:48:58 23	MS. WONG: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
15:48:59 24	THE COURT: I SEE. AND PERHAPS I SHOULD
15:49:01 25	LET YOU STATE IF THERE IS ANY AGREEMENT BETWEEN

15:49:03 1 15:49:07 2 15:49:08 3 15:49:10 4 15:49:13 5 15:49:28 6 15:49:30 7 15:49:32 8 15:49:33 9 15:49:35 10 15:49:36 11 15:49:43 12 15:49:43 13 15:49:45 14 15:49:49 15 15:49:51 16 15:49:54 17 15:49:56 18 15:49:59 19 15:50:03 20 15:50:05 21 15:50:09 22 15:50:10 23 15:50:14 24 15:50:17 25

COUNSEL REGARDING THE TRANSCRIPT.

MS. WONG: YES, YOUR HONOR, THERE'S A
STIPULATION AS TO THE ACCURACY OF THE TRANSCRIPT
AND IT'S ALREADY BEEN -- THE TRANSCRIPT HAS ALREADY
BEEN ADMITTED PURSUANT TO THAT STIPULATION.

MY APOLOGIES, YOUR HONOR, THE TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN STIPULATED THAT IT IS ACCURATE. IT HAS NOT YET BEEN ADMITTED.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. SO,
LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU'RE ABOUT TO HEAR A
RECORDING THAT HAS BEEN RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE BY
STIPULATION.

NOW, A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS RECORDING, AS YOU WILL SEE, WILL APPEAR ON THE SCREEN.

THIS TRANSCRIPT IS BEING PROVIDED TO HELP
YOU IDENTIFY SPEAKERS AND TO HELP YOU DECIDE WHAT
THE SPEAKERS SAY.

REMEMBER, PLEASE, THAT THE RECORDING, THE RECORDING IS THE EVIDENCE, NOT THE TRANSCRIPT.

IF YOU HEAR SOMETHING DIFFERENT FROM WHAT APPEARS IN THE TRANSCRIPT, WHAT YOU HAVE HEARD IS CONTROLLING.

NOW, IF I CAN ANALOGIZE THIS AS I DID

WITH COUNSEL A MOMENT AGO, WHAT I BELIEVE THIS -
WHAT YOU WILL SEE HERE IN JUST A MOMENT IS AKIN TO

15:50:23 1	THE SUPER SCRIPTS THAT THOSE THAT ARE OPERABLE
15:50:27 2	THAT'S WHAT YOU'LL SEE ON THE BOTTOM OF THE SCREEN.
15:50:30 3	SO THAT'S WHAT I BELIEVE WILL APPEAR AND THAT'S
15:50:32 4	WHAT I'M TOLD WILL APPEAR.
15:50:34 5	ARE YOU PREPARED TO PLAY THE TAPE?
15:50:36 6	MS. WONG: YES, YOUR HONOR.
15:50:37 7	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME. WE'LL PLAY THAT
15:50:39 8	NOW. WOULD YOU LIKE THE REPORTER TO REPORT THIS,
15:50:41 9	THE TRANSCRIPT, OR DOES COUNSEL AGREE THAT THE
15:50:44 10	REPORTER NEED NOT REPORT THE RECORDING?
15:50:47 11	MR. O'REILLY: I BELIEVE THE COUNSEL WILL
15:50:48 12	AGREE THAT THE REPORTER CANNOT TRANSCRIBE THIS
15:50:53 13	BECAUSE THE TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN STIPULATED TO BY
15:50:56 14	THE PARTIES.
15:50:56 15	MR. FONG: SO STIPULATED, YOUR HONOR.
15:50:58 16	THE COURT: THANK YOU. AND THE RECORDING
15:51:00 17	IS ACTUALLY IN EVIDENCE. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
15:51:02 18	SO YOU WILL NOT NEED TO REPORT THIS
15:51:03 19	MATTER.
15:51:04 20	YOU MAY PLAY THE TAPE.
15:51:06 21	MS. WONG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MAY WE
15:51:07 22	PLAY GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT.
15:51:15 23	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
15:53:05 24	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
15:53:05 25	BY MS. WONG:

15:53:05 1	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, IS THAT A RECORDING OR THE
15:53:10 2	BEGINNING OF THE RECORDING THAT YOU HAD WITH
15:53:14 3	DR. GOOTNICK THAT DAY?
15:53:15 4	A YES.
15:53:15 5	Q NOW, I'D LIKE TO JUST ASK YOU ONE OR TWO
15:53:18 6	QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO ON ABOUT WHAT WAS JUST SAID
15:53:20 7	THERE AND THAT WAS YOU ON THE RECORDING, RIGHT?
15:53:24 8	A YES.
15:53:25 9	Q AND WHEN YOU'RE MENTIONING ABOUT THE FRANCHISE
15:53:27 10	TAX BOARD CALLING THE DEFENDANT AND THAT IT DOESN'T
15:53:33 11	MAKE SENSE FOR DR. GOOTNICK TO SUE HIM, WHAT WERE
15:53:36 12	YOU TRYING TO GET DR. GOOTNICK TO DO?
15:53:39 13	A TO DROP THE LAWSUIT.
15:53:40 14	Q AND WHEN YOU MENTIONED THE FRANCHISE TAX
15:53:43 15	BOARD, HOW WERE YOU TRYING TO MAKE DR. GOOTNICK
15:53:46 16	FEEL?
15:53:47 17	A WORRIED.
15:53:52 18	Q AND WHO TOLD YOU, WHO, IF ANYONE, TOLD YOU TO
15:53:56 19	MENTION THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD TO DR. GOOTNICK?
15:54:02 20	A THE DEFENDANT.
15:54:03 21	Q NOW, YOU ALSO SAID JUST RIGHT NOW IN THE
15:54:05 22	RECORDING, AND WHEN YOU GO TO JAIL I'M NOT ANY
15:54:08 23	BETTER AND THERE'S SOMETHING CALLED WILLFUL.
15:54:10 24	WHAT WERE YOU TRYING TO GET DR. GOOTNICK
15:54:12 25	TO DO WHEN YOU WERE TALKING TO HIM ABOUT

15:54:14 1	WILLFULNESS AND HIM GOING TO JAIL?
15:54:17 2	A THAT WAS WHEN THE DEFENDANT TRIED TO ACTUALLY
15:54:23 3	WANT ME TO REPEAT TO DR. GOOTNICK.
15:54:26 4	Q AND, NOW, WAS THAT SUPPOSED TO DO WITH YOUR
15:54:30 5	WHEN YOU WERE SAYING THAT, DID THAT HAVE TO DO WITH
15:54:32 6	YOUR CRIMINAL CASE?
15:54:36 7	A I BELIEVE SO, YES.
15:54:41 8	Q BECAUSE YOU WERE TALKING TO HIM ABOUT HOW YOU
15:54:43 9	WOULDN'T BE ANY BETTER IF DR. GOOTNICK WENT TO
15:54:53 10	JAIL. DO YOU RECALL HEARING THAT?
15:54:54 11	A YES.
15:54:54 12	Q AND SO WHAT WERE YOU HOPING THAT DR. GOOTNICK
15:54:56 13	WOULD DO IN TERMS OF PROVING WHETHER OR NOT YOU
15:54:59 14	WERE WILLFULLY DOING SOMETHING IN YOUR CASE?
15:55:01 15	A AT THE TIME MY FRAME OF MIND IS CONFUSED AND
15:55:18 16	MY FRAME OF MIND AT THAT TIME WAS CONFUSED AND ALSO
15:55:23 17	TRYING TO LEARN REALLY FAST WHAT THE DEFENDANT IS
15:55:27 18	TRYING TO EDUCATE ME OR TEACH ME OR WHAT THAT WORD
15:55:31 19	"WILLFUL" MEANS.
15:55:31 20	Q AND WHAT WERE YOU HOPING, IF ANYTHING, THAT
15:55:35 21	DR. GOOTNICK WOULD DO FOR YOU IN YOUR CRIMINAL
15:55:38 22	CASE?
15:55:47 23	A HELP ME.
15:55:48 24	MS. WONG: LET'S CONTINUE WITH THE
15:55:49 25	RECORDING.

15:55:50 1	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
15:57:24 2	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
15:57:24 3	MS. WONG: CAN YOU STOP IT.
15:57:27 4	Q NOW, DID YOU HEAR HOW YOU EXACTLY REPLIED ON
15:57:29 5	THE TAPE, MR. FUNG?
15:57:31 6	A YES.
15:57:31 7	Q AND WHEN DR. GOOTNICK WAS TALKING ABOUT WHAT
15:57:33 8	YOU SAID YESTERDAY. WAS HE REFERRING TO THE PHONE
15:57:38 9	CALL YOU MADE ON MARCH 2ND OF 2006 TO HIM?
15:57:41 10	A YES.
15:57:41 11	Q AND WAS THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS THAT THE
15:57:44 12	CALL THAT THE DEFENDANT WANTED YOU OR ASKED YOU TO
15:57:46 13	MAKE?
15:57:47 14	A YES.
15:57:48 15	MS. WONG: YOU CAN CONTINUE WITH THE
15:57:49 16	RECORDING.
15:59:29 17	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
15:59:30 18	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
15:59:32 19	BY MS. WONG:
15:59:32 20	Q NOW, WHEN YOU TOLD DR. GOOTNICK THAT HE WOULD
15:59:35 21	BE IN DEEP DOO-DOO, WHAT WERE YOU REFERRING TO
15:59:37 22	THERE?
15:59:38 23	A RIGHT NOW JUST LOOKING AT THAT INFORMATION AND
15:59:42 24	THIS I REMEMBER THAT THE DEFENDANT SAID YOU HAVE
15:59:47 25	ALL OF GOOTNICK'S DOCUMENTS, FINANCIALS, AND

15:59:49 1	EVERYTHING.
15:59:50 2	Q AND WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY THAT HE WAS
15:59:53 3	GOING TO DO WITH THE DOCUMENTS, IF ANYTHING?
15:59:56 4	A THAT HE WOULD NOT GIVE IT TO THE FRANCHISE TAX
16:00:10 5	BOARD, WOULD NOT PROVIDE IT TO THE FRANCHISE TAX
16:00:13 6	BOARD IF THE LAWSUIT IS BEING DROPPED.
16:00:15 7	Q AND WHAT IF DR. GOOTNICK REFUSED TO DROP THE
16:00:22 8	LAWSUIT? DID THE DEFENDANT SAY WHAT HE WOULD DO
16:00:24 9	THEN?
16:00:24 10	A I DON'T RECALL.
16:00:25 11	Q AND DID YOU WHY DID YOU KEEP MENTIONING THE
16:00:28 12	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD TO DR. GOOTNICK?
16:00:31 13	A BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THE DEFENDANT TOLD ME THAT
16:00:35 14	HE HAD BEEN CONTACTED BY THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD.
16:00:37 15	Q AND WHAT WERE YOU HOPING YOU WOULD ACCOMPLISH
16:00:41 16	BY CONTINUING TO MENTION THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD?
16:00:44 17	A THAT GOOTNICK WOULD DROP THE LAWSUIT AGAINST
16:00:50 18	THE DEFENDANT.
16:00:50 19	Q AND IF DR. GOOTNICK DROPPED THE LAWSUIT, DID
16:00:53 20	YOU THINK THAT THAT WOULD HELP YOUR CRIMINAL CASE?
16:00:55 21	A NO.
16:01:00 22	MS. WONG: LET'S CONTINUE.
16:01:01 23	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
16:04:41 24	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
16:04:41 25	BY MS. WONG:

16:04:42 1	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, WHEN DR. GOOTNICK ASKED IF
16:04:45 2	LIGHTER TOLD YOU TO CALL HIM, WHY DID YOU RESPOND
16:04:49 3	NO?
16:04:49 4	A THE DEFENDANT ASKED ME TOLD ME THAT DON'T
16:04:56 5	SAY THAT THE DEFENDANT ASKED ME TO CALL HIM.
16:04:59 6	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT IF DR. GOOTNICK
16:05:05 7	ASKED YOU WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT HAD GIVEN
16:05:08 8	YOU INSTRUCTIONS YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DENY THAT?
16:05:10 9	A YES.
16:05:10 10	Q AND WHO TOLD YOU TO DENY IT?
16:05:13 11	A THE DEFENDANT.
16:05:14 12	Q LET'S CONTINUE WITH THE RECORDING.
16:05:17 13	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
16:06:46 14	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
16:06:46 15	MS. WONG: COULD YOU STOP THE RECORDING
16:06:47 16	FOR JUST A MOMENT.
16:06:48 17	Q NOW, WHEN YOU SAID "AND HE IS VERY
16:06:54 18	CONVINCING," WHO ARE YOU REFERRING TO IN YOUR
16:06:56 19	CONVERSATION WITH DR. GOOTNICK?
16:06:57 20	A CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?
16:07:02 21	Q AND YOU SAID "AND HE IS VERY CONVINCING."
16:07:05 22	WHO ARE YOU REFERRING TO THERE IN THE
16:07:18 23	PHONE CALL WHEN YOU TOLD THAT TO DR. GOOTNICK, "I
16:07:20 24	DON'T KNOW, I DON'T KNOW. OKAY. AND HE'S VERY
16:07:23 25	CONVINCING."

16:07:37 1	MR. FUNG, I'LL ASK YOU ACTUALLY A
16:07:40 2	DIFFERENT QUESTION.
16:07:41 3	HERE YOU JUST HEARD THE PART OF THE
16:07:43 4	RECORDING WHERE YOU WERE TALKING TO DR. GOOTNICK
16:07:45 5	ABOUT WILLFUL AND NOT WILLFUL AND PUTTING STUFF
16:07:48 6	BEFORE THE GRAND JURIES AND SAID THAT "WE NEED TO
16:07:51 7	PUT THAT TO THE GRAND JURY."
16:07:53 8	WHEN YOU SAID "WE," WHO ARE YOU REFERRING
16:08:00 9	TO THERE?
16:08:01 10	A I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. AT THE TIME, YOU
16:08:10 11	KNOW, MY FRAME OF THOUGHT WAS OBVIOUSLY I WAS
16:08:17 12	REALLY SCARED ABOUT BEING INDICTED AND THAT I WAS
16:08:25 13	TAUGHT BY THE DEFENDANT ABOUT THE GRAND JURY THING.
16:08:28 14	Q AND WERE YOU HOPING THAT DR. GOOTNICK COULD
16:08:31 15	HELP YOU WITH THE GRAND JURY?
16:08:32 16	A NO.
16:08:38 17	Q LET'S CONTINUE WITH THE AUDIO.
16:08:45 18	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
16:17:22 19	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
16:17:22 20	MS. WONG: WOULD YOU PLEASE STOP IT.
16:17:25 21	Q MR. FUNG, HAD YOU DISCUSSED THE BANKRUPTCY
16:17:27 22	WITH THE DEFENDANT?
16:17:28 23	A THE DEFENDANT CALLED ME AND INFORMED ME.
16:17:32 24	Q AND SO YOU AND IS THAT HOW YOU FOUND OUT
16:17:35 25	ABOUT THE BANKRUPTCY?
	21.4

16:17:36 1	A YES.
16:17:36 2	Q WHY DID YOU TELL DR. GOOTNICK THAT THAT'S NOT,
16:17:45 3	THAT YOU FOUND OUT ABOUT THE BANKRUPTCY SOME OTHER
16:17:48 4	WAY?
16:17:48 5	A I'M SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION.
16:17:52 6	Q BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT YOU TOLD DR. GOOTNICK JUST
16:17:55 7	NOW ON THE RECORDING, IS IT?
16:17:56 8	A NO.
16:17:58 9	Q AND WHY DIDN'T YOU TELL HIM HOW YOU REALLY
16:18:00 10	FOUND OUT ABOUT THE BANKRUPTCY?
16:18:01 11	A AT THAT TIME I WAS MAKING A FALSE STATEMENT, I
16:18:08 12	GUESS.
16:18:08 13	Q AND BEFORE IN THIS RECORDING WE HAVE HEARD HOW
16:18:14 14	YOU SAID SEVERAL TIMES THAT DR. GOOTNICK SHOULD
16:18:17 15	CONSIDER BEING PEACEFUL OR BE PEACEFUL WITH THE
16:18:20 16	DEFENDANT. WHAT WERE YOU TRYING TO GET
16:18:23 17	DR. GOOTNICK TO DO WHEN YOU WERE MAKING THOSE
16:18:25 18	STATEMENTS?
16:18:26 19	A TO RESOLVE THE LAWSUITS.
16:18:32 20	MS. WONG: YOU CAN CONTINUE WITH THE
16:18:33 21	RECORDING.
16:18:34 22	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
16:25:02 23	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
16:25:02 24	BY MS. WONG:
16:25:02 25	Q NOW, MR. FUNG, NEAR THE END OF THAT

16:25:05 1	CONVERSATION YOU AND DR. GOOTNICK DISCUSSED CALLING
16:25:12 2	THE DEFENDANT. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
16:25:13 3	A YES.
16:25:14 4	Q AND DID YOU, IN FACT, CALL THE DEFENDANT AFTER
16:25:16 5	THAT PHONE CONVERSATION?
16:25:17 6	A YES.
16:25:18 7	Q AND AFTER YOU AND WHAT DID YOU SPEAK WITH
16:25:23 8	THE DEFENDANT ABOUT DURING THAT CONVERSATION?
16:25:24 9	A I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD MAKE THE PHONE CALL AS
16:25:27 10	SOON AS HE WANTED ME TO AND PRETTY MUCH WHAT THE
16:25:32 11	WHOLE CONVERSATION WAS.
16:25:33 12	Q AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID THE DEFENDANT SAY
16:25:35 13	TO YOU DURING THAT CONVERSATION?
16:25:38 14	A I DON'T RECALL.
16:25:41 15	Q DO YOU RECALL THAT YOU THEN CALLED
16:25:45 16	DR. GOOTNICK BACK AFTER TALKING TO THE DEFENDANT?
16:25:48 17	A I DON'T RECALL THAT.
16:25:51 18	MS. WONG: LET'S PLAY, START THIS SECOND
16:25:55 19	PART OF GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 13.
16:26:08 20	(WHEREUPON, A DIGITAL RECORDING WAS
16:35:46 21	PLAYED IN OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)
16:35:46 22	BY MS. WONG:
16:35:47 23	Q MR. FUNG, WHAT WAS THE LETTER THAT
16:35:49 24	DR. GOOTNICK WAS REFERRING TO IN THAT CONVERSATION?
16:35:53 25	DID YOU WRITE THAT LETTER?

# Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page217 of 218

16:35:54 1	A I BELIEVE THAT THAT'S SOME MOTIONS THAT I
16:36:02 2	FILED THAT THE DEFENDANT PREPARED FOR ME TO TRY TO
16:36:06 3	SAVE ME.
16:36:08 4	MS. WONG: AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, THE
16:36:09 5	GOVERNMENT IS AT A STOPPING POINT. WE DO HAVE ONE
16:36:12 6	MORE RECORDING.
16:36:12 7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE OUR
16:36:15 8	HOW LONG IS THE RECORDING? DO YOU KNOW?
16:36:18 9	MS. WONG: THE SECOND RECORDING I THINK
16:36:20 10	IS ABOUT 20 OR 25 MINUTES.
16:36:23 11	THE COURT: I SEE. WELL, LET'S TAKE OUR
16:36:25 12	EVENING RECESS NOW THEN.
16:36:27 13	AND FOLKS, WE'LL RECONVENE AT 1:00
16:36:32 14	O'CLOCK, 1:00 O'CLOCK TOMORROW AFTERNOON AND SO IF
16:36:36 15	YOU COULD COLLECT YOURSELVES SO WE CAN BE IN AT
16:36:39 16	1:00 O'CLOCK, I APPRECIATE IT. WE'LL BE IN RECESS,
16:36:41 17	AND I REMIND YOU OF THE ADMONITION.
16:36:43 18	SIR, YOU CAN STAND DOWN AND I'LL SEE YOU
16:36:48 19	TOMORROW.
16:37:11 20	(WHEREUPON, THE EVENING RECESS WAS
16:37:12 21	TAKEN.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document258 Filed02/14/12 Page218 of 218